

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

OF OREGON

AR 616

In the Matter of

Rulemaking Related to RPS Planning Process
and Reports

STAFF REQUESTS COMMENT FROM
STAKEHOLDERS

Staff of the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (PUC Staff or Staff) seeks input from stakeholders. Please review the following questions and submit any comments to the docket by **June 30, 2020**. Comments can be emailed to filingcenter@puc.state.or.us. If you prefer not to comment on a particular question, please respond that you are choosing not to take a position on that issue at this time.

Dated this 19th day of June 2020, Salem, Oregon.

/s/ Natascha Smith
Natascha Smith, Utility Analyst
natascha.smith@state.or.us
503-579-7925

Questions for Stakeholders

- 1) What was the purpose of including ‘associated energy storage’ in the language SB 1547? What facts or policy reasons support your position?
- 2) Should the administrative rules require ‘associated energy storage’ to be located on the site of a renewable resource? What legal or policy reasons support your position?
- 3) How else might energy storage be connected to a renewable energy resources?
- 4) Besides co-location, what metrics are available for determining if energy storage is associated with a renewable energy resource?