

# AR 616

## RPS Planning Process and Reports



# Agenda



- Introduction & Icebreaker (1:00pm)
  - Name, Organization, and one thing that makes you happy.
- Background (1:15 – 1:30pm)
  - Review of Main Issues
  - Recap Of Where Docket Left Off
- Discussion of Proposals and Options (1:30 – 2:15pm)
  - Recap of Options and Proposals raised thus far in docket
  - Discussion of new considerations or change in views
  - Prioritization of Issues
- Next Steps (2:15pm – 2:30pm)
  - Schedule

# Big Picture relating to AR 616



- Consider amendments to best align rules with the purpose of RPS Planning Process and Reports
  - Current RPS administrative rules were developed when compliance targets were relatively small and low cost.
  - SB 1547 increasing of RPS compliance targets
  - SB 1547 repeal of first-in first out requirements and the introduction of Golden RECs

# AR 616: RPS Planning and Reports

Function of the Reports:

What is objective of planning/reporting

How do they relate to one another?

Content:

Is planning horizon appropriate?

Is current criteria sufficient?

Process:

Should timing or frequency be adjusted?

How do reports relate to IRP

Associated Energy Storage:


How to Define

# AR 616 Recap



- After stakeholder workshops and a round of questions to stakeholders, the Docket was put on pause.

Date	Event
1/10/18	Stakeholder Workshop
4/10/18	Public Meeting- Split AR 610 into several rulemaking dockets (AR 616 & AR 617)
2/13/19	Stakeholder Workshop
2/20/19	Stakeholder Questions



# Function of the Reports

# Stakeholder Comments



- Related to planning for and checking if compliance cost meets the 4% cost cap.



Content



# Stakeholder Comments



- Planning horizons should be extended
- Should consider including:
  - Standard List of Definitions
  - OAR Template
  - Summary Snapshot of filling




Process

# Stakeholder Comments



- Planning is more timely and meaningful when connected to the IRP



Associated Energy Storage

# Stakeholder Comments



- CUB Proposed definition: associated energy storage should only cover storage on-site at a renewable facility
  - Based on FERC case Luz Development

# Group Discussion

Are there new considerations? Have views changed?

# Prioritization of Issues

Which issues are important to address first?



Next Steps



# Thank you!



For questions or comments please contact:

Natascha Smith

503-559-7752

[natascha.smith@state.or.us](mailto:natascha.smith@state.or.us)