

From: [KLOTZ Jason](#)
To: ["gillaspie@oracwa.org"](#); ["abaldwin@lclark.edu"](#); ["Gary.Bauer@nwnatural.com"](#); SEN Beyer; BROCKMAN Kacia; ["scot.davidson@cleanenergyworksoregon.org"](#); ["aduncan@b-e-f.org"](#); ["renee.m.france@doj.state.or.us"](#); ["janag@oeconline.org"](#); ["wendy@nwenergy.org"](#); ["fred.gordon@energytrust.org"](#); ["ann@climatesolutions.org"](#); ["jennifer.gross@nwnatural.com"](#); ["bob@oregoncub.org"](#); ["dkirschner@nwga.org"](#); ["pge.opuc.filing@pgn.com"](#); ["debbie.menashe@energytrust.org"](#); ["debbie.menashe@energytrust.org"](#); ["kelley.miller@nwnatural.com"](#); ["cnorris@neea.org"](#); ["michael.parvinen@cngc.com"](#); PEACOCK Julie; ["elaine.prause@energytrust.org"](#); ["rhys@climatesolutions.org"](#); ["derek@cleanenergyworksoregon.org"](#); ["Paul.suto@portlandoregon.gov"](#); ["David.tooze@portlandoregon.gov"](#); ["jeff@oregoncub.org"](#); ["Karla.Wenzel@pgn.com"](#); ["szakreski@climatetrust.org"](#); ["spenrith@climatetrust.org"](#); ["ehardee@climatetrust.org"](#)
Cc: [ADAMS Aster](#); [EISDORFER Jason](#); [SADHIR Ruchi](#); [COLVILLE Erik](#); [COMPTON George](#)
Subject: Agenda for Feb 27th SB 844 Work Shop 1-4PM
Date: Monday, February 24, 2014 2:59:55 PM
Attachments: [Proposed Workshop 2 Agenda.docx](#)

All,

Thank you for your continued interest in our SB 844 workshops.

Attached you'll find a proposed agenda for our February 27th meeting. The meeting will begin at 1:PM and run until 4:PM. The agenda gives the call-in information for the meeting.

As you can see from my last communication in which I included a straw man rule, I would like the group during our next meeting to concentrate on language that would be helpful in developing a rule. The agenda attempts to focus our discussion on the pertinent parts of additional rule language I've offered.

At the last meeting I asked that the utilities think about and bring forth proposals for discussion around project cap and threshold. The agenda sets time aside for sharing these proposals.

Additionally, I have asked Ed Finklea to bring forth his thoughts on the unique challenges presented by industrial project proposals and project cost recovery that may include industrial customers.

I've also spoken to The Climate Trust about attending our meetings with an eye on assisting us with issues related to emissions valuation. I think their expertise will also be helpful to us as we explore measurement, verification and retirement of emissions.

I would like to discuss the option of extending our last meeting on March 10th to assure that we have time to tackle the many policy issues we have identified.

Jason R. Salmi Klotz

Climate Change

Oregon Public Utility Commission

503-378-6667

Jason.Klotz@state.or.us

Proposed Workshop 1 Agenda
February 27th, 2014
1-4PM
Commission Main Hearing Room

- Opening – Introductions (1:00-1:15)
 - Sign in and statement of attendees interest
- Defining the Scope of Today's Workshop (1:15- 1:30)
- Review of straw man rule document (1:30 – 3:45)
 - Definitions (1:30 – 2:00)
 - Project costs
 - Project
 - Application Criteria (2:00 –2:45)
 - Description of the project
 - But for argument
 - Proof of stakeholder involvement
 - Projected rate impact
 - Emission benefit allocation
 - Projects that increase rate base
 - Emissions reduction showing
 - Project Threshold (2:45 – 3:15)
 - Utility proposals
 - Project Cap (3:15 – 3:45)
 - Utility Proposals
- Recap of progress, assignments and agenda development for the 10th – (3:45 – 4:00)

Call- in information:

888-431-3632

Access Code: 5311729

Related Issues open for discussion

- Emission calculation
 - Methodology
 - Measurement and verification
 - How the emissions calculation affects:
 - Project incentives to the utility
 - Calculation or assessment of customer benefit
 - What are considered customer benefits
 - Indirect and direct benefit identification
 - Recovery allocation discussion
 - Recovery proposals
 - Recovery and customer class issues
 - Recovery based on emissions benefits
 - Recovery of customer costs