

### BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON UM 1811

In the Matter of	)
PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,	)))
Application for Transportation Electrification Programs	)

REPLY TESTIMONY OF FORTH September 19, 2017

### I. Introduction and Summary

1	Q.	Please state your names and positions with Forth.
2	A.	My name is Jeff Allen. I am the Executive Director for Forth.
3		My qualifications appear in Section IV of this testimony.
4		My name is Jeanette Shaw. I am the Director of Government Relations. My
5		qualifications are included in Forth/100/1.
6	Q.	Is Witness Jeanette Shaw the same Jeanette Shaw who testified on behalf of Forth
7		in Forth/100?
8	А.	Yes.
9	Q.	Does Witness Jeff Allen accept the written testimony of Jeanette Shaw
10		as filed in Forth/100?
11	A.	Yes.
13	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
14		A. Forth's testimony responds to the August 25, 2017, ChargePoint and Electric Vehicle
15		Charging Association ("EVCA") testimony opposing the stipulation filed in this docket.
16		And, addresses concerns that the pilot programs planned by PGE do not
17		meet Section 20(2)(d) of SB 1547: "Widespread transportation electrification should stimulate
18		innovation and competition, provide consumers with increased options in the use of charging
19		equipment and in procuring services from suppliers of electricity, attract private capital
20		investments and create high quality jobs in this state."

## II. Stipulated Pilot Programs – Outreach and Education, Electric Avenue Network.

- Q. Please provide how the recommended Electric Avenue Pilot agreed to by
   by Stipulating Parties, meets Section 20(2)(d) of SB 1547.
   A. First and foremost, it is important to recognize that the language in SB 1547
- 4 Refers to stimulating innovation and competition through widespread transportation
- 5 electrification and increasing options for consumers. Other parties are attempting to narrow
- 6 this definition, to say that programs must stimulate innovation and competition among public
- 7 charging providers and to redefine "consumers" as site hosts. This is an incorrect
- 8 interpretation of the statute. SB 1547 did not say that programs must stimulate competition
- 9 among the site hosts to select charging vendors; it said programs should stimulate competition
- 10 and innovation across the entire ecosystem of transportation electrification. PGE's pilot
- 11 programs including Electric Avenue Pilot clearly meet this criteria. They will help encourage
- 12 more competition and innovation among different electric vehicle types, vehicle use cases,
- 13 transit vehicles, and shared mobility for example.

#### 14 Q. Will PGE's proposed Electric Avenue Pilot also stimulate innovation and competition in

15 the EV charging market, specifically?

A. Yes. The best way to increase competition and innovation in the transportation electrification
market is to rapidly grow the size of the market. The primary purpose of SB 1547 plans, in fact is
supposed to be "to accelerate transportation electrification." It has been asserted that utility

19	investment and this program design would have a chilling effect on private ownership. Forth
20	believes the opposite is true – as more utility investment deploys more charging infrastructure,
21	more drivers adopt electric vehicles, and more infrastructure is necessary creating more
22	opportunities to sell and provide charging equipment and services. More vehicles on the road
23	also creates greater utilization of charging infrastructure, which in turn improves the economics
24	of infrastructure deployment, likely to attract more private investment. The EV charging
25	business model will become more profitable, more competitive, and more innovative when more
26	cars are using the charging network. However, initial investments in charging infrastructure are
27	necessary to help drive the vehicle market's growth. This is often referred to as the
28	"chicken and the egg" problem or more recently the "hot dog and bun" problem.
29	Forth previously submitted a letter signed by eight of our charging company members expressing
30	their strong support for PGE's proposed programs, including the Electric Avenue Pilot, for
31	these very reasons. The fact that eight competitors to ChargePoint – and each other – believe
32	this program will stimulate competition is, itself compelling evidence that this is true. This is also
33	collaboration (Exhibit 202-205) amongst Vulcan, auto manufacturers, and Electrify America

### **II. Qualifications**

•

1	Q.	Mr. Allen, please state your educational background and experience.
2	A.	I received a Bachelor of Arts with High Honors from the University of Michigan
3		and a Master of Public Policy from UC Berkeley. I have worked in the energy and
4		environmental field for over 25 years, including ten years as Executive Director of the
5		Oregon Environmental Council, and I currently serve on the board of Directors of PECI.
6		I have served as Executive Director of Forth (which was originally known as Drive Oregon)
7		since 2011. Forth is a non-profit trade association working to accelerate the growth of the
8		electric and "smart" mobility industry and provide great adoption of these technologies.
9		Forth is a non-profit trade association working to accelerate the growth of the electric and
10		"smart" mobility industry and promote greater adoption of these technologies. Forth as over
11		120 members representing automakers, EVSE suppliers, industry partners, utilities, local
12		governments, nonprofits and many other stakeholders within the transportation electrification
13		"ecosystem." (A complete membership is included as Forth Exhibit 201). Forth is recognized
14		as a global leader on electric mobility issues; has designed and implemented several leading
15		demonstration and pilot projects; has been the nation's leading recruiter of workplace
16		charging partners through the USDOE Workplace Charging Challenge for three years
17		running; and organizes the nation's leading annual conference on the subject, the EV
18		Roadmap Conference.

#### List of Exhibits -

- Forth 201 List of Forth Members
- Forth 202 Vulcan Letter
- Forth 203 Ford Letter
- Forth 204 Joint Automaker Industry Letter
- Forth 205 Electrify America Letter

Exhibit 201

-----



#### Forth Members

A&R Solar ABB Alliance of Automobile Manufacturers American Honda Motor Co. Amtek Research International **Apparent Energy** Arcimoto, Inc. Atomic Auto **BMW Group** Brammo Inc. Brazell & Company Burns & McDonnell **BYD Motors** CALSTART CarCharging Group Cascade Systems Technology Case Forensics Corporation Center for Sustainable Energy ChargeHub by Mogile Technologies Inc. ChargePoint City of Ashland City of Hillsboro **City of Portland Clean Power Research** CleanFuture CleanTech Alliance **CLEAResult Climate Solutions** Columbia River People's Utility District **Commuter Cars Cynergy E-Bikes D+R** International Efacec **Electric Vehicle Options** 1732 NW Quimby Street #240, Portland, Oregon 97209

**Electrification Coalition** eluminocity US **Emerald People's Utility District EMI** Consulting Energy Systems Group, Oregon State University College of Engineering Enhabit **Environment Oregon Eugene Water and Electric Board** EV 4 Oregon **EV** Connect **EV Safe Charge EV** Supercars EV Support, a Division of Puget Sound Solar EVgo **EVSE LLC** Fiat Chrysler Automobiles **FIER Automotive** FleetCarma Ford Motor Company **Gabel** Associates **General Motors** Greenlots Hawthorne Auto Clinic **IBEW 48 Jaguar Land Rover** KersTech Lane Regional Air Protection Agency Linn-Benton Community College Mahindra GenZe Mast Collaborative McCoy Russell LLP **Mentor Graphics** Mercedes-Benz

forthmobility.org

Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC) Nissan North America Northwest Energy Efficiency Alliance (NEEA) Northwest Environmental Business Council (NEBC) NW Energy Coalition OnTo Technology OpConnect Oregon Automobile Dealers Association Oregon Department of Administrative Services Oregon Department of Environmental Quality Oregon Electric Vehicle Association (OEVA) **Oregon Entrepreneurs Network Oregon Environmental Council Oregon SAE** Oregon Solar Energy Industries Association (OSEIA) **Ornelas Enterprises** P3 North America **Pacific Power** Paired Power Plug In America PlugShare Portland Development Commission Portland General Electric Premium-US **Puget Sound Energy** Railplane ReachNow **Research Into Action Rinehart Motion Systems** Seattle City Light Second Gear SemaConnect Shorepower Technologies Sierra Club - Oregon Chapter Smart Grid Northwest Social Enterprises Inc.

Solar Oregon Tacoma Power Tech-I-M Telefonix Thorn Run Partners Toyota Motor North America TriMet Uber Volkswagen Group of America Westside Transportation Alliance Workhorse Technologies

1732 NW Quimby Street #240, Portland, Oregon 97209

#### forthmobility.org



September 18, 2017

Public Utility Commission of Oregon 201High Street SE, Suite 100 Salem, OR 97301

Re: UM 1811 Portland General Electric (PGE) Transportation Electrification Program Application

#### Dear Commissioners:

Vulcan Inc. is a private company based in Seattle, Washington working to solve some of the biggest global issues. The projects and investments we pursue are inspired by the ideas of our founder Paul G. Allen and tethered to a simple principle; we use data to inform our efforts and seek out opportunities that can make a positive impact and share what we learn.

One of our key philanthropic focal areas in the climate and energy portfolio is transportation, where we seek to accelerate the adoption of electric vehicles and low-carbon energy sources to significantly reduce greenhouse gas emissions. With this objective in mind, we created the Smart City Challenge, where we committed to grant up to \$10 million to a mid-sized U.S. city to catalyze an aggressive transition to a clean electrified transportation system.

Vulcan, Inc. supports PGE's proposal and the settlement agreement submitted by PGE and Stipulating Parties on June 27<sup>th</sup>. We recommend that the Commission approve all program elements in the settlement (TriMet Pilot, Education and Outreach, Six Electric Avenue Charging Stations).

Community awareness and availability of charging infrastructure are well documented barriers to electric vehicle adoption and are not expected to be adequately addressed in the near future without an active role from electric utilities. Research indicates the nascent markets for both electric vehicles and charging infrastructure are still highly dependent on publicly funded incentives and subsidies. In particular, geographic gaps in charging infrastructure are likely to persist in low-income neighborhoods where currently utilization might be low; it is in these locations where regulated utilities can help deliver the backbone infrastructure necessary for a reliable and equitable charging network that serves all future users in a city and metro region.

Considering SB1547 requires utilities to submit plans "...for programs to accelerate transportation electrification," PGE's \$8 million proposal is, in our opinion, just a first small positive step forward. Much more will be needed in the coming months.

505 Fifth Ave S Suite 900 Seattle, WA 98104

> 206 342 2000 Tel 206 342 3000 Fax

To: Public Utility Commission of Oregon Re: UM 1811 PGE Transportation Electrification Program Date: September 18, 2017 Page 2 of 2

In particular, we would like to address specific objections submitted to the Commission during the August 25 round of testimony with regards to the Electric Avenue Pilot:

- 1. In our view, *six* charging stations would not "dampen innovation, competition, and customer choice" in the charging infrastructure market. Furthermore, it is inconceivable that this small pilot would have any negative impact on EV sales or private capital investments. For context, the Smart Columbus program has the objective of installing 1,685 charging stations (25 of them DC Fast Charge) by 2019 to serve approximately 2% of the overall vehicle fleet (about 4,000 vehicles). In other words, many more charging stations will be needed in the Portland metropolitan region if Oregon is to reach its objective of accelerating transportation electrification. As charging infrastructure increases, from both public and private investment, overall EV penetration will be increase thus increasing utilization rates of *all* stations, *encouraging* private capital investments. While a balance between the roles of utilities and other EV infrastructure providers needs to be struck, seeding the market with a few strategically placed stations, as PGE has proposed, can only help the overall EV ecosystem in our view.
- 2. Concern was expressed that these six charging stations will remain in place for many years to come. Whether or not these stations are eventually upgraded seems to us immaterial to the purpose of this proposal. For EV drivers that demand "the latest and most innovative technology" in charging infrastructure, the private sector will continue to have an opportunity to fill this need profitably.
- 3. PGE, an investor owned utility with over 800 thousand customers, is well suited to serve the needs of the broad range of EV drivers throughout the full geographic scope of their service territory.

If there is anything else we can do to assist in your deliberations, please do not hesitate to reach out. We would be happy to discuss any of the issues mentioned above.

Sincerely,

Spencer Reeder Director, Climate & Energy Paul G. Allen Philanthropies Vulcan, Inc.

Exhibit 203



September 18, 2017

Jeff Allen, Executive Director Forth 1732 NW Quimby Street, Suite 240 Portland, OR 97209

RE: Public EV Charging Facilities

Dear Mr. Allen

Ford Motor Company believes in the future of Electrified transportation. Since the introduction of the Escape Hybrid in 2004 there has been steady progression in both public awareness and adoption of EV technology, and in the technology itself. The full lineup of Ford Electrified vehicles now includes Hybrids, Energi Plug-In Hybrids, and our Focus Electric EV.

At Ford, we believe the future of transportation electrification is promising, and we must be prepared for customer growth. Unfortunately, the outlook for public charging facilities to serve the needs of EV customers is less promising: Currently available public charging is insufficient to adequately serve the needs of existing EV drivers, and the situation will deteriorate if EV adoption rates outstrip charger installation rates.

We believe that both private and public participation is needed to fully address this issue. Public and private concerns bring unique skillsets and focus, and participation by both is necessary to address all of the distinct, varied needs of the charging market.

Forth/Drive Oregon has exhibited great leadership in fostering the installation of innovative urban charging solutions, and we are glad to see that plans are being made to further expand their availability.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at shenders@ford.com or 313.322.4475.

Sincerely,

San Kenn

Steve Henderson Manager, Vehicle Electrification Infrastructure, Programs and Policy Ford Motor Company

September 19, 2017

Public Utility Commission of Oregon 201 High Street SE, Suite 100 Salem, OR 97301

# Re: Automaker Support for UM 1811 Portland General Electric (PGE) Transportation Electrification Efforts

Commissioners and Staff:

American Honda Motor Company, Audi of America LLC, BMW Group, General Motors LLC, Mitsubishi Motors R&D of America, Nissan North America, and Volkswagen Group of America support the Stipulation filed June 27, 2017 under UM1811, including but not limited to the proposed Electric Avenue DC fast charging pilot. Automakers have invested billions of dollars in electric vehicle (EV) technologies and have a strong interest in policies and programs that can help Oregon meet its transportation electrification goals. EVs ultimately have the potential to provide broad benefits for the grid and for all ratepayers, but this will require both greater EV adoption and direct utility engagement. Significant additional investment is currently needed to create an accessible, dependable charging infrastructure network that can support both retail and commercial electric vehicle applications. The programs and pilots outlined in the Stipulation would help address these needs, and for the reasons outlined below, we encourage the Commission to approve the Stipulation.

**The "Electric Avenue" fast charging pilot aligns with EV driver needs.** DC fast charging hubs such as those that PGE is proposing are a top priority for automakers and align closely with our understanding of customer perceptions and real needs for EV infrastructure. DC fast charging is a critical enabler for EV sales.

- DC fast charging hubs can serve many different types of drivers, including potential customers who may not have access to home charging (e.g. those living in apartments), or rideshare drivers who need reliable access to fast charge hubs with multiple ports.
- We've seen no evidence that drivers would find fault with the Electric Avenue hubs on the basis of EVSE manufacturer or network. Rather, it is the availability, reliability, cost, and convenience of these stations that is of critical importance to consumers.
- The Commission need not be concerned that the 50kW Electric Avenue stations will be rendered obsolete by future chargers and vehicles capable of 150kW+ charge rates. While costlier 150kW+ charging may be dominant on long-distance highway corridors, 50kW charging may very well be the optimal solution for urban locations long into the future as customers weigh cost/time tradeoffs. Moreover, PGE is planning for the potential to upgrade as needed in the future.

**The Electric Avenue pilot program will provide valuable information for all stakeholders.** As industry leaders, policymakers, and other stakeholders consider how best to achieve policy goals, there is a clear need for additional information on many aspects of EV infrastructure and vehicle-grid integration. An important highlight of the PGE pilot is that it is structured to provide the key data and lessons learned for all stakeholders. For example, the pilot will allow for the testing of customer responses to time-variant pricing. This is valuable industry knowledge and is needed to inform future vehicle electrification efforts in Oregon.

It is too early to determine the best model for utility engagement in transportation electrification. There is broad agreement among stakeholders that additional charging infrastructure is needed and that the utility has an important role to play in building out this infrastructure. There is only disagreement – particularly within the EV charging industry – as to whether or not utilities should actually own EV charging infrastructure. At this early stage in the market, we believe utility ownership is one of many valid models to explore as a potential solution for the shortage of EV charging stations. We note that the Oregon legislature declined to bar utility ownership of charging infrastructure in SB 1547, reflecting a recognition that it is too early to be prescriptive regarding the role of the utility in pilot programs. We remain supportive of a broad array of infrastructure models and agree with the Stipulating parties that PGE's investments will support, rather than harm, Oregon's transportation electrification goals.

In conclusion, the below-signed automakers believe that the Stipulation represents a well-balanced portfolio of investments that will provide benefits for the grid and for ratepayers. The proposed Electric Avenue DC fast charging pilot is well-designed to advance transportation electrification and lay the groundwork for future efforts. The outreach and education program outlined in the Stipulation should directly address key market barriers and complement existing efforts. Looking ahead, we are encouraged that PGE has agreed to propose future programs focusing on other market needs such as residential and workplace charging.

Sincerely,

Ryan Harty Manager, Environmental Business Development Office American Honda Motor Co., Inc.

Tom Baloga Senior Director, Government Affairs Audi of America, LLC

Richard Steinberg Head of Electromobility BMW Group

Britta Gross Director, Advanced Vehicle Commercialization Policy General Motors LLC

Manabu Kuroda President **Mitsubishi Motors R&D of America** 

Brian Maragno Director, EV Marketing & Sales Nissan North America

Nicole Barranco Director State Government Relations **Volkswagen Group of America** 

Exhibit 205



September 19th, 2017

Public Utility Commission of Oregon 201 High Street SE, Suite 100 Salem, OR 97301

# Re: Electrify America Support for UM 1811 Portland General Electric (PGE) Application for Transportation Electrification Programs

Commissioners and Staff:

Electrify America supports the proposed Electric Avenue DC fast charging pilot included in the Stipulation filed June 27, 2017 under UM 1811. Electrify America, a new LLC within the Volkswagen Group, is investing \$2 billion over the next 10 years in Zero Emission Vehicle (ZEV) infrastructure and awareness, representing the largest commitment of its kind to date. We are building a nationwide network of workplace, community, and highway chargers that are convenient and reliable. Our investment will enable millions of Americans to discover the benefits of electric driving. Our first cycle of expenditures through June 2019 includes significant investment in Portland and elsewhere in Oregon. The DC fast charging pilot outlined in the Stipulation would complement our investment in Oregon.

Portland's Electric Avenue DC charging bank is a model for other U.S. metro areas to follow in developing fast charging that is available, easy to use, and very visible to the local residents and visitors to the Portland downtown area. In fact, we have encouraged stakeholders in other metro areas to follow Portland's Electric Avenue example, especially in combination with the new Forth EV showroom adjacent to the chargers.

Electrify America analysis has demonstrated that the growing number of electric vehicles in Portland will require investment from many parties to meet the coming charging demand. New electric vehicles with larger batteries and longer ranges will require faster and more prevalent charging infrastructure. We have concluded that meeting the demand for charging will likely require investment from a variety of sources.

In conclusion, the expansion of the Electric Avenue pilot represents an important opportunity to increase the availability and awareness of DC fast charging in Portland's growing market for electric vehicles. We encourage the Commission to support the pilot.

Sincerely,

tr'ully

Mark C. McNabb President & CEO Electrify America, LLC