Required Cover Sheet for Submission of 2009 Annual ETC Recertification Reports

Filing Deadline: Wednesday, July 15, 2009

Name of Engible Telecommunications Carrier: <u>Eagle Telephone System, Inc.</u>
Filing date: July 8, 2009
Is this: Original submission?: X OR
Revised submission? If revised, please identify which reports are being revised
Person to contact for questions:
Name: Rusti A. Lattin
Phone number: <u>(541)</u> 893-6111
E-mail address: Comco@eagletelephone.com

<u>Filing instructions</u>: Please file reports under Docket No. UM 1426. File reports electronically via the PUC Filing Center; see the PUC website for instructions. <u>Also</u> send one original and 2 hard copies to the PUC Filing Center. If selected portions of reports, e.g., network improvement plans, are to receive confidential treatment, those portions should not be filed electronically. Hard copies of confidential material should be filed in accordance with confidential designation requirements described in OAR 860-011-0080. Regular delivery methods may be used to send all hard copy documents; overnight or express delivery is not necessary. *Please do not send copies of advertising that does not specifically contain advertising for Basic Service or Low Income Programs*. Send documents to the Filing Center using one of the two following addresses, depending on the delivery carrier used:

For US mail: Public Utility Commission of Oregon

Attn: Filing Center PO Box 2148

Salem, OR 97308-2148

For other carriers: Public Utility Commission of Oregon

Attn: Filing Center 550 Capitol St. NE #215 Salem, OR 97308-2148

If you have any questions on these reports, please call Kay Marinos at 503-378-6730, or Celeste Hari at 503-378-6628.

2009 Annual Recertification Reports for ETCs in Oregon

Docket No. UM 1426

Report Formats to Satisfy Requirements of Order No. 06-292 for 2009

Report #1	Supported Services Offerings 1.1. Basic Local Usage Service Offerings – All ETCs 1.2. Comparable Local Usage Plan – CETCs only 1.3. Supported Services Not Provided – CETCs only 1.4. Equal Access Acknowledgement – CETCs only
Report #2	Unfulfilled Service Requests 2.1. Unfulfilled Service Requests/Held Orders – All ETCs 2.2. Service Request Processing – CETCs only
Report #3	Evidence of Advertising for Basic Supported Services - All ETCs
Report #4	<u>Low-income Services</u> – All ETCs 4.1. Number of Lifeline Customers 4.2. Advertising of Low-income Program Service Offerings
Report #5	Outage Report – All ETCs
Report #6	<u>Trouble Report</u> – All ETCs
Report #7	Network Improvement Plan – CETCs only
Report #8	Special Commitments/Requirements – CETCs only
Report #9	 <u>Certifications</u> – All ETCs 9.1. IAS or ICLS Certification Copy – All ETCs Receiving IAS or ICLS 9.2. Certification of Use of Universal Service Funds – All ETCs Receiving Traditional High-Cost Support (HCL, LSS)

9.3. Certification of Emergency Functionality and Compliance with Service Quality/Consumer Protection Measures – **All ETCs**

Report #1 – Supported Services Offerings

1.1. Basic Local Usage Service Offerings – All ETCs

1.4. Equal Access Acknowledgement – CETCs only

Choose	either	A.	or	В.	below,	as a	pį	olicab	ole:

A	Basic local usage service offerings are filed under tariff with the Oregon PUC. The specific tariff references (with <i>company name, tariff number, section and page numbers</i>) for the basic local usage offerings and corresponding rates are: 1. residence:
	2. business:
В. <u>Х</u>	Basic local usage service offerings are not filed under tariff with the Oregon PUC Submit the following information for each basic service offering that includes local usage allowances (unlimited or limited): 1) plan's name, 2) advertised public description, 3) number of local minutes included, 4) calling area included and 5) rates and charges. Include basic offerings for both residence and business EagleReport#1doc
	services. See Attachment #1-B
<u>1.2. C</u>	Comparable Local Usage Plan – CETCs only
	arrier certifies that it offers at least one basic local usage plan that is comparable to offered by the ILECs in its designated service area: yes no
	by which of the plans in 1.1.B above are "comparable" to the ILEC local usage ags, and explain the basis for the comparability.
1.3. S	upported Services Not Provided – CETCs only
provid	Ty any supported services that were not available at designation, but were to be ed as a condition of ETC designation (e.g., toll restriction for qualifying lower consumers, E911):
Are the	ese services provided currently? yes no explain why not:

The carrier acknowledges that it may be required to provide equal access if it is the only
remaining ETC in an area: yes no

Report #2 – Unfulfilled Service Requests

2.1. Unfulfilled Service Requests/Held Orders – All ETCs

Choose <u>either</u> A. <u>or</u> B. below, as applicable:

- A. ___ Service quality reports for "primary held orders over 30 days" were filed with the Oregon PUC for calendar year 2008. No additional submission is required for recertification purposes.
- B. X Service quality reports for "primary held orders over 30 days" were **not** filed with the Oregon PUC for calendar year 2008. In this case, choose **one** of the following alternatives for reporting:
 - 1. X The number of customer requests for supported services that were not fulfilled during calendar year 2008: 0

 If greater than zero, include an attachment noting for each such request, the location (address) of the request and a description of attempts to provide service.
 - 2. ____ The number of "primary held orders over 30 days" (as defined in Section 860-034-0390 of the Oregon Commission rules) for calendar year 2008: _____.
 If greater than zero, include attachment noting for each such held order, the reason the order was held and the original commitment date.

2.2. Service Request Processing - CETCs only

Submit a description of how the carrier ensures that every request for service that cannot be immediately fulfilled is recorded and processed under the 6-step process set forth in 47 CFR Section 54.202(a)(1)(i).

Report #3 – Evidence of Advertising for Basic Supported Services (excluding low-income/lifeline) – All ETCs

Describe how basic supported services were advertised during calendar year 2008 throughout the designated service area. List the types of media used, advertising frequencies and geographic coverage. Attach examples of actual advertisements, noting dates, specific distribution methods, and target geographical populations, sufficient to demonstrate that basic supported services and rates were advertised **throughout** the designated service area in 2008. See Exhibit for Report #3: Eagle Telephone System Inc.; advertises annually in the Hells Canyon Journal as well as maintaining display ads

EagleAttachement#3

in the Richland – Halfway telephone exchange phone book.

Report #4 – Low-income Services – All ETCs

4.1. Number of Lifeline Customers – All ETCs

The total number of customers receiving Lifeline discounts during the month of December 2008 in the designated service area: 12

CETCs only - also I	ist counts by ILEC service area as follows:
ILEC Svc Area	No. of Lifeline customers
	
	
	
	

4.2. Advertising of Low-Income Program Service Offerings – All ETCs

Submit copies of all advertisements (for all media) for Lifeline, LinkUp, and OTAP service offerings that were run during calendar year 2008, noting media (newspaper name, radio station, bill inserts, internet postings, etc.), run/distribution dates, and geographic coverage area. See Attachment#4 Low Income: Eagle Telephone System, Inc.; advertises its low income programs in both the Hells Canyon Journal and the Richland – Halfway exchange phone book annually. As well as via Postings in the office, and mailing inserts when required.

EagleAttachement#4EagleLowIncomeAdv
LowIncome..pdf er..pub

Report #5 - Outage Report - All ETCs

Choose <u>either A. or B. below</u>, as applicable:

	Rules at Se for large te providers)	er was required to report service outages (as defined in Oregon PUC ections 860-034-0390(9) for small telecom utilities, 860-023-0055(9) elecom utilities, and 860-032-0012(9) for competitive telecom to the Oregon PUC during year 2008. No additional submission is or recertification purposes.
В	Rules at for large provide	was <i>not</i> required to report service outages (as defined in Oregon PUC t Sections 860-034-0390(9) for small telecom utilities, 860-023-0055(9) te telecom utilities, and 860-032-0012(9) for competitive telecom rs) to the Oregon PUC during year 2008. Select #1 (wireline carriers) wireless carriers) below.
	1	The number of service outages, as defined in Oregon PUC rules, that occurred during calendar year 2008 was
		If the number was greater than zero, attach a report that lists for each such outage the following: the date and time of onset, a brief description of the outage and its resolution, the particular services affected, the geographic areas affected, steps taken to prevent a similar future occurrence, and the number of customers affected.
	2	The number of service outages, as defined in FCC rules at 47 CFR Section 54.209(a)(2), that occurred during calendar year 2008 was
		If the number was greater than zero, attach a report that lists for each such outage the following: the date and time of onset, a brief description of the outage and its resolution, the particular services affected, the geographic areas affected, steps taken to prevent a similar future occurrence, and the number of customers affected.

Report #6 - Trouble Report - All ETCs

Choose <u>either A. or B. below</u>, as appropriate:

A. X Trouble reports were filed wit Oregon PUC service quality rules. Necertification purposes.	•	•
B Trouble reports were not fill this case, choose one of the follow	•	ng calendar year 2008.
	thly number of customer troub supported services during cale	
Trouble Type	Switch A (location)	Switch B (location)
No service		
Network busy		
Interruption of service	<u>X</u>	
Poor reception		
Section 860-034-0390 (5)	y number of customer trouble of the Oregon PUC rules, per ear 2008: <1 per month, per	100 access lines,

Report #7 – Network Improvement Plan – CETCs Only

Per Docket No. UM 1217, Order No. 06-292, competitive ETCs (CETCs) must file network improvement plans annually for recertification purposes. Appendix A of the order details the information that must be included in such plans. Only CETCs must file these plans for annual recertification purposes; ILECs are not required to file such plans. CETCs that receive *only* low-income program support (no high-cost or access-related support) do not have to file network improvement plans. CETCs are strongly encouraged to use the template in the attached Excel worksheets for their network improvement plans. This template incorporates all the items of information required by the order.

<u>Report #8 – Special Commitments/Requirements</u> – CETCs only

met.

Did the Oregon PUC impose any special commitments or requirements at initial designation or during the previous annual recertification process? yes no
If yes, identify the commitments or requirements and explain if, and how, they have been

Report #9 – Certifications - All ETCs

9.1. IAS or ICLS Certification Copy – All ETCs Receiving IAS and/or ICLS

All ETCs receiving interstate access-related support (IAS or ICLS) must submit a copy of the certification for the use of IAS or ICLS support that was sent to USAC and the FCC in June 2009. See Attachment #9ICLSCertification for 2009.



9.2. Certification of Use of Universal Service Funds – All ETCs receiving HCL and/or LSS (Rural ILECs and CETCs Designated in Rural ILEC Areas)

To continue receiving traditional high cost support (HCL, LSS), ETCs must submit a notarized affidavit signed by a responsible company official certifying that the carrier will use the high cost support funds only for the intended purposes. Use of the sample affidavit form displayed on the following page is recommended. *Attached*.

9.3. Certification of Emergency Functionality and Compliance with Service Quality and Consumer Protection Measures – All ETCs

Each ETC must submit a notarized affidavit signed by a responsible company official certifying that the carrier: 1) is able to remain functional in an emergency, and 2) is complying with all service quality and consumer protection measures in either the applicable Oregon Commission rules (for wireline carriers), the CTIA Consumer Code (for wireless carriers), or some other specific set of standards. All ETCs must submit this affidavit. A copy of an acceptable affidavit form follows the affidavit for high cost support. *Attached*.

AFFIDAVIT CERTIFYING USE OF UNIVERSAL SERVICE FUNDS

I, <u>Mike L. Lattin</u>, being of lawful age and duly sworn, on my oath, state that I am the <u>Vice President</u> [an officer] of: <u>Eagle Telephone System</u>, <u>Inc.</u> ("Company") and that I am authorized to execute this Affidavit on behalf of the Company, and the facts set forth in this Affidavit are true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Pursuant to the rules of the Federal Communications Commission, 47 C.F.R. § 54.314, there must be annual certification that funds received under the federal Universal Service Fund programs will be used only for the provision, maintenance and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended. The Company hereby certifies to the Public Utility Commission of Oregon that pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 54.7, and for purposes of the certification required under 47 C.F.R. § 54.314, the company will use all federal high-cost support provided to it only for the provision, maintenance and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended, consistent with the principles of universal service set forth in 47 U.S.C. 254. This includes, but is not limited to, trying to meet the goal of the provision of services that are properly supported by the high-cost funds at rates that are reasonably comparable to rates charged for similar services in urban areas. *See Attached*.

Report9.2HCL-LSS.jp g	
DATED this day of, 20	09.
Eagle Telephone System, Inc. (Company)	
By:	_ (Name)
Its:	_ (Title)
SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me to	his, 2009.
Notary public in and for the State of Oregon	

My Commission Expires:

AFFIDAVIT CERTIFYING EMERGENCY FUNCTIONALITY AND COMPLIANCE WITH SERVICE QUALITY AND CONSUMER PROTECTION MEASURES

I, Mike L. Lattin, being of lawful age and duly sworn, on my oath, state that I am the

the

Vice President [an officer] of
Eagle Telephone System, Inc. ("Company") and that I am authorized to execute this
Affidavit on behalf of the Company, and the facts set forth in this Affidavit are true to the
best of my knowledge, information and belief. <u>See Attached.</u>
The Company hereby certifies to the Public Utility Commission of Oregon, pursuant to
the requirements of Commission Order No. 06-292, that it:
1) is able to remain functional in emergencies, and,
2) complies with service quality and consumer protection measures in
(check one):
X applicable Oregon Commission rules, or
the CTIA Consumer Code for Wireless Carriers, or
other (describe and explain conformance with requirements of
Order No. 06-292):
,
58.72
Report9.3Emergency
Functionality.jpg
DATED this, 2009.
Eagle Telephone System Inc. (Company)
By: (Name)
Its: (Title)
SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this day of, 2009.
Notary public in and for the State of Oregon
My Commission Expires: