

December 17, 2009

Attention: Filing Center
Public Utility Commission of Oregon
550 Capitol St NE – Suite 215
Salem OR 97301
puc.filingcenter@state.or.us

Re: In the Matter of VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS INC. and FRONTIER

COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION Joint Application for an Order Declining to Assert Jurisdiction Over, or, in the Alternative, Approving the Indirect Transfer of

Control of Verizon Northwest Inc.

PUC Docket No. UM 1431

DOJ File No. 860-105-GP0053-09

Enclosed for filing are an original and five copies of Staff's Testimony in Support of imposition of most-favored state condition in the above-captioned matter for filing with the PUC for today.

Sincerely,

Jeffery Seeley

Legal Secretary

Natural Resources Section for

Regulated Utility & Business Section

JRS:jrs/JUSTICE-#1785880 Enclosures

C: UM 1431 Service list

CASE: UM 1431

WITNESS: Michael Dougherty

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

STAFF EXHIBIT 700

In the Matter of VERIZON

COMMUNICATIONS INC. and

FRONTIER COMMUNICATIONS

CORPORATION Joint Application for an Order Declining to Assert

Jurisdiction Over, or, in the

Alternative, Approving the Indirect

Transfer of Control of Verizon

Northwest Inc.

Northwest Inc.

4	\sim	PLEASE STATE YOU	ID ALABAE	OCCUPATION.	AND DUCINECO	ADDDECC
7	()		IK NAME	CCCHPATION	AND RUSINESS	ALILIKESS
	· •	I LEAGE GIAIE IO	/IX IX/\\III -		AND DOCHINE	ADDINE OU

- 2 A. My name is Michael Dougherty. I am the Program Manager for the Corporate
- 3 Analysis and Water Regulation Section of the Public Utility Commission of
- 4 Oregon ("Commission"). My business address is 550 Capitol Street NE, Suite
- 5 215, Salem, Oregon 97301-2551.
- 6 Q. ARE YOU THE SAME MICHAEL DOUGHERTY WHO HAS PREVIOUSLY
- 7 FILED TESTIMONY, AND ACCOMPANYING EXHIBITS, IN THIS
- 8 PROCEEDING?
- 9 A. Yes, I am.
- 10 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY?
- 11 A. Most parties in this case have entered into what has been termed the "Global
- 12 Stipulation." The Global Stipulation has been entered into the record and the
- parties intend to file Joint Supporting Testimony for the Global Stipulation on
- December 17, 2009. Per the terms of the Global Stipulation, the parties
- reserved the right to advocate for, or object to, the imposition of what is
- 16 commonly referred to as a "Most Favored States" (MFS) clause. On behalf of
- 17 Commission Staff ("Staff"), I urge the Commission to include an MFS clause in
- any order issued approving the Joint Application filed in this proceeding by
- 19 Verizon Communication Inc. (Verizon) and Frontier Communications
- 20 Corporation (Frontier) (collectively, "Joint Applicants").
- 21 Q. PLEASE SET FORTH THE MSF CLAUSE THAT STAFF RECOMMENDS THE
- 22 **COMMISSION MANDATE IN THIS PROCEEDING.**
- 23 A. In Staff/100, Dougherty/60, my previously-filed testimony that has been entered
- into the record in this proceeding, I recommended the Commission mandate the
- 25 following MFS clause:

Frontier agrees that the Conditions may be expanded or modified as a result of regulatory decisions in other states, including decisions based

Docket UM 1431 upon settlements, that impose conditions or commitments related to this 1 transfer proposal. Frontier agrees that the Commission may adopt any commitments or conditions from other states that are adopted after the 2 final order in UM 1431 is issued that are related to addressing harms of this transaction if: 3 a. The commitment or condition does not result in the combined 4 company being required to provide a "net benefit" and either 5 The Commission or Staff had not previously identified the harm to Oregon ratepayers; or 6 The commitments or conditions in a final order of another ii. 7 state are more effective at preventing a harm previously identified by the Commission or Staff. 8 Should new commitments or conditions meeting the requirements of 9 subsections a.i. or a.ii. of this paragraph occur, Frontier will commit to the following process to facilitate a prompt decision from the Commission 10 under this section: 11 12 13 all parties in UM 1431. 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- b. Within fifteen (15) calendar days after a final order adopting a new condition or stipulation with new or amended commitments by a commission in another state jurisdiction, Frontier will send a copy of the stipulation and commitment to Oregon Commission staff and to
- c. Frontier will notify the Commission that they have received the last such final order from other states adopting new conditions, stipulations or commitments (the "Final Filing") within fifteen (15) calendar days of receipt and send it to Staff and all UM 1431 parties.
- d. Within ten calendar days after the last such filing from the other states ("Final Filing"), any party to this proceeding may file with the Commission its response, including its position as to whether any of the covenants, commitments and conditions from the other jurisdictions (without modification of the language thereof except such non-substantive changes as are necessary to make the commitment or condition applicable to Oregon), meets the three requirements set forth above, and should be adopted in Oregon. Any party filing such a response should serve it upon the UM 1431 parties.

Within five calendar days after any such response filing, the Applicants may file a reply with the Commission. If the 5th calendar day falls on Saturday, Sunday, or a holiday, the next business day will be considered as the 5th day. The Applicants shall serve any such reply upon the UM 1431 parties.

Q. WHY DO YOU CONTINUE TO RECOMMEND THAT THE COMMISSION MANDATE THIS, OR A SIMILAR, MFS CLAUSE?

A. This condition is consistent with the condition included in the CenturyTel/Embarq Merger Commission Order No. 09-169 (UM 1416). Additionally, most favored state conditions were also included in the UM 1209 (PacifiCorp/MEHC) and UM 1283 (Cascade Natural Gas/MDU Resources) stipulations.

The primary reason for including such a clause in these prior proceedings, a reason which continues to hold true for the current proceeding, is the Commission's order may be issued earlier in time than other states which also have proceedings to consider approval of the Joint Applicant's transaction in their state. As such, the MFS clause allows the Commission to adopt, under certain circumstances delineated in the clause, any commitments or conditions from other states that are adopted after the final order in UM 1431 is issued that are related to addressing any harms of this transaction.

For example, Staff and the intervenors, despite their efforts to do so, may have not identified a risk of the transaction that is identified and remedied with a condition in other states. Or, another state may address a risk that was identified in UM 1431 with a better-crafted condition. The MFS clause will allow the Commission, pursuant to the terms of the clause, to impose such other-state conditions after the Commission issues its order approving the transaction in Oregon.

Further, as Citizens' Utility Board ("CUB") witness Bob Jenks testified at the hearing held on December 3, 2009, the absence of a MFS clause would give state commissions, including this one, an incentive to not approve the transaction until all other state commissions had "gone first." Clearly, if all states acted in this manner, the proceedings to approve the transaction would never conclude as

1		each state tried to "outwait" the other states. In this way, the MFS clause may be
2		viewed as the price the Joint Applicants must pay in order to get an early
3		resolution of their Joint Application in Oregon.
4	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
5	Α.	Yes, other than to note that, should the Commission decide to mandate an MFS
6		clause, the one proposed by staff would need to be modified to remove all
7		references to "Frontier agrees" as the clause will be imposed over Frontier's
8		previously-stated objection.
		previously-stated objection.
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

UM 1431 – CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 17th day of December 2009, I served the STAFF'S TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF IMPOSITION OF MOST-FAVORED STATE CONDITION upon the persons named on the service list, by mailing a full, true and correct copy thereof to such persons waiving such service by mail who were served at their e-mail address as listed on the service list.

(W denotes waiver of paper service)

CHARLES L BEST **(C)** (**HC)** ATTORNEY AT LAW
1631 NE BROADWAY #538
PORTLAND OR 97232-1425
chuck@charleslbest.com

GORDON FEIGHNER (C) (HC) W
ENERGY ANALYST
CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON
610 SW BROADWAY, SUITE 308
PORTLAND OR 97205
gordon@oregoncub.org

G. CATRIONA MCCRACKEN (C) (HC) W CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON LEGAL COUNSEL/STAFF ATTY 610 SW BROADWAY - STE 308 PORTLAND OR 97205 catriona@oregoncub.org

KATHERINE K MUDGE (C)
DIRECTOR, STATE AFFAIRS & ILEC RELATIONS
COVAD COMMUNICATIONS CO
7000 N MOPAC EXPWY 2ND FL
AUSTIN TX 78731
kmudge@covad.com

MARK P TRINCHERO (C) (HC)
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP
1300 SW FIFTH AVE STE 2300
PORTLAND OR 97201-5682
marktrinchero@dwt.com

(C denotes service of Confidential material authorized)

MICHEL SINGER-NELSON (C) (HC) 360NETWORKS(USA) INC 370 INTERLOCKEN BLVD STE 600 BROOMFIELD CO 80021-8015 mnelson@360.net

ROBERT JENKS (C) (HC) W
CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON
610 SW BROADWAY STE 308
PORTLAND OR 97205
bob@oregoncub.org

ANDREW FISHER (C)
COMCAST PHONE OF OREGON LLC
ONE COMCAST CENTER
PHILADELPHIA PA 19103
andrew fisher@comcast.com

GREGORY J KOPTA (C) (HC)
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP
1201 THIRD AVE - STE 2200
SEATTLE WA 98101-1688
gregkopta@dwt.com

KEVIN L SAVILLE (C) (HC) W
FRONTIER COMMUNICATIONS OF AMERICA
INC
ATTORNEY AT LAW
2378 WILSHIRE BLVD
MOUND MN 55364
kevin.saville@frontiercorp.com

DENNIS AHLERS (C)
INTEGRA TELECOM OF OREGON INC
6160 GOLDEN HILLS DR
GOLDEN VALLEY MN 55416-1020
ddahlers@integratelecom.com

ADAM LOWNEY (C) (HC) WMCDOWELL & RACKNER PC 520 SW SIXTH AVE, SUITE 830 PORTLAND OR 97204 adam@mcd-law.com

LISA F RACKNER (C) (HC) W
ATTORNEY
MCDOWELL & RACKNER PC
520 SW SIXTH AVENUE STE 830
PORTLAND OR 97204
lisa@mcd-law.com

MICHAEL DOUGHERTY **(C) (HC) PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON**PO BOX 2148
SALEM OR 97308-2148
michael.dougherty@state.or.us

EUGENE M ENG
VICE PRESIDENT--LEGISLATIVE & REGULATORY
AFFAIRS
VERIZON NORTHWEST INC
20575 NW VON NEUMANN DR STE 150 MC
OR030156
HILLSBORO OR 97006
eugene.eng@verizon.com

REX M KNOWLES (C)
REGIONAL VICE PRESIDENT - REGULATORY
XO COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES INC
7050 UNION PARK AVE - STE 400
MIDVALE UT 84047
rex.knowles@xo.com

DATED: December 17, 2009

GREG L ROGERS (C) (HC) W
SR CORPORATE COUNSEL
LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS LLC
1025 ELDORADO BLVD
BROOMFIELD CO 80021
greg.rogers@level3.com

WENDY MCINDOO W
OFFICE MANAGER
MCDOWELL & RACKNER PC
520 SW 6TH AVE STE 830
PORTLAND OR 97204
wendy@mcd-law.com

WILLIAM A HAAS

PAETEC COMMUNICATIONS INC

VP REGULATORY AND PUBILC POLICY

1 MARTHA'S WAY

HIAWATHA IA 52233

bill.haas@paetec.com

LYNDALL NIPPS (C)
VICE PRESIDENT, REGULATORY AFFAIRS
TW TELECOM OF OREGON, LLC
845 CAMINO SUR
PALM SPRINGS CA 92262-4157
lyndall.nipps@twtelecom.com

GREGORY M ROMANO (C) (HC)
VERIZON NORTHWEST INC
GENERAL COUNSEL - NW REGION
1800 41ST ST
MC WA0105GC
EVERETT WA 98201
gregory.m.romano@verizon.com

Jeffery/R. Seeley Legal Secretary

Natural Resources Section

for

Regulated Utility & Business Section