

From: [Tim Kaiser](#)
To: [PUC.FilingCenter](#)
Subject: DRAFT SOLAR REPORT - comment
Date: Wednesday, August 10, 2016 12:59:06 PM

Hello,

I feel that the language of the recommendation is overly vague and perhaps misdirected in some instances

. In summary, the draft:

- characterizes the solar industry as "well-supported" and "relatively robust" without defining what the PUC means by a "robust" industry.
- makes recommendations to end net metering and phase out Energy Trust incentives without providing a full understanding of how solar is valued or what above-market costs might be.

It seems that better definitions are needed here, what is "well supported" and what is "relatively robust"? While the industry is experiencing some growth, and prices are coming down on components, it is still a relative newcomer on the energy scene when viewed historically.

I feel that net metering is a valuable asset to the end user and helps promote the adoption of alternative energy. Since fossil based energy has been subsidized with various mechanisms for over 100 years, it is unfair to pull out incentives at this early date.

Thank You,

Tim Kaiser

Home Performance - Project Manager

SHP certified / BPI - Envelope Professional & Building Analyst

The greatest compliment we can receive is a referral from you.

Please refer Neil Kelly with confidence for quality Home Performance expertise.

Neil Kelly DESIGN/BUILD REMODELING | CUSTOM HOMES | CABINETS
HANDYMAN SERVICES | HOME PERFORMANCE | SOLAR



190 NE Irving Ave, Bend, OR 97701 neilkelly.com Cell: 541-517-7757



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.