

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
OF OREGON**

UM 1811

In the Matter of

PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC  
COMPANY,

Application for Transportation  
Electrification Programs.

RULING

**DISPOSITION: PETITION TO INTERVENE GRANTED**

I grant the petition to intervene filed by eMeter, a Siemens Company (Siemens).

The statutory standard governing intervention in Commission proceedings is broad. ORS 756.525(2) allows a person to seek permission to participate in a proceeding at any time before the final taking of evidence. The Commission must consider the interests of the petitioner and grant a petition where the petitioner has sufficient interest in the proceedings and such participation will not unreasonably broaden the issues, burden the record, or delay the proceedings. Participation may be conditioned as appropriate to ensure that the intervenor's participation is consistent with these standards.


I find that Siemens has sufficient interest to participate in this proceeding. Siemens describes itself as a global technology corporation that sells a wide variety of products and services to a range of Oregon participants in the transportation electrification ecosystem. Siemens states that the outcome of this proceeding may impact the future business of Siemens in Portland General Electric Company's service area.

To ensure that Siemens' participation will not unreasonably broaden the issues or burden the record, it is granted party status to participate in this proceeding as of the current procedural status of this docket. In accordance with the procedural schedule, one opportunity remains to file written testimony. Siemens may file written testimony on September 19, 2017; provided, however, the scope of Siemens'

testimony is limited to responding to the August 25, 2017, testimony filed by ChargePoint, Inc. and the Electric Vehicle Charging Association.

Upon review of the petition, the response filed by ChargePoint, and Siemens' response to ChargePoint's response, I find that Siemens has met the standards to intervene in this proceeding. The petition to intervene is therefore granted subject to the conditions set forth above.

Dated this 11<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2017, at Salem, Oregon.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Ruth Harper". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above a horizontal line.

Ruth Harper

Administrative Law Judge

Attachment: Notice of Contested Case Rights and Procedures

## NOTICE OF CONTESTED CASE RIGHTS AND PROCEDURES

Oregon law requires state agencies to provide parties written notice of contested case rights and procedures. Under ORS 183.413, you are entitled to be informed of the following:

**Hearing:** The time and place of any hearing held in these proceedings will be noticed separately. The Commission will hold the hearing under its general authority set forth in ORS 756.040 and use procedures set forth in ORS 756.518 through 756.610 and OAR Chapter 860, Division 001. Copies of these statutes and rules may be accessed via the Commission's website at [www.puc.state.or.us](http://www.puc.state.or.us). The Commission will hear issues as identified by the parties.

**Right to Attorney:** As a party to these proceedings, you may be represented by counsel. Should you desire counsel but cannot afford one, legal aid may be able to assist you; parties are ordinarily represented by counsel. The Commission Staff, if participating as a party in the case, will be represented by the Department of Justice. Generally, once a hearing has begun, you will not be allowed to postpone the hearing to obtain counsel.

**Administrative Law Judge:** The Commission has delegated the authority to preside over hearings to Administrative Law Judges (ALJs). The scope of an ALJ's authority is defined in OAR 860-001-0090. The ALJs make evidentiary and other procedural rulings, analyze the contested issues, and present legal and policy recommendations to the Commission.

**Hearing Rights:** You have the right to respond to all issues identified and present evidence and witnesses on those issues. *See* OAR 860-001-0450 through OAR 860-001-0490. You may obtain discovery from other parties through depositions, subpoenas, and data requests. *See* ORS 756.538 and 756.543; OAR 860-001-0500 through 860-001-0540.

**Evidence:** Evidence is generally admissible if it is of a type relied upon by reasonable persons in the conduct of their serious affairs. *See* OAR 860-001-0450. Objections to the admissibility of evidence must be made at the time the evidence is offered. Objections are generally made on grounds that the evidence is unreliable, irrelevant, repetitious, or because its probative value is outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusion of the issues, or undue delay. The order of presenting evidence is determined by the ALJ. The burden of presenting evidence to support an allegation rests with the person raising the allegation. Generally, once a hearing is completed, the ALJ will not allow the introduction of additional evidence without good cause.

**Record:** The hearing will be recorded, either by a court reporter or by audio digital recording, to preserve the testimony and other evidence presented. Parties may contact the court reporter about ordering a transcript or request, if available, a copy of the audio recording from the Commission for a fee set forth in OAR 860-001-0060. The hearing record will be made part of the evidentiary record that serves as the basis for the Commission's decision and, if necessary, the record on any judicial appeal.

**Final Order and Appeal:** After the hearing, the ALJ will prepare a draft order resolving all issues and present it to the Commission. The draft order is not open to party comment. The Commission will make the final decision in the case and may adopt, modify, or reject the ALJ's recommendation. If you disagree with the Commission's decision, you may request reconsideration of the final order within 60 days from the date of service of the order. *See* ORS 756.561 and OAR 860-001-0720. You may also file a petition for review with the Court of Appeals within 60 days from the date of service of the order. *See* ORS 756.610.