

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OF OREGON**

UM 1829, UM 1830, UM 1831, UM 1832, UM 1833

BLUE MARMOT V LLC (UM 1829)
 BLUE MARMOT VI LLC (UM 1830)
 BLUE MARMOT VII LLC (UM 1831)
 BLUE MARMOT VIII LLC (UM 1832)
 BLUE MARMOT IX LLC (UM 1833)

PREHEARING CONFERENCE
 MEMORANDUM AND RULING

Complainants

vs.

PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC
 COMPANY

Defendant

Pursuant to ORS 756.500.

**DISPOSITION: PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE ADOPTED; DOCKETS
 CONSOLIDATED**

On July 5, 2017, the Public Utility Commission of Oregon held a prehearing conference in this docket. Representatives appeared on behalf of the complainants, Blue Marmot V, LLC, Blue Marmot VI, LLC, Blue Marmot VII, LLC, Blue Marmot VIII, LLC, Blue Marmot IX, LLC, (Blue Marmot); Portland General Electric Company (PGE); and the Commission Staff. The parties agreed and the Commission adopted the proposal that the dockets should be combined and addressed in a single proceeding. Docket UM 1829 will be the lead docket.

Procedural Schedule

The parties agreed to the following procedural schedule, which would be subject to revision in the event that the Commission Staff indicated that it intended to file testimony in the case. The proposed contingent schedule was adopted:


EVENT	DATE
Blue Marmot Opening Testimony	October 13, 2017
PGE Responsive Testimony	December 22, 2017
Blue Marmot Reply Testimony	February 23, 2018
Parties' Prehearing Briefs	March 27, 2018
Cross-examination Statements	April 4, 2018

ISSUED: July 7, 2017

Hearing (tentative)	April 10, 2018
Blue Marmots' Opening Brief	May 9, 2018
PGE Response Brief	June 6, 2018
Blue Marmots' Reply Brief	July 6, 2018
Commission Decision (target date)	August 17, 2018

Parties are reminded that attorneys not licensed in Oregon wanting to appear before the Commission in this docket must file an application for admission to appear pro hac vice.¹

Dated this 7th day of July, 2017 at Salem, Oregon.



Allan J. Arlow
Administrative Law Judge

Attachment: Notice of Contested Case Rights and Procedures

¹ See UTCR 3.170, OAR 860-001-0320.

NOTICE OF CONTESTED CASE RIGHTS AND PROCEDURES

Oregon law requires state agencies to provide parties written notice of contested case rights and procedures. Under ORS 183.413, you are entitled to be informed of the following:

Hearing: The time and place of any hearing held in these proceedings will be noticed separately. The Commission will hold the hearing under its general authority set forth in ORS 756.040 and use procedures set forth in ORS 756.518 through 756.610 and OAR Chapter 860, Division 001. Copies of these statutes and rules may be accessed via the Commission's website at www.puc.state.or.us. The Commission will hear issues as identified by the parties.

Right to Attorney: As a party to these proceedings, you may be represented by counsel. Should you desire counsel but cannot afford one, legal aid may be able to assist you; parties are ordinarily represented by counsel. The Commission Staff, if participating as a party in the case, will be represented by the Department of Justice. Generally, once a hearing has begun, you will not be allowed to postpone the hearing to obtain counsel.

Administrative Law Judge: The Commission has delegated the authority to preside over hearings to Administrative Law Judges (ALJs). The scope of an ALJ's authority is defined in OAR 860-001-0090. The ALJs make evidentiary and other procedural rulings, analyze the contested issues, and present legal and policy recommendations to the Commission.

Hearing Rights: You have the right to respond to all issues identified and present evidence and witnesses on those issues. *See* OAR 860-001-0450 through OAR 860-001-0490. You may obtain discovery from other parties through depositions, subpoenas, and data requests. *See* ORS 756.538 and 756.543; OAR 860-001-0500 through 860-001-0540.

Evidence: Evidence is generally admissible if it is of a type relied upon by reasonable persons in the conduct of their serious affairs. *See* OAR 860-001-0450. Objections to the admissibility of evidence must be made at the time the evidence is offered. Objections are generally made on grounds that the evidence is unreliable, irrelevant, repetitious, or because its probative value is outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusion of the issues, or undue delay. The order of presenting evidence is determined by the ALJ. The burden of presenting evidence to support an allegation rests with the person raising the allegation. Generally, once a hearing is completed, the ALJ will not allow the introduction of additional evidence without good cause.

Record: The hearing will be recorded, either by a court reporter or by audio digital recording, to preserve the testimony and other evidence presented. Parties may contact the court reporter about ordering a transcript or request, if available, a copy of the audio recording from the Commission for a fee set forth in OAR 860-001-0060. The hearing record will be made part of the evidentiary record that serves as the basis for the Commission's decision and, if necessary, the record on any judicial appeal.

Final Order and Appeal: After the hearing, the ALJ will prepare a draft order resolving all issues and present it to the Commission. The draft order is not open to party comment. The Commission will make the final decision in the case and may adopt, modify, or reject the ALJ's recommendation. If you disagree with the Commission's decision, you may request reconsideration of the final order within 60 days from the date of service of the order. *See* ORS 756.561 and OAR 860-001-0720. You may also file a petition for review with the Court of Appeals within 60 days from the date of service of the order. *See* ORS 756.610.