

June 16, 2017

**VIA E-FILING**

Oregon Public Utility Commission  
Commission Secretary  
P.O. Box 1088  
Salem, Oregon 97308-1088

Re: **Opening Testimony of Steve W. Chriss on behalf of Wal-  
Mart Stores, Inc. and Sam's West, Inc. in Docket No. UE-  
319**

Dear Commission Secretary:

Attached herewith find the Opening Testimony of Steve W. Chriss and the Exhibit thereto on behalf of Walmart Stores, Inc. and Sam's West, Inc. in Docket No. UE-319.

These pages are being submitted for filing in the above-referenced Docket Number.

If you have any questions about this filing, please contact me.

Very truly yours,

PARSONS BEHLE & LATIMER



Vicki M. Baldwin  
Attorney at Law

VMB/cdg

cc: Service List in Docket No. UE-319 (via e-mail)

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
OF OREGON**

**UE 319**

**IN THE MATTER OF )  
 )  
PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC )  
COMPANY, )  
 )  
REQUEST FOR A GENERAL RATE REVISION )**

**OPENING TESTIMONY OF STEVE W. CHRISS**

**ON BEHALF OF**

**WAL-MART STORES, INC. AND SAM'S WEST, INC.**

**JUNE 16, 2017**

**Contents**

Introduction ..... 1

Purpose of Testimony and Summary of Recommendations ..... 3

Cost of Service..... 5

Ratespread ..... 8

**Exhibits**

**Walmart/101:** Witness Qualifications Statement

1 **Introduction**

2 **Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS, AND OCCUPATION.**

3 A. My name is Steve W. Chriss. My business address is 2001 SE 10th St.,  
4 Bentonville, AR 72716-0550. I am employed by Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.  
5 (“Walmart”) as Director, Energy and Strategy Analysis.

6 **Q. ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU TESTIFYING IN THIS DOCKET?**

7 A. I am testifying on behalf of Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. and Sam’s West, Inc. (collectively,  
8 “Walmart”).

9 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATION AND EXPERIENCE.**

10 A. In 2001, I completed a Master of Science in Agricultural Economics at Louisiana State  
11 University. From 2001 to 2003, I was an Analyst and later a Senior Analyst at the  
12 Houston office of Econ One Research, Inc., a Los Angeles-based consulting firm. My  
13 duties included research and analysis on domestic and international energy and  
14 regulatory issues. From 2003 to 2007, I was an Economist and later a Senior Utility  
15 Analyst at the Public Utility Commission of Oregon in Salem, Oregon. My duties  
16 included appearing as a witness for PUC Staff in electric, natural gas, and  
17 telecommunications dockets. I joined the energy department at Walmart in July  
18 2007 as Manager, State Rate Proceedings. I was promoted to Senior Manager,  
19 Energy Regulatory Analysis, in June 2011. I was promoted to my current position in  
20 October, 2016. My Witness Qualifications Statement is attached as Walmart/101.

1       **Q.    HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED TESTIMONY BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY**  
2       **COMMISSION OF OREGON (“COMMISSION”)?**

3       A.    Yes. I submitted testimony on behalf of Walmart in Docket Nos. UE 217, UE 262, UE  
4       263, UE 264, and UE 267 and on behalf of Staff in Docket Nos. UE 179, UE 180, UG  
5       173, UM 1129, and UX 29.

6       **Q.    HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED TESTIMONY BEFORE OTHER STATE**  
7       **REGULATORY COMMISSIONS?**

8       A.    Yes. I have submitted testimony in over 150 proceedings before 37 other utility  
9       regulatory commissions. I have also submitted testimony before several Missouri  
10       House and Senate Committees and the Kansas House Standing Committee on  
11       Utilities and Telecommunications. My testimony has addressed topics including, but  
12       not limited to, cost of service and rate design, return on equity (“ROE”), revenue  
13       requirements, ratemaking policy, large customer renewable programs, qualifying  
14       facility rates, telecommunications deregulation, resource certification, energy  
15       efficiency/demand side management, fuel cost adjustment mechanisms, decoupling,  
16       and the collection of cash earnings on construction work in progress (“CWIP”).

17       **Q.    ARE YOU SPONSORING EXHIBITS IN YOUR TESTIMONY?**

18       A.    Yes. I am sponsoring the exhibits listed in the Table of Contents.

1 **Q. PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE WALMART'S OPERATIONS IN OREGON.**

2 A. Walmart operates 43 retail units and 1 distribution center and employs 11,681  
3 associates in Oregon. In fiscal year ending 2017, Walmart purchased \$665 million  
4 worth of goods and services from Oregon-based suppliers, supporting 17,569  
5 supplier jobs.<sup>1</sup>

6 **Q. PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE WALMART'S OPERATIONS WITHIN THE COMPANY'S**  
7 **SERVICE TERRITORY.**

8 A. Walmart has 19 stores that take electric service from Portland General Electric  
9 Company ("PGE" or "Company"), primarily on Schedule 85.

10

11 **Purpose of Testimony and Summary of Recommendations**

12 **Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?**

13 A. The purpose of my testimony is to address aspects of PGE's rate case filing and to  
14 provide recommendations to assist the Commission in thoroughly and carefully  
15 considering the customer impact of the Company's proposals.

16 **Q. IN SETTING THE REVENUE REQUIREMENT, ROE, ALLOCATION, AND RATE DESIGN**  
17 **CHANGES FOR THE COMPANY, SHOULD THE COMMISSION CONSIDER THE IMPACT**  
18 **OF THE PROPOSED RATE INCREASE ON BUSINESS CUSTOMERS?**

19 A. Yes. Electricity is a significant operating cost for retailers such as Walmart. When  
20 electric rates increase, that increased cost to retailers can put pressure on other  
21 expenses required by the business to operate and on consumer prices. The

---

<sup>1</sup> <http://corporate.walmart.com/our-story/locations/united-states#/united-states/oregon>

1 Commission should thoroughly and carefully consider the impact on customers in  
2 examining the requested revenue requirement and ROE, in addition to all other  
3 facets of this case, to ensure that any increase to the Company's rates is the  
4 minimum amount necessary to provide safe, adequate, and reliable service, while  
5 also providing PGE the opportunity to recover its reasonable and prudent costs and  
6 earn a reasonable return on its investment.

7 **Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE WALMART'S RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE COMMISSION.**

8 A. Walmart's recommendations to the Commission are as follows:

- 9 1) Walmart does not oppose the Company's proposal to allocate generation  
10 capacity cost using a four coincident peak ("4CP") allocator.
- 11 2) Walmart does not oppose the Company's proposal to allocate transmission  
12 costs using a twelve coincident peak ("12CP") allocator.
- 13 3) Walmart does not take a position on the other facets of the Company's  
14 proposed cost of service model at this time. However, to the extent that  
15 alternative cost of service models or modifications to the Company's cost of  
16 service model are proposed by other parties, Walmart reserves the right to  
17 address such changes in accord with the Commission's procedures in this  
18 docket.
- 19 4) At the Company's proposed revenue requirement, Walmart does not oppose  
20 the Company's proposed ratespread. Additionally, if the Commission  
21 approves the Company's proposed revenue requirement, the Commission

1                   should not use the CIO to create inter-class subsidies where the Company  
2                   proposes none.

3                   5)    If the Commission approves a revenue requirement lower than that  
4                   proposed by the Company, the Commission should use the reduction in  
5                   revenue to reduce the Company's proposed inter-class subsidies.  
6                   Additionally, the Commission should not use the Customer Impact Offset  
7                   ("CIO") to create inter-class subsidies where the Company proposes none.

8                   **Q.    DOES THE FACT THAT YOU MAY NOT ADDRESS AN ISSUE OR POSITION**  
9                   **ADVOCATED BY THE COMPANY INDICATE WALMART'S SUPPORT?**

10                  A.    No. The fact that an issue is not addressed herein or in related filings should not be  
11                  construed as an endorsement of, agreement with, or consent to any filed position.

12

13                  **Cost of Service**

14                  **Q.    WHAT IS WALMART'S POSITION ON SETTING RATES BASED ON THE UTILITY'S COST**  
15                  **OF SERVICE?**

16                  A.    Walmart advocates that rates be set based on the utility's cost of service for each  
17                  rate class. This produces equitable rates that reflect cost causation, send proper  
18                  price signals, and minimize price distortions.



1       **Q.     WHAT IS YOUR GENERAL UNDERSTANDING OF THE COMPANY’S PROPOSED COST**  
2       **OF SERVICE STUDY?**

3       A.     My understanding is that the Company proposes a cost of service study based on the  
4       Company’s marginal generation, transmission, distribution, customer, and street  
5       lighting costs. *See* PGE/1300/Cody-Macfarlane/1/8-20.

6       **Q.     WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE PURPOSE OF GENERATION AND**  
7       **TRANSMISSION CAPACITY COST ALLOCATION?**

8       A.     Generation capacity cost allocation is the process of allocating to each customer  
9       class the fixed costs of a utility’s generation assets. Likewise, transmission cost  
10      allocation is the process of allocating to each customer class the fixed costs of a  
11      utility’s transmission assets. Fixed costs are defined as costs that do not vary with  
12      the level of output and must be paid even if there is no output.<sup>2</sup>

13      **Q.     DO A UTILITY’S FIXED GENERATION OR TRANSMISSION CAPACITY COSTS CHANGE**  
14      **WITH CHANGES IN THE AMOUNT OF ELECTRICITY GENERATED?**

15      A.     No. The utility’s fixed production capacity costs do not change with changes in the  
16      amount of electricity generated. For example, if a baseload unit is not dispatched  
17      and produces no energy, the fixed costs are not avoided by the utility or customers.  
18      Generation units can be built and operated for different reasons, such as lower fuel  
19      costs, peaking needs, or reliability, but the way in which a generation unit is  
20      operated does not change the fact that the fixed costs are, in fact, fixed, and should  
21      be treated as such in the generation capacity cost allocation.

---

<sup>2</sup> Pindyck, Robert S. and Daniel L. Rubinfeld, Microeconomics, 5<sup>th</sup> ed., 2001, page 206.

1       **Q.     WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE COMPANY’S PROPOSED METHODOLOGY**  
2       **TO ALLOCATE GENERATION CAPACITY COSTS TO THE CUSTOMER CLASSES?**

3       A.     My understanding is that the Company proposes to multiply the real levelized  
4       annual capacity cost from the marginal cost of service study by the projected test-  
5       period peak-hour load. The Company then proposes to allocate that generation  
6       capacity cost to customer classes using a 4CP allocator based on the Company’s  
7       January, July, August, and December peaks. *See* PGE/1400/Cody-Macfarlane/4/1-8.

8       **Q.     WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE COMPANY’S PROPOSED METHODOLOGY**  
9       **TO ALLOCATE TRANSMISSION COSTS AMONG THE CUSTOMER CLASSES?**

10      A.     My understanding is that the Company proposes to allocate transmission costs to  
11      the customer classes on the basis of each classes’ 12CP times the marginal unit cost  
12      of transmission. *Id.*, 15-18.

13      **Q.     DOES WALMART OPPOSE THE COMPANY’S PROPOSED GENERATION CAPACITY**  
14      **COST AND TRANSMISSION COST ALLOCATIONS?**

15      A.     No. The use of coincident peak allocators for generation capacity and transmission  
16      costs properly reflects that these costs are incurred in order to meet customer  
17      demands on the system.

18      **Q.     DOES WALMART TAKE A POSITION ON THE OTHER FACETS OF THE COMPANY’S**  
19      **PROPOSED COST OF SERVICE MODEL AT THIS TIME?**

20      A.     No. However, to the extent that alternate cost of service models or modifications to  
21      the Company’s model are proposed by other parties, Walmart reserves the right to

1 address any such proposals or changes in accord with the Commission's procedures  
2 in this docket.

3

4 **Ratespread**

5 **Q. DOES THE COMPANY UTILIZE A MECHANISM IN THE RATESPREAD PROCESS TO**  
6 **SHIFT COSTS FROM ONE CLASS TO ANOTHER?**

7 A. Yes. The Company employs the Customer Impact Offset ("CIO") to create inter-class  
8 subsidies in rates. If a customer class has a positive mills/kWh CIO, that class is  
9 paying subsidies to one or more other classes. If a customer class has a negative  
10 mills/kWh CIO, that class is receiving subsidies from one or more other classes.

11 **Q. WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE COMPANY'S PROPOSED RATESPREAD?**

12 A. My understanding is that the Company proposes a multi-step rate spread. First, the  
13 Company proposes to reallocate transmission, ancillary service, and distribution  
14 costs between Schedules 89 and 90. Second, using the CIO as a guide, the Company  
15 proposes to set the rates for Schedules 32, 38, 47, 49, 83, 90, and 92 at their cost of  
16 service levels, as for each schedule the CIO is set to zero. Third, the Company  
17 proposes to assign incremental revenue to Schedule 15 in order to equalize its  
18 distribution charges with Schedules 91 and 95. Finally, the Company proposes to  
19 mitigate the impact of the proposed revenue requirement increase to Schedule 7 by  
20 creating a CIO surcharge for Schedule 89. See PGE/1403/Cody-Macfarlane/11.

1       **Q.     WHAT IS WALMART’S RECOMMENDATION TO THE COMMISSION AT THE**  
2       **COMPANY’S PROPOSED REVENUE REQUIREMENT?**

3       A.     At the Company’s proposed revenue requirement, Walmart does not oppose the  
4       Company’s proposed ratespread.  Additionally, if the Commission approves the  
5       Company’s proposed revenue requirement, the Commission should not use the CIO  
6       to create inter-class subsidies where the Company proposes none.

7       **Q.     IF THE COMMISSION APPROVES A LOWER REVENUE REQUIREMENT THAN THAT**  
8       **PROPOSED BY THE COMPANY, WHAT IS WALMART’S RECOMMENDATION TO THE**  
9       **COMMISSION?**

10      A.     If the Commission approves a revenue requirement lower than that proposed by the  
11      Company, the Commission should use the reduction in revenue to reduce the  
12      Company’s proposed inter-class subsidies.  Additionally, the Commission should not  
13      use the CIO to create inter-class subsidies where the Company proposes none.

14      **Q.     DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?**

15      A.     Yes.

# Steve W. Chriss

Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.

Business Address: 2001 SE 10<sup>th</sup> Street, Bentonville, AR, 72716-0550

Business Phone: (479) 204-1594

---

## EXPERIENCE

July 2007 – Present

**Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.**, Bentonville, AR

**Director, Energy and Strategy Analysis** (October 2016 – Present)

**Senior Manager, Energy Regulatory Analysis** (June 2011 – October 2016)

**Manager, State Rate Proceedings** (July 2007 – June 2011)

June 2003 – July 2007

**Public Utility Commission of Oregon**, Salem, OR

**Senior Utility Analyst** (February 2006 – July 2007)

**Economist** (June 2003 – February 2006)

January 2003 - May 2003

**North Harris College**, Houston, TX

**Adjunct Instructor, Microeconomics**

June 2001 - March 2003

**Econ One Research, Inc.**, Houston, TX

**Senior Analyst** (October 2002 – March 2003)

**Analyst** (June 2001 – October 2002)

## EDUCATION

2001

**Louisiana State University**

M.S., Agricultural Economics

1997-1998

**University of Florida**

Graduate Coursework, Agricultural Education and Communication

1997

**Texas A&M University**

B.S., Agricultural Development

B.S., Horticulture

## TESTIMONY BEFORE REGULATORY COMMISSIONS

2017

Minnesota Docket No. E015/GR-16-664: In the Matter of the Application of Minnesota Power for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Service in Minnesota.

Ohio Case No. 16-1852-EL-SSO: In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to §4928.143, Ohio Rev. Code, In the Form of an Electric Security Plan.

Texas Docket No. 46449: Application of Southwestern Electric Power Company for Authority to Change Rates.

Arkansas Docket No. 16-052-U: In the Matter of the Application of Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company for Approval of a General Change in Rates, Charges, and Tariffs.

Missouri Case No. EA-2016-0358: In the Matter of the Application of Grain Belt Express Clean Line LLC for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorizing it to Construct, Own, Operate, Control, Manage and Maintain a High Voltage, Direct Current Transmission Line and an Associated Converter Station Providing an Interconnection on the Maywood-Montgomery 345 kV Transmission Line.

Florida Docket No. 160186-Ei: In Re: Petition for Increase in Rates by Gulf Power Company.

*2016*

Missouri Case No. ER-2016-0179: In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri Tariffs to Increase its Revenues for Electric Service.

Kansas Docket No. 16-KCPE-593-ACQ: In the Matter of the Joint Application of Great Plains Energy Incorporated, Kansas City Power & Light Company, and Westar Energy, Inc. for Approval of the Acquisition of Westar Energy, Inc. by Great Plains Energy Incorporated.

Missouri Case No. EA-2016-0208: In the Matter of the Application of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri for Permission and Approval and a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity Authorizing it to Offer a Pilot Distributed Solar Program and File Associated Tariff.

Utah Docket No. 16-035-T09: In the Matter of Rocky Mountain Power's Proposed Electric Service Schedule No. 34, Renewable Energy Tariff.

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. R-2016-2537359: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. West Penn Power Company.

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. R-2016-2537352: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Pennsylvania Electric Company.

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. R-2016-2537355: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Pennsylvania Power Company.

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. R-2016-2537349: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Metropolitan Edison Company.

Michigan Case No. U-17990: In the Matter of the Application of Consumers Energy Company for Authority to Increase its Rates for the Generation and Distribution of Electricity and for Other Relief.

Florida Docket No. 160021-EI: In Re: Petition for Rate Increase by Florida Power & Light Company.

Minnesota Docket No. E-002/GR-15-816: In the Matter of the Application of Northern States Power Company for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Service in the State of Minnesota.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 16AL-0048E: Re: In the Matter of Advice Letter No. 1712-Electric Filed by Public Service Company of Colorado to Replace Colorado PUC No.7-Electric Tariff with Colorado PUC No. 8-Electric Tariff.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 16A-0055E: Re: In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of Colorado for Approval of its Solar\*Connect Program.

Missouri Public Service Commission Case No. ER-2016-0023: In the Matter of the Empire District Electric Company of Joplin, Missouri for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Missouri Service Area of the Company.

Georgia Public Service Commission Docket No. 40161: In Re: Georgia Power Company's 2016 Integrated Resource Plan and Application for Decertification of Plant Mitchell Units 3, 4A and 4B, Plant Kraft Unit 1 CT, and Intercession City CT.

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 201500273: In the Matter of Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company for an Order of the Commission Authorizing Applicant to Modify its Rates, Charges, and Tariffs for Retail Electric Service in Oklahoma.

New Mexico Case No. 15-00261-UT: In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of New Mexico for Revision of its Retail Electric Rates Pursuant to Advice Notice No. 513.

#### 2015

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission Cause No. 44688: Petition of Northern Indiana Public Service Company for Authority to Modify its Rates and Charges for Electric Utility Service and for Approval of: (1) Changes to its Electric Service Tariff Including a New Schedule of Rates and Charges and Changes to the General Rules and Regulations and Certain Riders; (2) Revised Depreciation Accrual Rates; (3) Inclusion in its Basic Rates and Charges of the Costs Associated with Certain Previously Approved Qualified Pollution Control Property, Clean Coal Technology, Clean Energy Projects and Federally Mandated Compliance Projects; and (4) Accounting Relief to Allow NIPSCO to Defer, as a Regulatory Asset or Liability, Certain Costs for Recovery in a Future Proceeding.

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 44941: Application of El Paso Electric Company to Change Rates.

Arizona Corporation Commission Docket No. E-04204A-15-0142: In the matter of the Application of UNS Electric, Inc. for the Establishment of Just and Reasonable Rates and Charges Designed to Realized a Reasonable Rate of Return on the Fair Value of the Properties of UNS Electric, Inc. Devoted to its Operations Throughout the State of Arizona, and for Related Approvals.

Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 4568: In Re: National Grid's Rate Design Plan.

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 201500208: Application of Public Service Company of Oklahoma, an Oklahoma Corporation, for an Adjustment in its Rates and Charges and the Electric Service Rules, Regulations and Conditions of Service for Electric Service in the State of Oklahoma.

Public Service Commission of Wisconsin Docket No. 4220-UR-121: Application of Northern States Power Company, A Wisconsin Corporation, for Authority to Adjust Electric and Natural Gas Rates.

Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 15-015-U: In the Matter of the Application of Entergy Arkansas, Inc. for Approval of Changes in Rates for Retail Electric Service.

New York Public Service Commission Case No. 15-E-0283: Proceeding on Motion of the Commission as to the Rates, Charges, Rules, and Regulations of New York State Electric & Gas Corporation for Electric Service.

New York Public Service Commission Case No. 15-G-0284: Proceeding on Motion of the Commission as to the Rates, Charges, Rules, and Regulations of New York State Electric & Gas Corporation for Gas Service.

New York Public Service Commission Case No. 15-E-0285: Proceeding on Motion of the Commission as to the Rates, Charges, Rules, and Regulations of Rochester Gas & Electric Corporation for Electric Service.

New York Public Service Commission Case No. 15-G-0286: Proceeding on Motion of the Commission as to the Rates, Charges, Rules, and Regulations of Rochester Gas & Electric Corporation for Gas Service.

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Case No. 14-1693-EL-RDR: In the Matter of the Application Seeking Approval of Ohio Power Company's Proposal to Enter Into an Affiliate Power Purchase Agreement for Inclusion in the Power Purchase Agreement Rider.

Public Service Commission of Wisconsin Docket No. 6690-UR-124: Application of Wisconsin Public Service Corporation for Authority to Adjust Electric and Natural Gas Rates.

Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 15-034-U: In the Matter of an Interim Rate Schedule of Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company Imposing a Surcharge to Recover All Investments and Expenses Incurred Through Compliance with Legislative or Administrative Rules, Regulations, or Requirements Relating to the Public Health, Safety or the Environment Under the Federal Clean Air Act for Certain of its Existing Generation Facilities.

Kansas Corporation Commission Docket No. 15-WSEE-115-RTS: In the Matter of the Application of Westar Energy, Inc. and Kansas Gas and Electric Company to Make Certain Changes in their Charges for Electric Service.

Michigan Public Service Commission Case No. U-17767: In the Matter of the Application of DTE Electric Company for Authority to Increase its Rates, Amend its Rate Schedules and Rules Governing the Distribution and Supply of Electric Energy, and for Miscellaneous Accounting Authority.

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 43695: Application of Southwestern Public Service Company for Authority to Change Rates.

Kansas Corporation Commission Docket No. 15-KCPE-116-RTS: In the Matter of the Application of Kansas City Power & Light Company to Make Certain Changes in its Charges for Electric Service.

Michigan Case No. U-17735: In the Matter of the Application of the Consumers Energy Company for Authority to Increase its Rates for the Generation and Distribution of Electricity and for Other Relief.

Kentucky Public Service Commission Case No. 2014-00396: Application of Kentucky Power Company for a General Adjustment of its Rates for Electric Service; (2) an Order Approving its 2014 Environmental Compliance Plan; (3) an Order Approving its Tariffs and Riders; and (4) an Order Granting All Other Required Approvals and Relief.

Kentucky Public Service Commission Case No. 2014-00371: In the Matter of the Application of Kentucky Utilities Company for an Adjustment of its Electric Rates.

Kentucky Public Service Commission Case No. 2014-00372: In the Matter of the Application of Louisville Gas and Electric Company for an Adjustment of its Electric and Gas Rates.



2014

Ohio Public Utilities Commission Case No. 14-1297-EL-SSO: In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and the Toledo Edison Company for Authority to Provide for a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to R.C. 4928.143 in the Form of an Electric Security Plan.

West Virginia Case No. 14-1152-E-42T: Appalachian Power Company and Wheeling Power Company, Both d/b/a American Electric Power, Joint Application for Rate Increases and Changes in Tariff Provisions.

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 201400229: In the Matter of the Application of Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company for Commission Authorization of a Plan to Comply with the Federal Clean Air Act and Cost Recovery; and for Approval of the Mustang Modernization Plan.

Missouri Public Service Commission Case No. ER-2014-0258: In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Tariff to Increase its Revenues for Electric Service.

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. R-2014-2428742: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. West Penn Power Company.

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. R-2014-2428743: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Pennsylvania Electric Company.

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. R-2014-2428744: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Pennsylvania Power Company.

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. R-2014-2428745: Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Metropolitan Edison Company.

Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission Docket No. UE-141368: In the Matter of the Petition of Puget Sound Energy to Update Methodologies Used to Allocate Electric Cost of Service and For Electric Rate Design Purposes.

Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission Docket No. UE-140762: 2014 Pacific Power & Light Company General Rate Case.

West Virginia Public Service Commission Case No. 14-0702-E-42T: Monongahela Power Company and the Potomac Edison Company Rule 42T Tariff Filing to Increase Rates and Charges.

Ohio Public Utilities Commission Case No. 14-841-EL-SSO: In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to Section 4928.143, Revised Code, in the Form of Case No. 14-841-EL-SSO an Electric Security Plan, Accounting Modifications and Tariffs for Generation Service.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 14AL-0660E: Re: In the Matter of the Advice Letter No. 1672-Electric Filed by Public Service Company of Colorado to Revise its Colorado PUC No. 7-Electric Tariff to Implement a General Rate Schedule Adjustment and Other Rate Changes Effective July 18, 2014.

Maryland Case No. 9355: In the Matter of the Application of Baltimore Gas and Electric Company for Authority to Increase Existing Rates and Charges for Electric and Gas Service.

Mississippi Public Service Commission Docket No. 2014-UN-132: In Re: Notice of Intent of Entergy Mississippi, Inc. to Modernize Rates to Support Economic Development, Power Procurement, and Continued Investment.

Nevada Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 14-05004: Application of Nevada Power Company d/b/a NV Energy for Authority to Increase its Annual Revenue Requirement for General Rates Charged to All Classes of Electric Customers and for Relief Properly Related Thereto.

Utah Public Service Commission Docket No. 14-035-T02: In the Matter of Rocky Mountain Power's Proposed Electric Service Schedule No. 32, Service From Renewable Energy Facilities.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 140002-EG: In Re: Energy Conservation Cost Recovery Clause.

Public Service Commission of Wisconsin Docket No. 6690-UR-123: Application of Wisconsin Public Service Corporation for Authority to Adjust Electric and Natural Gas Rates.

Connecticut Docket No. 14-05-06: Application of the Connecticut Light and Power Company to Amend its Rate Schedules.

Virginia Corporation Commission Case No. PUE-2014-00026: Application of Appalachian Power Company for a 2014 Biennial Review for the Provision of Generation, Distribution and Transmission Services Pursuant to § 56-585.1 A of the Code of Virginia.

Virginia Corporation Commission Case No. PUE-2014-00033: Application of Virginia Electric and Power Company to Revise its Fuel Factor Pursuant to Va. Code § 56-249.6.

Arizona Corporation Commission Docket No. E-01345A-11-0224 (Four Corners Phase): In the Matter of Arizona Public Service Company for a Hearing to Determine the Fair Value of Utility Property of the Company for Ratemaking Purposes, to Fix and Just and Reasonable Rate of Return Thereon, to Approve Rate Schedules Designed to Develop Such Return.

Minnesota Public Utilities Commission Docket No. E-002/GR-13-868: In the Matter of the Application of Northern States Power Company, for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Service in Minnesota.

Utah Public Service Commission Docket No. 13-035-184: In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Utah and for Approval of its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations.

Missouri Public Service Commission Case No. EC-2014-0224: In the Matter of Noranda Aluminum, Inc.'s Request for Revisions to Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Large Transmission Service Tariff to Decrease its Rate for Electric Service.

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 201300217: Application of Public Service Company of Oklahoma to be in Compliance with Order No. 591185 Issued in Cause No. PUD 201100106 Which Requires a Base Rate Case to be Filed by PSO and the Resulting Adjustment in its Rates and Charges and Terms and Conditions of Service for Electric Service in the State of Oklahoma.

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Case No. 13-2386-EL-SSO: In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to §4928.143, Ohio Rev. Code, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan.

2013

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 201300201: Application of Public Service Company of Oklahoma for Commission Authorization of a Standby and Supplemental Service Rate Schedule.

Georgia Public Service Commission Docket No. 36989: Georgia Power's 2013 Rate Case.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130140-EI: Petition for Rate Increase by Gulf Power Company.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UE 267: In the Matter of PACIFICORP, dba PACIFIC POWER, Transition Adjustment, Five-Year Cost of Service Opt-Out.

Illinois Commerce Commission Docket No. 13-0387: Commonwealth Edison Company Tariff Filing to Present the Illinois Commerce Commission with an Opportunity to Consider Revenue Neutral Tariff Changes Related to Rate Design Authorized by Subsection 16-108.5 of the Public Utilities Act.

Iowa Utilities Board Docket No. RPU-2013-0004: In Re: MidAmerican Energy Company.

South Dakota Public Utilities Commission Docket No. EL12-061: In the Matter of the Application of Black Hills Power, Inc. for Authority to Increase its Electric Rates. (filed with confidential stipulation)

Kansas Corporation Commission Docket No. 13-WSEE-629-RTS: In the Matter of the Applications of Westar Energy, Inc. and Kansas Gas and Electric Company for Approval to Make Certain Changes in their Charges for Electric Service.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UE 263: In the Matter of PACIFICORP, dba PACIFIC POWER, Request for a General Rate Revision.

Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 13-028-U: In the Matter of the Application of Entergy Arkansas, Inc. for Approval of Changes in Rates for Retail Electric Service.

Virginia State Corporation Commission Docket No. PUE-2013-00020: Application of Virginia Electric and Power Company for a 2013 Biennial Review of the Rates, Terms, and Conditions for the Provision of Generation, Distribution, and Transmission Services Pursuant to § 56-585.1 A of the Code of Virginia.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 130040-EI: Petition for Rate Increase by Tampa Electric Company.

South Carolina Public Service Commission Docket No. 2013-59-E: Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC, for Authority to Adjust and Increase Its Electric Rates and Charges.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UE 262: In the Matter of PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, Request for a General Rate Revision.

New Jersey Board of Public Utilities Docket No. ER12111052: In the Matter of the Verified Petition of Jersey Central Power & Light Company For Review and Approval of Increases in and Other Adjustments to Its Rates and Charges For Electric Service, and For Approval of Other Proposed Tariff Revisions in Connection Therewith; and for Approval of an Accelerated Reliability Enhancement Program ("2012 Base Rate Filing")

North Carolina Utilities Commission Docket No. E-7, Sub 1026: In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC for Adjustment of Rates and Charges Applicable to Electric Service in North Carolina.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UE 264: PACIFICORP, dba PACIFIC POWER, 2014 Transition Adjustment Mechanism.

Public Utilities Commission of California Docket No. 12-12-002: Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for 2013 Rate Design Window Proceeding.

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Docket Nos. 12-426-EL-SSO, 12-427-EL-ATA, 12-428-EL-AAM, 12-429-EL-WVR, and 12-672-EL-RDR: In the Matter of the Application of the Dayton Power and Light Company Approval of its Market Offer.

Minnesota Public Utilities Commission Docket No. E-002/GR-12-961: In the Matter of the Application of Northern States Power Company for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Service in Minnesota.

North Carolina Utilities Commission Docket E-2, Sub 1023: In the Matter of Application of Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc. For Adjustment of Rates and Charges Applicable to Electric Service in North Carolina.

#### *2012*

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 40443: Application of Southwestern Electric Power Company for Authority to Change Rates and Reconcile Fuel Costs.

South Carolina Public Service Commission Docket No. 2012-218-E: Application of South Carolina Electric & Gas Company for Increases and Adjustments in Electric Rate Schedules and Tariffs and Request for Mid-Period Reduction in Base Rates for Fuel.

Kansas Corporation Commission Docket No. 12-KCPE-764-RTS: In the Matter of the Application of Kansas City Power & Light Company to Make Certain Changes in its Charges for Electric Service.

Kansas Corporation Commission Docket No. 12-GIMX-337-GIV: In the Matter of a General Investigation of Energy-Efficiency Policies for Utility Sponsored Energy Efficiency Programs.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 120015-El: In Re: Petition for Rate Increase by Florida Power & Light Company.

California Public Utilities Commission Docket No. A.11-10-002: Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U 902 E) for Authority to Update Marginal Costs, Cost Allocation, and Electric Rate Design.

Utah Public Service Commission Docket No. 11-035-200: In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Utah and for Approval of its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations.

Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUE-2012-00051: Application of Appalachian Power Company to Revise its Fuel Factor Pursuant to § 56-249.6 of the Code of Virginia.

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Case Nos. 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, and 11-350-EL-AAM: In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to Section 4928.143, Revised Code, in the Form on an Electric Security Plan and In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Approval of Certain Accounting Authority.

New Jersey Board of Public Utilities Docket No. ER11080469: In the Matter of the Petition of Atlantic City Electric for Approval of Amendments to Its Tariff to Provide for an Increase in Rates and Charges for Electric Service Pursuant to N.J.S.A. 48:2-21 and N.J.S.A. 48:2-21.1 and For Other Appropriate Relief.

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 39896: Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. for Authority to Change Rates and Reconcile Fuel Costs.

Missouri Public Service Commission Case No. EO-2012-0009: In the Matter of KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Notice of Intent to File an Application for Authority to Establish a Demand-Side Programs Investment Mechanism.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 11AL-947E: In the Matter of Advice Letter No. 1597-Electric Filed by Public Service Company of Colorado to Revise its Colorado PUC No. 7-Electric Tariff to Implement a General Rate Schedule Adjustment and Other Changes Effective December 23, 2011.

Illinois Commerce Commission Docket No. 11-0721: Commonwealth Edison Company Tariffs and Charges Submitted Pursuant to Section 16-108.5 of the Public Utilities Act.

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 38951: Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. for Approval of Competitive Generation Service tariff (Issues Severed from Docket No. 37744).

California Public Utilities Commission Docket No. A.11-06-007: Southern California Edison's General Rate Case, Phase 2.

#### *2011*

Arizona Corporation Commission Docket No. E-01345A-11-0224: In the Matter of Arizona Public Service Company for a Hearing to Determine the Fair Value of Utility Property of the Company for Ratemaking Purposes, to Fix and Just and Reasonable Rate of Return Thereon, to Approve Rate Schedules Designed to Develop Such Return.

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 201100087: In the Matter of the Application of Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company for an Order of the Commission Authorizing Applicant to Modify its Rates, Charges, and Tariffs for Retail Electric Service in Oklahoma.

South Carolina Public Service Commission Docket No. 2011-271-E: Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC for Authority to Adjust and Increase its Electric Rates and Charges.

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission Docket No. P-2011-2256365: Petition of PPL Electric Utilities Corporation for Approval to Implement Reconciliation Rider for Default Supply Service.

North Carolina Utilities Commission Docket No. E-7, Sub 989: In the Matter of Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC for Adjustment of Rates and Charges Applicable to Electric Service in North Carolina.

Florida Public Service Commission Docket No. 110138: In Re: Petition for Increase in Rates by Gulf Power Company.

Public Utilities Commission of Nevada Docket No. 11-06006: In the Matter of the Application of Nevada Power Company, filed pursuant to NRS 704.110(3) for authority to increase its annual revenue requirement for general rates charged to all classes of customers to recover the costs of constructing the Harry Allen Combined Cycle plant and other generating, transmission, and distribution plant additions, to

reflect changes in the cost of capital, depreciation rates and cost of service, and for relief properly related thereto.

North Carolina Utilities Commission Docket Nos. E-2, Sub 998 and E-7, Sub 986: In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Corporation and Progress Energy, Inc., to Engage in a Business Combination Transaction and to Address Regulatory Conditions and Codes of Conduct.

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Case Nos. 11-346-EL-SSO, 11-348-EL-SSO, 11-349-EL-AAM, and 11-350-EL-AAM: In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to Section 4928.143, Revised Code, in the Form on an Electric Security Plan and In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Approval of Certain Accounting Authority.

Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUE-2011-00037: In the Matter of Appalachian Power Company for a 2011 Biennial Review of the Rates, Terms, and Conditions for the Provision of Generation, Distribution, and Transmission Services Pursuant to § 56-585.1 A of the Code of Virginia.

Illinois Commerce Commission Docket No. 11-0279 and 11-0282 (cons.): Ameren Illinois Company Proposed General Increase in Electric Delivery Service and Ameren Illinois Company Proposed General Increase in Gas Delivery Service.

Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUE-2011-00045: Application of Virginia Electric and Power Company to Revise its Fuel Factor Pursuant to § 56-249.6 of the Code of Virginia.

Utah Public Service Commission Docket No. 10-035-124: In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Utah and for Approval of its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations.

Maryland Public Utilities Commission Case No. 9249: In the Matter of the Application of Delmarva Power & Light for an Increase in its Retail Rates for the Distribution of Electric Energy.

Minnesota Public Utilities Commission Docket No. E002/GR-10-971: In the Matter of the Application of Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy for Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Service in Minnesota.

Michigan Public Service Commission Case No. U-16472: In the Matter of the Detroit Edison Company for Authority to Increase its Rates, Amend its Rate Schedules and Rules Governing the Distribution and Supply of Electric Energy, and for Miscellaneous Accounting Authority.

#### *2010*

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Docket No. 10-2586-EL-SSO: In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio for Approval of a Market Rate Offer to Conduct a Competitive Bidding Process for Standard Service Offer Electric Generation Supply, Accounting Modifications, and Tariffs for Generation Service.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 10A-554EG: In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of Colorado for Approval of a Number of Strategic Issues Relating to its DSM Plan, Including Long-Term Electric Energy Savings Goals, and Incentives.

Public Service Commission of West Virginia Case No. 10-0699-E-42T: Appalachian Power Company and Wheeling Power Company Rule 42T Application to Increase Electric Rates.

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Cause No. PUD 201000050: Application of Public Service Company of Oklahoma, an Oklahoma Corporation, for an Adjustment in its Rates and Charges and Terms and Conditions of Service for Electric Service in the State of Oklahoma.

Georgia Public Service Commission Docket No. 31958-U: In Re: Georgia Power Company's 2010 Rate Case.

Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission Docket No. UE-100749: 2010 Pacific Power & Light Company General Rate Case.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 10M-254E: In the Matter of Commission Consideration of Black Hills Energy's Plan in Compliance with House Bill 10-1365, "Clean Air-Clean Jobs Act."

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 10M-245E: In the Matter of Commission Consideration of Public Service Company of Colorado Plan in Compliance with House Bill 10-1365, "Clean Air-Clean Jobs Act."

Public Service Commission of Utah Docket No. 09-035-15 *Phase II*: In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Approval of its Proposed Energy Cost Adjustment Mechanism.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UE 217: In the Matter of PACIFICORP, dba PACIFIC POWER Request for a General Rate Revision.

Mississippi Public Service Commission Docket No. 2010-AD-57: In Re: Proposal of the Mississippi Public Service Commission to Possibly Amend Certain Rules of Practice and Procedure.

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission Cause No. 43374: Verified Petition of Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. Requesting the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission to Approve an Alternative Regulatory Plan Pursuant to Ind. Code § 8-1-2.5-1, *ET SEQ.*, for the Offering of Energy Efficiency Conservation, Demand Response, and Demand-Side Management Programs and Associated Rate Treatment Including Incentives Pursuant to a Revised Standard Contract Rider No. 66 in Accordance with Ind. Code §§ 8-1-2.5-1 *ET SEQ.* and 8-1-2-42 (a); Authority to Defer Program Costs Associated with its Energy Efficiency Portfolio of Programs; Authority to Implement New and Enhanced Energy Efficiency Programs, Including the Powershare® Program in its Energy Efficiency Portfolio of Programs; and Approval of a Modification of the Fuel Adjustment Clause Earnings and Expense Tests.

Public Utility Commission of Texas Docket No. 37744: Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. for Authority to Change Rates and to Reconcile Fuel Costs.

South Carolina Public Service Commission Docket No. 2009-489-E: Application of South Carolina Electric & Gas Company for Adjustments and Increases in Electric Rate Schedules and Tariffs.

Kentucky Public Service Commission Case No. 2009-00459: In the Matter of General Adjustments in Electric Rates of Kentucky Power Company.

Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUE-2009-00125: For acquisition of natural gas facilities Pursuant to § 56-265.4:5 B of the Virginia Code.

Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 10-010-U: In the Matter of a Notice of Inquiry Into Energy Efficiency.

Connecticut Department of Public Utility Control Docket No. 09-12-05: Application of the Connecticut Light and Power Company to Amend its Rate Schedules.

Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 09-084-U: In the Matter of the Application of Entergy Arkansas, Inc. For Approval of Changes in Rates for Retail Electric Service.

Missouri Public Service Commission Docket No. ER-2010-0036: In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Company's Missouri Service Area.

Public Service Commission of Delaware Docket No. 09-414: In the Matter of the Application of Delmarva Power & Light Company for an Increase in Electric Base Rates and Miscellaneous Tariff Charges.

2009

Virginia State Corporation Commission Case No. PUE-2009-00030: In the Matter of Appalachian Power Company for a Statutory Review of the Rates, Terms, and Conditions for the Provision of Generation, Distribution, and Transmission Services Pursuant to § 56-585.1 A of the Code of Virginia.

Public Service Commission of Utah Docket No. 09-035-15 *Phase I*: In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Approval of its Proposed Energy Cost Adjustment Mechanism.

Public Service Commission of Utah Docket No. 09-035-23: In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority To Increase its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Utah and for Approval of Its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 09AL-299E: Re: The Tariff Sheets Filed by Public Service Company of Colorado with Advice Letter No. 1535 – Electric.

Arkansas Public Service Commission Docket No. 09-008-U: In the Matter of the Application of Southwestern Electric Power Company for Approval of a General Change in Rates and Tariffs.

Oklahoma Corporation Commission Docket No. PUD 200800398: In the Matter of the Application of Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company for an Order of the Commission Authorizing Applicant to Modify its Rates, Charges, and Tariffs for Retail Electric Service in Oklahoma.

Public Utilities Commission of Nevada Docket No. 08-12002: In the Matter of the Application by Nevada Power Company d/b/a NV Energy, filed pursuant to NRS §704.110(3) and NRS §704.110(4) for authority to increase its annual revenue requirement for general rates charged to all classes of customers, begin to recover the costs of acquiring the Bighorn Power Plant, constructing the Clark Peakers, Environmental Retrofits and other generating, transmission and distribution plant additions, to reflect changes in cost of service and for relief properly related thereto.

New Mexico Public Regulation Commission Case No. 08-00024-UT: In the Matter of a Rulemaking to Revise NMPRC Rule 17.7.2 NMAC to Implement the Efficient Use of Energy Act.

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission Cause No. 43580: Investigation by the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission, of Smart Grid Investments and Smart Grid Information Issues Contained in 111(d) of the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 (16 U.S.C. § 2621(d)), as Amended by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007.



Louisiana Public Service Commission Docket No. U-30192 *Phase II (February 2009)*: Ex Parte, Application of Entergy Louisiana, LLC for Approval to Repower Little Gypsy Unit 3 Electric Generating Facility and for Authority to Commence Construction and for Certain Cost Protection and Cost Recovery.

South Carolina Public Service Commission Docket No. 2008-251-E: In the Matter of Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.'s Application For the Establishment of Procedures to Encourage Investment in Energy Efficient Technologies; Energy Conservation Programs; And Incentives and Cost Recovery for Such Programs.

*2008*

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 08A-366EG: In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of Colorado for approval of its electric and natural gas demand-side management (DSM) plan for calendar years 2009 and 2010 and to change its electric and gas DSM cost adjustment rates effective January 1, 2009, and for related waivers and authorizations.

Public Service Commission of Utah Docket No. 07-035-93: In the Matter of the Application of Rocky Mountain Power for Authority to Increase its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in Utah and for Approval of its Proposed Electric Service Schedules and Electric Service Regulations, Consisting of a General Rate Increase of Approximately \$161.2 Million Per Year, and for Approval of a New Large Load Surcharge.

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission Cause No. 43374: Petition of Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. Requesting the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission Approve an Alternative Regulatory Plan for the Offering of Energy Efficiency, Conservation, Demand Response, and Demand-Side Management.

Public Utilities Commission of Nevada Docket No. 07-12001: In the Matter of the Application of Sierra Pacific Power Company for authority to increase its general rates charged to all classes of electric customers to reflect an increase in annual revenue requirement and for relief properly related thereto.

Louisiana Public Service Commission Docket No. U-30192 *Phase II*: Ex Parte, Application of Entergy Louisiana, LLC for Approval to Repower Little Gypsy Unit 3 Electric Generating Facility and for Authority to Commence Construction and for Certain Cost Protection and Cost Recovery.

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 07A-420E: In the Matter of the Application of Public Service Company of Colorado For Authority to Implement and Enhanced Demand Side Management Cost Adjustment Mechanism to Include Current Cost Recovery and Incentives.

*2007*

Louisiana Public Service Commission Docket No. U-30192: Ex Parte, Application of Entergy Louisiana, LLC for Approval to Repower Little Gypsy Unit 3 Electric Generating Facility and for Authority to Commence Construction and for Certain Cost Protection and Cost Recovery.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UG 173: In the Matter of PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON Staff Request to Open an Investigation into the Earnings of Cascade Natural Gas.

*2006*

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UE 180/UE 181/UE 184: In the Matter of PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY Request for a General Rate Revision.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UE 179: In the Matter of PACIFICORP, dba PACIFIC POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY Request for a general rate increase in the company's Oregon annual revenues.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UM 1129 *Phase II*: Investigation Related to Electric Utility Purchases From Qualifying Facilities.

2005

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UM 1129 *Phase I Compliance*: Investigation Related to Electric Utility Purchases From Qualifying Facilities.

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UX 29: In the Matter of QWEST CORPORATION Petition to Exempt from Regulation Qwest's Switched Business Services.

2004

Public Utility Commission of Oregon Docket No. UM 1129 *Phase I*: Investigation Related to Electric Utility Purchases From Qualifying Facilities.

#### **TESTIMONY BEFORE LEGISLATIVE BODIES**

2017

Regarding Missouri Senate Bill 190: Testimony before the Missouri Senate Committee on Commerce, Consumer Protection, Energy and the Environment, January 25, 2017.

2016

Regarding Missouri House Bill 1726: Testimony before the Missouri House Energy and Environment Committee, April 26, 2016.

2014

Regarding Kansas House Bill 2460: Testimony Before the Kansas House Standing Committee on Utilities and Telecommunications, February 12, 2014.

2012

Regarding Missouri House Bill 1488: Testimony Before the Missouri House Committee on Utilities, February 7, 2012.

2011

Regarding Missouri Senate Bills 50, 321, 359, and 406: Testimony Before the Missouri Senate Veterans' Affairs, Emerging Issues, Pensions, and Urban Affairs Committee, March 9, 2011.

#### **AFFIDAVITS**

2015

Supreme Court of Illinois, Docket No. 118129, Commonwealth Edison Company et al., respondents, v. Illinois Commerce Commission et al. (Illinois Competitive Energy Association et al., petitioners). Leave to appeal, Appellate Court, First District.

2011

Colorado Public Utilities Commission Docket No. 11M-951E: In the Matter of the Petition of Public Service Company of Colorado Pursuant to C.R.S. § 40-6-111(1)(d) for Interim Rate Relief Effective on or before January 21, 2012.

#### **ENERGY INDUSTRY PUBLICATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS**

Panelist, Transitioning Away from Traditional Utilities, Utah Association of Energy Users Annual Conference, Salt Lake City, Utah, May 18, 2017.

Panelist, Regulatory Approaches for Integrating and Facilitating DERs, New Mexico State University Center for Public Utilities Advisory Council Current Issues 2017, Santa Fe, New Mexico, April 25, 2017.

Presenter, Advancing Renewables in the Midwest, Columbia, Missouri, April 24, 2017.

Panelist, Leveraging New Energy Technologies to Improve Service and Reliability, Edison Electric Institute Spring National Key Accounts Workshop, Phoenix, Arizona, April 11, 2017.

Panelist, Private Sector Demand for Renewable Power, Vanderbilt Law School, Nashville, Tennessee, April 4, 2017.

Panelist, Expanding Solar Market Opportunities, 2017 Solar Power Colorado, Denver, Colorado, March 15, 2017.

Panelist, Renewables: Are Business Models Keeping Up?, Touchstone Energy Cooperatives NET Conference 2017, San Diego, California, January 30, 2017.

Panelist, The Business Case for Clean Energy, Minnesota Conservative Energy Forum, St. Paul, Minnesota, October 26, 2016.

Panelist, M-RETS Stakeholder Summit, Minneapolis, Minnesota, October 5, 2016.

Panelist, 40<sup>th</sup> Governor's Conference on Energy & the Environment, Kentucky Energy and Environment Cabinet, Lexington, Kentucky, September 21, 2016.

Panelist, Trends in Customer Expectations, Wisconsin Public Utility Institute, Madison, Wisconsin, September 6, 2016.

Panelist, The Governor's Utah Energy Development Summit 2015, May 21, 2015.

Mock Trial Expert Witness, The Energy Bar Association State Commission Practice and Regulation Committee and Young Lawyers Committee and Environment, Energy and Natural Resources Section of the D.C. Bar, Mastering Your First (or Next) State Public Utility Commission Hearing, February 13, 2014.

Panelist, Customer Panel, Virginia State Bar 29<sup>th</sup> National Regulatory Conference, Williamsburg, Virginia, May 19, 2011.

Chriss, S. (2006). "Regulatory Incentives and Natural Gas Purchasing – Lessons from the Oregon Natural Gas Procurement Study." Presented at the 19<sup>th</sup> Annual Western Conference, Center for Research in Regulated Industries Advanced Workshop in Regulation and Competition, Monterey, California, June 29, 2006.

Chriss, S. (2005). "Public Utility Commission of Oregon Natural Gas Procurement Study." Public Utility Commission of Oregon, Salem, OR. Report published in June, 2005. Presented to the Public Utility Commission of Oregon at a special public meeting on August 1, 2005.

Chriss, S. and M. Radler (2003). "Report from Houston: Conference on Energy Deregulation and Restructuring." USAEE Dialogue, Vol. 11, No. 1, March, 2003.

Chriss, S., M. Dwyer, and B. Pulliam (2002). "Impacts of Lifting the Ban on ANS Exports on West Coast Crude Oil Prices: A Reconsideration of the Evidence." Presented at the 22nd USAEE/IAEE North American Conference, Vancouver, BC, Canada, October 6-8, 2002.

Contributed to chapter on power marketing: "Power System Operations and Electricity Markets," Fred I. Denny and David E. Dismukes, authors. Published by CRC Press, June 2002.

Contributed to "Moving to the Front Lines: The Economic Impact of the Independent Power Plant Development in Louisiana," David E. Dismukes, author. Published by the Louisiana State University Center for Energy Studies, October 2001.

Dismukes, D.E., D.V. Mesyanzhinov, E.A. Downer, S. Chriss, and J.M. Burke (2001). "Alaska Natural Gas In-State Demand Study." Anchorage: Alaska Department of Natural Resources.