BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

DR 40

In the Matter of)
HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL, INC., HONEYWELL GLOBAL FINANCE, LLC and PACIFICORP, dba PACIFIC POWER	REPLY BRIEF OF PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY)
Petition for Declaratory Ruling)

Portland General Electric Company ("PGE" or the "Company") hereby submits its Reply Brief in response to other parties' Opening Briefs. Specifically, this brief focuses on the issues of whether Honeywell is a Customer-Generator (Net Metering Question #2) and whether Honeywell offers "electricity services available pursuant to direct access to more than one retail electricity consumer" under ORS 757.600(16) (Electric Service Suppliers/Utilities Question #1).

I. Honeywell is a Customer-Generator

As we stated in our Opening Brief, PGE's considers Honeywell's customer to be a "customer-generator" under ORS 757.300(1)(a). The plain language of the definition of that term supports our reading. The text of the statutory provision itself is the starting point for interpretation and is the best evidence of the legislature's intent. Portland Gen. Elec. Co. v. Bureau of Labor & Indus., 317 Or 606, 610 (1993). A "customer-generator" is defined as a user of a net-metering facility. ORS 757.300(1)(a). The Honeywell facility, as set forth in the assumed facts, (hereinafter "Honeywell Facility") meets the definition of "net-metering facility" under ORS 757.300(1)(d). Honeywell's customer uses the output of the net metering facility to serve its load. The fundamental purpose of the facility is to generate electricity to serve load, and it is not clear how it could be "used" in any other way.

The parties to this docket nearly unanimously agree that Honeywell's customer is a Page 1 - DR 40 - REPLY BRIEF OF PGE

- 1 "customer-generator". However, PacifiCorp suggests that although the term "customer-
- 2 generator" is clearly defined, we should parse the words "customer-generator", without
- 3 considering the clear and straightforward definition accompanying these words in the statutory
- 4 text. PacifiCorp Br 8. We disagree with PacifiCorp's approach and believe that approach renders
- 5 the provided definition meaningless. In construing the statute, one should not "insert what has
- been omitted, or [] omit what has been inserted." ORS 174.010.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

II. Honeywell is not clearly an ESS

- 7 In its Opening Brief response to questions (1) and (2) under the heading Electricity
- 8 Service Supplier/Utilities, Oregon Public Utility Commission Staff ("Staff") concludes that
- 9 Honeywell is an ESS. PGE believes that Honeywell is not clearly an ESS.
 - PGE begins by restating its Opening Brief reasoning for concluding that there are colorable arguments why Honeywell is likely not an ESS. Briefly stated, Honeywell's business model as described in the assumed facts is distinguishable from an ESS because it has no need to use the distribution utility's transmission and distribution system to sell electricity services to its customer. Unlike the definition of customer-generator, the definition of ESS is so expansive (and reliant on other extremely broad defined terms) that it is necessary to read it in the context of other related statutes. Portland Gen. Elec. Co. v. Bureau of Labor & Indus., 317 Or at 611 (the court must consider the context of the statutory provision at issue, which includes other provisions of the same statute and other related statutes). Other defined terms in the direct access statutes imply and assume that an ESS will need the electric utility's distribution system to deliver generation to its customer. See e.g., the defined terms "direct access" (ORS 757.600(6)), "retail electricity consumer" (ORS 757.600(29)), "distribution utility" (757.600(9)), and "distribution" (ORS 757.600(8)). PGE also noted that ORS 757.632 requires electric companies to provide ESSs with nondiscriminatory access to utility's distribution systems, again

implying that ESSs cannot operate without such access (which Honeywell can). The direct access statutes' presumption that an ESS will need the utility distribution system, and Honeywell and similarly situated businesses do not, suggests these entities should not be defined as ESSs.¹

This conclusion is bolstered by the arguments set forth in the Opening Briefs of Honeywell, Interstate Renewable Energy Council, and Renewable Northwest Project, et al. Those parties also distinguish Honeywell's business model and the business models of similarly situated businesses by noting that certain administrative rules necessary to ensure a functioning direct access market are unnecessary to Honeywell's relationship with its customer. As these parties point out, "scheduling," as that term is used in the Division 38 rules, is not required. As stated in the rules, "[e]ach ESS shall be certified as either scheduling or non-scheduling" and "[a] non-scheduling ESS must contract with a scheduling ESS or control area operator for all scheduling services." OAR 860-038-0410(1) and (3). "Scheduling" as it is used in the applicable laws and rules, typically means ensuring that all necessary point to point transmission services and network integration transmission service has been acquired to deliver the ESS's electricity services to the direct access customer. See, e.g., OAR 860-038-0410(2). "Scheduling," is part of the definition of "ancillary services." See ORS 757.600(2).

Under the assumed facts, neither Honeywell nor its customer has any need for scheduling or, for that matter, any other ancillary services as that term is defined in the direct access statutes. Such laws and rules intended to regulate ESSs are not necessary for Honeywell to serve its customer. Nor are these laws and rules necessary to protect Honeywell's customer by ensuring it

¹ We note that ORS 757.649(5) requires the distribution utility to provide a consolidated bill for all electricity services provided, including those provided by an ESS, if the customer does not request otherwise. As Honeywell's brief points out, this implies ESSs provide services by using the electric utility's distribution system (Honeywell Br 22)--that is, the distribution utility is the gatekeeper that monitors and is involved with the relationship between the ESS and its customer. The distribution utility plays no such role in the relationship between Honeywell and its customer under the assumed facts. The transaction between Honeywell and its customer occurs on the customer's side of the meter with no direct role played by the local electrical utility. PGE believes this factor further distinguishes Honeywell and similarly situated businesses from an ESS and implies that the direct access statutes

- has a reliable electricity supply. Honeywell's customer remains connected to the electric utility,
- 2 it only pays for power generated, and, if necessary, it has remedies available under contract law
- based on the energy services agreement between itself and Honeywell.²

Assuming for sake of argument that Honeywell is an ESS given the assumed facts, staff

suggests it may waive certain ESS requirements pursuant to OAR 860-038-0001(4). Staff Br 6.

6 Staff's "waiver" remedy may address some of Honeywell's concerns by preventing unnecessary

regulation. Nevertheless, statutory ESS requirements are not waivable by the Commission. For

example, if the Commission determines that Honeywell is an ESS, Honeywell must collect from

its customers the 3% public purpose charge pursuant to ORS 757.612. ORS 757.612(3)(f) states

that the Commission cannot establish a charge different from that established in ORS 757.612(2)

which, in effect, a waiver would do. Additionally, ORS 757.654(4) states that every bill from an

ESS to a direct access retail electricity consumer shall contain at least "(d) the amount of any

public purpose charge or credit...." Applied to the assumed facts, it is difficult to believe that

the Legislature intended for retail electricity consumers who contract for renewable energy

generation such as that provided by Honeywell to pay the public purpose charge.

III. Conclusion

For the reasons enumerated above, PGE respectfully requests that based on the assumed

facts, the Commission find Honeywell's customer to be a customer-generator that may engage in

net metering using the Honeywell Facility, and that Honeywell's business model not make

Honeywell an ESS subject to burdensome requirements.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

should not regulate such businesses.

² In addition, PGE notes that it is not difficult to imagine a situation where a commercial customer is a separate entity from the entity that owns the real property occupied by the commercial customer. Such structuring often provides tax or other advantages. In such a situation, if the separate entity that owns the property installed a photovoltaic array and billed the commercial customer's other entities for the electricity generated, would Staff also consider the property owning entity an ESS? Again, such regulation by the Commission over these entities would

Dated: July 11, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

J. Richard George

Assistant General Counsel

Portland General Electric Company

121 SW Salmon Street, 1WTC1301

(503) 464-7611 (telephone)

(503) 464-2200 (telecopier)

richard.george@pgn.com



July 11, 2008

Via Electronic Filing and U.S. Mail

Oregon Public Utility Commission Attention: Filing Center 550 Capitol Street NE, #215 PO Box 2148 Salem OR 97308-2148

Re: DR 40 – HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL, INC., HONEYWELL GLOBAL FINANCE, LLC & PACIFICORP PETITION FOR DECLARATORY RULING

Attention Filing Center:

Enclosed for filing in the captioned docket is an original and five copies of:

PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY REPLY BRIEF

This document is being filed by electronic mail with the Filing Center. An extra copy of the cover letter is enclosed. Please date stamp the extra copy and return to me in the envelope provided.

This document is being served upon the DR 40 service list.

Thank you in advance for your assistance.

Sincerely,

J. Richard George

Assistant General Counsel

Portland General Electric Company

JRG:SMC Enclosures

cc: Service List-DR 40

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day caused PGE's Reply Brief to be served by electronic mail to those parties whose email addresses appear on the attached service list, and by First Class US Mail, postage prepaid and properly addressed, to those parties on the attached service list who have not waived paper service from OPUC Docket No. DR 40.

Dated at Portland, Oregon, this 11th day of July 2008.

J. RICHARD GEORGE

SERVICE LIST OPUC DOCKET # DR 40 as of 7/11/08

Cable Huston Benedict Et Al	Enough Trust of Oragon
	Energy Trust of Oregon
Thomas M Grim	John M. Volkman
Attorney	General Counsel
1001 SW Fifth Ave., Ste 2000	851 SW Sixth Ave, Suite 1200
Portland, OR 97204	Portland, OR 97204
tgrim@cablehuston.com *	john.volkman@energytrust.com *
* Waived Paper Service	*Waived Paper Service
Central Lincoln PUD	Esler, Stephens & Buckley
Paul Davies	John W. Stephens
Manager	888 SW Fifth Ave. Ste 700
P.O. Box 1126	Portland, OR 97204-2021
Newport, OR 97365-0090	stephens@eslerstephens.com *
pdavies@cencoast.com *	
	* Waived Paper Service
* Waived Paper Service	
Department of Justice	Esler, Stephens & Buckley
Janet L. Prewitt	Kim T. Buckley
	Attorney at Law
Assistant Attorney General	
janet.prewitt@doj.state.or.us *	buckley@eslerstephens.com *
* Waived Paper Service	* Waived Paper Service
Department of Justice	Honeywell Building Solutions
Michael T Weirich	Fritz Feiten
Assistant Attorney General	fritz.feiten@honeywell.com *
Regulated Utility & Business Section	
1162 Court St. NE	*Waived Paper Service
Salem, OR 97301-4096	
Michael.weirich@doj.state.or.us	
Department of Justice	Oregon Department of Energy
Natural Resources Section	Kip Pheil
James Murphy	kip.pheil@state.or.us *
james.b.murphy@doj.state.or.us *	
de la constantination	*Waived Paper Service
*Waived Paper Service	•
Energy Trust of Oragon	Pacific Power & Light
Energy Trust of Oregon Dakkin Caldborg Monacha	Michelle R. Mishoe
Debbie Goldberg Menashe	
Senior Counsel	Legal Counsel
851 SW Sixth Ave, Suite 1200	825 NE Multnomah, STE 1800

Portland, OR 97204	Portland, OR 97232
,	michelle.mishoe@pacificorp.com *
	* Waived Paper Service
State of Oregon Department of Justice	State of Oregon Department of Justice
Theodore Falk	Bill Nessly
Sr. Assistant Attorney General	Sr. Assistant Attorney General
1162 Court Street NE	1162 Court Street NE
Salem, OR 97301-4096	Salem, OR 97301-4096
theodore.c.falk@doj.state.or.us	william.nessly@doj.state.or.us
SunEnergy Power Corporation	CUB
Doug Parsons	Jason Eisdorfer
1133 NW Wall Street, Suite 305	610 SW Broadway, Ste 308
Bend, OR 97701-1968	Portland OR 97205
dparsons@SunEnergyPower.com	jason@OregonCUB.org *
	* Waived Paper Service
CUB	CUB
Bob Jenks	Lowery Brown
610 SW Broadway, Ste 308	610 SW Broadway, Ste 308
Portland OR 97205	Portland OR 97205
bob@OregonCUB.org *	lowery@OregonCUB.org *
* Waived Paper Service	* Waived Paper Service
City of Medford	Interstate Renewable Energy Council
Lori J. Cooper	Keven T. Fox
411 W. 8 th St.	5727 Keith Avenue
Medford, OR 97501	Oakland, CA 94618
lori.cooper@cityofmedford.org	kfox@keyesandfox.com *
	* Waived Paper Service
Oregon Department of Transportation	Renewable Northwest Project
James Whitty	Suzanne Leta Liou
Transportation Bldg, Rm 115	917 SW Oak St., Suite 303
355 Capitol Street NE	Portland, OR 97205
Salem, OR 97310	suzanne@rnp.or *
jim.whitty@doj.state.or.us *	* Waived Paper Service
* Waived Paper Service	
Renewable Northwest Project	Central Lincoln PUD
Tonkon Torp	Tom Barrows
David F. Whte	tbarrows@aol.com *
808 SW Fifth Ave.	Waived Paper Service

Portland, OR 97204	Central Lincoln PUD
david.white@tonkon.com *	Chris Chandler Ditorrice
david.winte@ioiikoii.com	P.O. Box 1126
* Waised Damon Compies	
* Waived Paper Service	Newport, OR 97365-0090
	cditorrice@cencoast.com *
	* Waiyad Danar Carrias
City Hall	* Waived Paper Service
	Dea Con Salar Crour
Paul Nolte	Bac Gen Solar Group
City Attorney	One World Trade Center
3860 Fisher Rd.	121 SW Salmon St. 11 th Floor
Roseburg, OR 97401	Portland, OR 97204
law@ashlandhome.net	martin@BacGenSolar.com *
	*Waived Paper Service
The Romain Group, LLC	OSEIA
Danelle Rpmain	Joseph Reinhart
707 SW Washington St. Ste 927	833 SE Main St. MB#206
Portland, OR 97205	Portland, OT 97214
dromain@teleport.com *	joe@oseia.org *
dromame/rereport.com	Joseph Jo
*Waived Paper Service	*Waived Paper Service
Natural Resources Defense Council	League of Oregon Cities
Ralph Cavanagh	Scott Winkels
111 Sutter St. FL 20	P.O. Box 928
San Francisco, CA 94104	Salem, OR 97308
rcavanagh@nrdc.org *	swinkels@orcities.org *
* Waived Paper Service	*Waived Paper Service
Gerding Edlen Sustainable Solutions	Portland General Electric
Dennis Wilde	Randy Dahlgren
1120 NW Couch St. Ste 600	121 SW Salmon St. 1WTC0702
Portland, OR 97209	Portland, OT 97204
dennis.wilde@gerdingedlin.com *	pge.opuc.filings@pgn.com
*Waived Paper Service	
Portland General Electric	Pacific Oregon Dockets
Richard George	825 NE Multnomah, STE 2000
121 SW Salmon St. 1WTC0702	Portland, OR 97232
Portland, OT 97204	oregondockets@pacificorp.com *
richard.george@pgn.com	477. 15
	* Waived Paper Service
Environment Oregon	
Jeremiah Baumann	
888 SW Fifth Ave., Ste 700	

Portland, OR 97204-2021 jeremiah@environmentoregon.org *	
* Waived Paper Service	