

October 5, 2009

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OF OREGON**

UM 1424

RAINBOW YOUTH GOLF EDUCATION
PROGRAM, INC.,

Complainant,

vs.

PACIFICORP, dba PACIFIC POWER,

Defendant.

RULING

DISPOSITION: HEARING SCHEDULE ESTABLISHED;
BENCH REQUEST ISSUED

An evidentiary hearing is scheduled in this docket on October 8, 2009, in Klamath Falls, Oregon.

I. Hearing Schedule

Testimony will be limited to the issue of whether the installed cost of the facilities constructed for Rainbow Youth Golf Education Program, Inc. (RYGEP), is reasonable. The following schedule is adopted:

Direct Testimony. RYGEP will begin with the direct testimony of witnesses William E. Ray, Jr., and William E. Ray, Sr. RYGEP may use a total of one hour to present the direct testimony of its witnesses. Pacific Power will have a total of 30 minutes for cross-examination.

Response Testimony. Pacific Power will follow with the testimony of Bob Hinkel and Rob Stewart. Pacific Power may use a total of one hour to present its direct testimony. RYGEP may use up to a total of 30 minutes for cross-examination.

Rebuttal Testimony. Before the close of the hearing, RYGEP will have the opportunity to present its witnesses for rebuttal. RYGEP will have 15 minutes for rebuttal testimony. Pacific Power may use up to five minutes for cross-examination.

The ALJ may also have clarifying questions for the witnesses, which will not count toward the time limits established above.

II. Bench Request

To assist the Commission in making its decision, Pacific Power is directed to bring the following information to the hearing:

Detail regarding line extensions constructed by Pacific Power in the Klamath Falls region during the last three years. The information should be provided in the format on the next page and *should include related backup documentation*. The backup documentation should be tagged to identify the associated line extension.

In the event Pacific Power cannot provide this information by the time of the hearing, it may provide this information as a late-filed exhibit up to ten days after the hearing.

Dated at Salem, Oregon, this 5th day of October, 2009.



Lisa D. Hardie
Administrative Law Judge

UM 1424 Ruling/BenchRequest.10/5/09

Format for Bench Request Response, UM 1424
(October 5, 2009, Ruling)

Format for Bench Request Response

Date	Address	Service Type (Residential/ Commercial/or Industrial)	Underground or Overhead	Extend Main Line or Install Drop or Both	Length of Main and/or Drop	Transformer/ Wire Size	Estimate	Actual Cost	Brief Explanation if Difference Between Estimate and Actual Cost Exceeds 10%

[Attach supporting documentation]