| 1 | BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION | | |
|--------|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| 2 | OF OREGON | | |
| 3 | UE 319 | | |
| 4 5 | In the Matter of | MOTION TO MODIFY PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE | |
| 6 | PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY | | |
| 7 | Request for General Rate Revision | EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION REQUESTED] | |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | Staff of the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Staff) moves the administrative law | | |
| 10 | judge (ALJ) to modify the procedural schedule in this case to allow Staff and intervenors to file | | |
| 11 | cross-reply testimony on September 5, 2017, to address one specific issue initially raised by the | | |
| 12 | Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon (CUB) in its opening testimony. Staff has conferred with all | | |
| 13 | parties and no party objects to this motion. | | |
| 14 | The issue subject to cross-reply testimony concerns CUB's proposal for the allocation of | | |
| 15 | costs for energy efficiency (EE) funded under Senate Bill 838 (2007). Under the current | | |
| 16 | procedural schedule, Staff and other intervenors will file testimony addressing CUB's proposal | | |
| 17 | on August 17, 2017, and Portland General Electric Company (PGE) will file reply testimony on | | |
| 18 | September 5, 2017. This schedule leaves no opportunity for Staff and other intervenors, such as | | |
| 19 | the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities (ICNU), to respond to each other's testimony | | |
| 20 | regarding CUB's proposal. And, it leaves no opportunity for CUB to address the response | | |
| 21 | testimony of Staff and other intervenors. | | |
| 22 | To allow opportunity to develop the record as completely as possible, Staff asks the ALJ | | |
| 23 | to allow Staff and intervenors to file cross-reply testimony on September 5, 2017, to address | | |
| 24 | response testimony regarding the EE issue to be filed by Staff and intervenors on August 17, | | |
| 25 | 2017. | | |
| 26 | | | |

Page 1 - STAFF MOTION TO MODIFY PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

| 1 | PGE does not object to this proposal as long as parties do not address any issue other tha | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | EE. | |
| 3 | CON | NCLUSION |
| 4 | Staff asks the ALJ to modify the procedu | ral schedule to allow Staff and intervenors to |
| 5 | file cross-reply testimony on September 5, 2017, regarding the EE cost allocation issue raised by | |
| 6 | CUB in its opening testimony at CUB/100, Jenks/2-13. Staff seeks no other change to the | |
| 7 | procedural schedule at this time so the due date for PGE's reply testimony would also be on | |
| 8 | September 5, 2017. | |
| 9 | DATED this day of August 2017 | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | Respectfully submitted, |
| 12 | | ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM |
| 13 | | Attorney General |
| 14 | | SMMM M / For |
| 15 | | Stephanie S. Andrus, #92512 Senior Assistant Attorney General |
| 16 | | Of Attorneys for Public Utility Commission of |
| 17 | | Oregon |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |

Page 2 - STAFF MOTION TO MODIFY PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

26