## **BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

# **OF OREGON**

UE 335

In the Matter of PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, Request for a General Rate Revision.

# NVPC OPENING TESTIMONY OF THE OREGON CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD

May 24, 2018



### **BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

### **OF OREGON**

#### UE 335

)

)

)

)

In the Matter of PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Request for a General Rate Revision

NVPC OPENING TESTIMONY OF THE OREGON CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD

1	Q.	Please state your name, occupation, and business address.
2	A.	My name is William Gehrke. I am an Economist employed by Oregon Citizens' Utility
3		Board (CUB). My business address is 610 SW Broadway, Ste. 400 Portland, Oregon
4		97205.
5	Q.	Please describe your educational background and work experience.
6	A.	My witness qualification statement is found in exhibit CUB/101.
7	Q.	How is your testimony organized?
8	А.	After this introduction, we have three sections.
9		Section I: Contract Delay Rate
10		Section II: Independent Code Review

• Section III: Conclusion

#### CONTRACT DELAY RATE I.

1	Q.	Please summarize this issue.
2	A.	Portland General Electric proposed a tracking mechanism to mitigate the risk of qualifying
3		facilities experiencing delays. <sup>1</sup> CUB opposes the Company's proposal to establish a
4		tracking mechanism. Instead, CUB proposes PGE use a contract delay rate on the
5		commercial operation date on a going forward basis.
6	Q.	What are Qualifying Facilities?
7	A.	Qualifying Facilities (QF's) are a special class of generating facilities created under the
8		Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978. QF's have two categories: cogeneration
9		facilities and renewable small power. Utilities such as Portland General Electric are
10		obligated to enter in purchased power agreements with Qualifying Facilities.
11	Q.	How did the Company previously model the operational date of QF PPA in
12		MONET?
13	A.	The Company used the Initial Delivery Date as the date of operation for a PPA <sup>2</sup> . The
14		Company assumed the Initial Delivery Date was the actual day a QF went into operation.
15		When a QF experiences a delay, customers have to potential to be overcharged in the
16		NVPC. The Company has recognized the accurate forecasting of CODs could become an
17		issue that materially affects its NVPC forecast. <sup>3</sup>
18	Q.	Has the forecasted online date for QFs been raised in other NVPC proceedings?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> UE 335 –PGE/300/Niman-Kim-Batzler/36. <sup>2</sup> UE 335 –PGE/300/Niman-Kim-Batzler/32. <sup>3</sup> UE 335 – PGE/300/Niman-Kim-Batzler/35.

1	A. Yes. CUB raised this issue in PacifiCorp's 2018 Transition Adjustment Mechanism (TAM)
2	filings. <sup>4</sup> Additionally, Commission Staff raised the issue in Idaho Power's 2018 Annual
3	Power Cost Update. <sup>5</sup>
4	Q. What was the resolution of forecasted online date for QF's in Idaho Power's 2018
5	Annual Power Cost update?
6	A. Idaho Power agreed the expected online date for any new PURPA project will be adjusted
7	using the three-year average Contract Delay Rate of historical PURPA. <sup>6</sup>
8	Q. What was the resolution of forecasted online date for QF's in PacifiCorp's 2018
9	Transition Adjustment Mechanism?
10	<b>A.</b> The Commission approved a three year rolling average contract delay rate. <sup>7</sup> The
11	Commission stated "the rolling average CDR should incentivize the Company to use the
12	most updated CODs in the future, in order to reduce the CDR going forward."
13	Q. What has Portland General Electric proposed to deal with the issue of QF delays?
14	A. In a departure from Idaho Power's 2018 Annual Power Cost Update and PacifiCorp's 2018
15	Transition Adjustment Mechanism, the Company is proposing a tracking mechanism. <sup>8</sup> The
16	Company is proposing the QF tracking mechanism be used each year's NVPC forecast on
17	a going forward basis.
18	Q. What is CUB's solution to this issue?
19	A. PGE should use a three year rolling average of QF delays to produce a Contract Delay rate
20	In the PacifiCorp's 2018 Transition Adjustment Mechanism, the Commission stated "the

21

rolling average would incentivize the Company to use the most updated CODs in the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> UE 323 – CUB/100/Jenks/6-12. <sup>5</sup> UE 333- Staff/100/Anderson/9-10. <sup>6</sup> Order No. 18-170. <sup>7</sup> Order No. 17-444.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> UE 335- PGE/300/Niman – Kim – Batzler/ 35/ 12-14.

1		footer, in order to reduce the CDR going forward." CUB believes the three year average
2		CDR will incentivize Portland General Electric to be careful in providing accurate COD's
3		in the future. PacifiCorp and Idaho Power already utilize this methodology, and CUB
4		believes it makes sense to standardize this methodology by requiring PGE to also utilize it.
		II. INDEPENDENT CODE REVIEW
5	Q.	Please summarize this issue.
6	A.	CUB is proposing an independent consultant be hired to review the MONET model. The
7		MONET model become more complex since it was created in 1990s. Hiring an
8		independent consultant would inform potential maintenance on MONET.
9	Q.	What is MONET?
10	A.	The Multi-area Optimization Network Energy Transaction Model (MONET) is a model
11		created by PGE in the mid-1990s. The model has been used for a variety of use cases.
12		Currently, the company uses MONET as its power cost forecasting model. <sup>9</sup>
13	Q.	Does CUB currently object to the usage of MONET?
14	A.	No. MONET is not a "black box" model, because its entire input can be reviewed.
15		Additionally, PGE has the ability to disclose MONET's source code to all parties. Unlike
16		vender produced models, stakeholders are able to review the inner workings of MONET.
17	Q.	What is the goal of MONET?
18	A.	MONET is designed to accurately forecast net variable power cost. PGE's variable power
19		costs fluctuate annually, due to several exogenous and endogenous variables. In order to
20		accurately model net variable power costs, the Company and Stakeholders have made
21		changes to MONET. The Company's generation, regulatory environment and load has

\_\_\_\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> UE 335- PGE/300/Niman – Kim – Batzler/ 4.

1		changed since the inception of MONET. As MONET has continuously been changed to		
2		model net variable power costs, the complexity of MONET has increased.		
3	Q.	Is MONET Complex?		
	A.	Yes. <sup>10</sup>		
5		MONET is at least twenty years old. MONET has grown		
6		organically over the software's lifetime. For example, in 2002, PGE proposed 163		
7		changes to MONET. <sup>11</sup> During each rate case, PGE is able to make changes to the MONET		
8		Model. Each change adapts MONET to changes in power cost. At the same time, each		
9		model change increase makes the structure of the program more difficult to comprehend,		
10		manage and change.		
11	Q.	What are some examples of MONET's complexity?		
12	A.			
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> CUB defines Complexity as "Involved or Intricate, as in structure; complicated".
<sup>11</sup> Order No. 02-772.
<sup>12</sup> See Confidential CUB/Exhibit 102.



CUB expects this issue to be resolved in settlement and is open to talking though a

solution in settlement discussions.

#### **III. CONCLUSION**

- Q. Does this conclude your testimony? 11
- A. Yes. 12

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See Confidential CUB/Exhibit 103.
 <sup>14</sup> Software bugs are errors or a fault in a computer program that causes it to produce an unexpected result.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> In 2018, Apple began ending support for 32bit iOS. CUB believes Microsoft will follow Apple's lead and end support for 32 bit Microsoft Office.

#### WITNESS QUALIFICATION STATEMENT

- NAME: William Gehrke
- **EMPLOYER:** Oregon Citizens' Utility Board
- TITLE: Economist
- ADDRESS: 610 SW Broadway, Suite 400 Portland, OR 97205
- **EDUCATION:** MS, Applied Economics Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL

BS, Economics Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL

**EXPERIENCE:** Provided testimony or comments in LC 68. Worked as an Economist for the Florida Department of Revenue. Worked as Utility Analyst at the Florida Public Service Commission, providing advice on rate cases and load forecasting.

CUB Exhibit 102 is confidential and will be provided to parties who have signed Protective Order 18-047 in UE 335.

CUB Exhibit 103 is confidential and will be provided to parties who have signed Protective Order 18-047 in UE 335.

#### **UE 335 – CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that, on this 24<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2018, I served the foregoing **CUB Confidential NVPC Opening Testimony & Exhibits** in docket UE 335 upon the Commission and each party designated to receive confidential information pursuant to Order 18-047 by U.S. mail, postage prepaid.

BRADLEY MULLINS	MOUNTAIN WEST ANALYTICS	1750 SW HARBOR WAY STE 450 PORTLAND OR 97201
TYLER C PEPPLE	DAVISON VAN CLEVE, PC	1750 SW HARBOR WAY STE 450 PORTLAND OR 97201
ROBERT SWEETIN	DAVISON VAN CLEVE, P.C.	185 E. RENO AVE, SUITE B8C LAS VEGAS NV 89119
GREGORY M. ADAMS	RICHARDSON ADAMS, PLLC	PO BOX 7218 BOISE ID 83702
KEVIN HIGGINS	ENERGY STRATEGIES LLC	215 STATE ST - STE 200 SALT LAKE CITY UT 84111-2322
KURT J BOEHM	BOEHM KURTZ & LOWRY	36 E SEVENTH ST - STE 1510 CINCINNATI OH 45202
JODY KYLER COHN	BOEHM, KURTZ & LOWRY	36 E SEVENTH ST STE 1510 CINCINNATI OH 45202
IRION A SANGER	SANGER LAW PC	1117 SE 53RD AVE PORTLAND OR 97215
SIDNEY VILLANUEVA	SANGER LAW, PC	1117 SE 53RD AVE PORTLAND OR 97215
STEFAN BROWN	PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC	121 SW SALMON ST, 1WTC0306 PORTLAND OR 97204
DOUGLAS C TINGEY	PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC	121 SW SALMON 1WTC1301 PORTLAND OR 97204
DIANE HENKELS	CLEANTECH LAW PARTNERS PC	420 SW WASHINGTON ST STE 400 PORTLAND OR 97204
MARIANNE GARDNER	PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON	PO BOX 1088 SALEM OR 97308-1088

VICKI M BALDWIN	PARSONS BEHLE & LATIMER	201 S MAIN ST STE 1800 SALT LAKE CITY UT 84111
STEVE W CHRISS	WAL-MART STORES, INC.	2001 SE 10TH ST BENTONVILLE AR 72716- 0550

util

Michael P. Goetz, OSB #141465 Staff Attorney Oregon Citizens' Utility Board 610 SW Broadway, Ste. 400 Portland, OR 97205 T. 503.227.1984 x 16 F. 503.224.2596 E. mike@oregoncub.org