BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

OF OREGON

UM 1452

| In the Matter of PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON Investigation into Pilot Programs to Demonstrate the Use and Effectiveness of Volumetric Incentive Rates for Solar Photovoltaic Energy Systems |))))) SUPPLEMENTAL OPENING COMMENTS) OF THE INDUSTRIAL CUSTOMERS OF NORTHWEST UTILITIES) |
|---|--|
| Photovoltaic Energy Systems |)) |

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to the March 29, 2010 Ruling of Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ")

Patrick Power, the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities ("ICNU") submits these

Supplemental Opening Comments to the Public Utility Commission of Oregon ("OPUC" or the "Commission") regarding the implications of House Bill ("HB") 3690 on this proceeding.

HB 3690 was enacted by the legislature during the pendency of this proceeding and modifies HB 3039, ^{1/2} which provides for the establishment of Solar Photovoltaic ("PV") Pilot Programs. ALJ Power has authorized parties to file comments regarding the effects of HB 3690 on positions previously taken in UM 1452. Accordingly, ICNU offers commentary on the implications resulting from HB 3690. ALJ Power has also authorized commentary regarding current solar project installation costs, but ICNU offers no commentary on that subject at this time.

PAGE 1 – SUPPLEMENTAL OPENING COMMENTS OF ICNU

 $^{^{1/}}$ HB 3039 is codified as ORS §§ 757.360 to 757.385.

II. COMMENTS

A. HB 3690 Confirms the Fairness of Allocating Costs According to Customer Class Benefit and Pilot Participation

In UM 1452 Closing Comments, ICNU took the position that the Commission should direct each utility to allocate pilot costs (in excess of the resource value) in proportion to customer class participation. ICNU Closing Comments at 2–8. ICNU continues to maintain this position, as it would be not be fair, just or reasonable to compel industrial customers to subsidize Solar PV Pilot Programs in disproportion to industrial class benefit.

HB 3690 modifies some of the statutory language discussed in ICNU's Closing Comments; however, these modifications do not detract from ICNU's position. In fact, the enactment of HB 3690 may well strengthen ICNU's position.

HB 3039 § 2(10) provided:

All prudently incurred costs associated with compliance with this section are recoverable in the rates of an electric company. The costs associated with the resource value are recoverable in the rates of all retail electricity consumers. Prudently incurred costs in excess of the resource value are recoverable from customer classes eligible for the pilot programs described in subsection (1) of this section.

Former ORS § 757.365(10). HB 3690 eliminates the final two sentences from this subsection, leaving only the first sentence as a guide to the OPUC in establishing fair and reasonable cost recovery: "All prudently incurred costs associated with compliance with this section are recoverable in the rates of an electric company." HB 3690 § 2(10).

The primary import of this modification is the removal of an express directive that allowed cost recovery "from classes *eligible* for the pilot programs." <u>Id.</u> (emphasis added). Hence, the legislature removed any conceivable sanction in the statute for basing an allocation of

costs simply upon correlation to participation eligibility. In addition, the sentence that mandated recovery for *all* retail electric consumers is also eliminated. Thus, the Commission should now allow for Solar PV Pilot Programs cost recovery in the most equitable manner available. Cost recovery for each customer class should, therefore, directly equate to the pilot benefit enjoyed by each class, i.e., measured by the actual participation of each class.

While ICNU's specific comments regarding the deleted sentences of ORS § 757.365(10) are now moot, the fundamental rationale supporting proportionate cost allocation is unchanged. Indeed, ICNU had alleged that residential and commercial classes were intended to be the near exclusive beneficiaries of the Solar PV Pilot Programs, thereby justifying the majority of cost allocation to these classes. ICNU Closing Comments at 4–5. The legislature has now validated ICNU's position by: a) expressly adding sections which define "residential" and "small commercial qualifying systems;" and b) modifying the statute to require a 75% design goal "for capacity deployed by *residential* and *small commercial* qualifying systems." HB 3690 §§ 1(4) and (7), 2(6) (emphasis added).

Likewise, HB 3690 does not adversely affect any consideration of the ease with which utilities will be able to segregate resource value costs from incentive costs when separately allocating recovery. ICNU Closing Comments at 5–8. HB 3690 § 3(1) will delay Solar PV Pilot Programs establishment from April 1, 2010, to July 1, 2010. This delay will probably result in a corresponding delay of the July 1, 2010 date by which each utility must file an estimate of the 15-year levelized resource value. Proposed OAR § 860-084-0370.

This modification also supports ICNU's contention that OAR § 860-084-0380(2) should be adopted as proposed, <u>i.e.</u>, without eliminating the requirement that utilities must estimate "the rate impact for each customer class *of participation* in its pilot program." (Emphasis added); <u>see</u> AR 538, ICNU Closing Comments at 2. The position of Portland General Electric Company ("PGE")—that pilot cost recovery should be allocated uniformly, in total disregard to proportionate customer class participation—cannot easily be squared with the deletion of the final two sentences from ORS § 757.365(10). <u>See</u> PGE Opening Comments at 6.

Nevertheless, the logic behind ICNU's position remains unchanged—utilities will have already calculated resource values well before cost recovery occurs, so there is no burden in requiring utilities to recover resource value costs *separately* from incentive costs, <u>i.e.</u>, the costs exceeding resource value.

B. Staff's Capacity Allocation Now Conforms with the Modified Statute

ICNU opposed Staff's proposed allocation of pilot capacity as contrary to HB 3039. ICNU Closing Comments at 8–9. The modifications to the statute implemented by HB 3690, however, render Staff's proposed allocation more conformable to statute.

Specifically, HB 3690 § 2(6) provides that the OPUC must establish Solar PV Pilot Programs designed to attain a 75% goal "for capacity deployed by residential and small commercial qualifying systems." Staff's proposed capacity allocation earmarks 80% to these two groups. Staff Opening Comments at 25, "NEW" Table 3. Moreover, the statute permits the Commission to recover costs on the basis of participation; 80% is the minimum the Commission should consider for recovery from residential and small commercial customers.

III. CONCLUSION

ICNU appreciates the opportunity to submit these Supplemental Opening

Comments and respectfully reiterates its request that the Commission order each electric

company to separately recover resource values and incentive costs, and to allocate recovery of

incentive costs across customer classes in proportion to pilot participation.

Dated this 9th day of April, 2010.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Melinda J. Davison
Melinda J. Davison
Davison Van Cleve, P.C.
333 S.W. Taylor Street, Suite 400
Portland, Oregon 97204
(503) 241-7242 phone
(503) 241-8160 facsimile
mjd@dvclaw.com
Of Attorneys for Industrial Customers
of Northwest Utilities

Davison Van Cleve PC

Attorneys at Law

TEL (503) 241-7242 •

FAX (503) 241-8160 • mail@dvclaw.com Suite 400 333 SW Taylor Portland, OR 97204

April 9, 2010

Via Electronic and U.S. Mail

Public Utility Commission Attn: Filing Center 550 Capitol St. NE #215 P.O. Box 2148 Salem OR 97308-2148

Re: In the Matter of THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

Investigation into Pilot Programs to Demonstrate the Use and Effectiveness of

Volumetric Incentive Rates for Solar Photovoltaic Energy Systems.

Docket No. UM 1452

Dear Filing Center:

Enclosed please find the original and one copy of the Supplemental Opening Comments of the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities in the above-referenced matter.

Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely yours,

/s/ Martin H. Patail Martin H. Patail

Enclosures

cc: Service List

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have this day served the foregoing Supplemental Opening Comments of the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities upon the parties on the service list, shown below, by causing the same to be sent by electronic mail to all parties, as well as, deposited in the U.S. Mail, postage-prepaid, to parties which have not waived paper service.

Dated at Portland, Oregon, this 9th day of April, 2010.

/s/ Martin H. Patail Martin H. Patail

Waive Paper Service TEDDY KEIZER teddy@goteddygo.com; teddy1a@aol.com RAYMOND P NEFF rpneff@efn.org DANIEL WELDON danweldon@bctonline.com CABLE HUSTON BENEDICT HAAGENSEN & LLOYD, LLP RAYMOND S KINDLEY rkindley@cablehuston.com CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON GORDON FEIGHNER gordon@oregoncub.org bob@oregoncub.org ROBERT JENKS G. CATRIONA MCCRACKEN catriona@oregoncub.org DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE JANET L. PREWITT janet.prewitt@doj.state.or.us ECUMENICAL MINISTRIES OF OREGON JENNY HOLMES jholmes@emoregon.org ECUMENICAL MINISTRIES OF OREGON KATHLEEN NEWMAN knewman@emoregon.org **ENERGY TRUST OF OREGON** KACIA BROCKMAN kacia@energytrust.org JOHN M VOLKMAN john.volkman@energytrust.org ENVIRONMENTAL LAW ALLIANCE WORLDWIDE JENNIFER GLEASON jen@elaw.org ESLER STEPHENS & BUCKLEY stephens@eslerstephens.com JOHN W STEPHENS **IDAHO POWER COMPANY** RANDY ALLPHIN rallphin@idahopower.com daveangell@idahopower.com DAVE ANGELL CHRISTA BEARRY cbearry@idahopower.com kbokenkamp@idahopower.com KARL BOKENKAMP jbowman@idahopower.com JEANNETTE C BOWMAN JOHN GALE rgale@idahopower.com BARTON L KLINE bkline@idahopower.com JEFF MALMEN jmalmen@idahopower.com lnordstrom@idahopower.com LISA D NORDSTROM **GREGORY W SAID** gsaid@idahopower.com

MARK STOKES mstokes@idahopower.com MICHAEL YOUNGBLOOD myoungblood@idahopower.com MCDOWELL & RACKNER PC wendy@mcd-law.com WENDY MCINDOO lisa@mcd-law.com LISA F RACKNER **MULTNOMAH COUNTY** warren.fish@co.multnomah.or.us WARREN FISH MULTNOMAH COUNTY COMMISSIONER district2@co.multnomah.or.us COMMISSIONER JEFF COGEN **OREGON AFL-CIO** afl-cio@oraflcio.org JOHN BISHOP jbishop@mbjlaw.com OREGON DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY ANDREA F. SIMMONS andrea.f.simmons@state.or.us ROBERT DEL MAR robert.delmar@state.or.us VIJAY A SATYAL vijay.a.satyal@state.or.us OREGON DISTRICT COUNCIL OF LABOERS **BEN NELSON** nrocnelson@qwest.net OREGON DISTRICT COUNCIL OF LABORERS' MELODY GUY melodyg@qwestoffice.net OREGONIANS FOR RENEWABLE ENERGY **PAYMENTS** JUDY BARNES jbarnes@hevanet.com MARK PETE PENGILLY mpengilly@gmail.com **PACIFICORP** RYAN FLYNN ryan.flynn@pacificorp.com PACIFICORP, DBA PACIFIC POWER PACIFIC POWER OREGON DOCKETS oregondockets@pacificorp.com RENEWABLE NORTHWEST PROJECT ANN ENGLISH GRAVATT ann@rnp.org SUZANNE LETA LIOU suzanne@rnp.org SOLAR ENERGY SOLUTIONS, INC. ANDREW KOYAANISQATSI andrew@solarenergyoregon.com SOUTHEAST UPLIFT NEIGHBOORHOOD **COALITION** TIM O'NEIL tim@southeastuplift.org **SUNEDISON** JOE HENRI jhenri@sunedison.com SUSTAINABLE SOLUTIONS UNLIMITED LLC STEVEN MCGRATH steve@solutions21st.com DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE PACIFICORP, DBA PACIFIC POWER STEPHANIE S ANDRUS OREGON DOCKETS ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL 825 NE MULTNOMAH ST, STE 2000 REGULATED UTILITY & BUSINESS SECTION PORTLAND, OR 97232 1162 COURT ST NE oregondockets@pacificorp.com SALEM OR 97301-4096 stephanie.andrus@state.or.us **PGE RATES & REGULATORY AFFAIRS** PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC **DOUG KUNS COMPANY** PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY J RICHARD GEORGE 121 SW SALMON STREET, 1WTC0702 121 SW SALMON ST 1WTC1301 PORTLAND OR 97204 PORTLAND OR 97204 pge.opuc.filings@pgn.com richard.george@pgn.com

| PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON |
|-------------------------------------|
| THERESA GIBNEY |
| PO BOX 2148 |
| SALEM OR 97308 |
| theresa.gibney@state.or.us |
| |
| |