

August 31, 2009

Public Utility Commission Administrative Hearings Division 550 Capitol St NE – Suite 215 Salem OR 97301

Re: UM 1355 - Staff's errata

Dear Filing Center:

Enclosed for filing are two sets of errata documents regarding Staff's previously filed testimony found at Staff/300, Brown/4-5. The first set of documents shows the errata changes in **Bold** print. The second set of documents is a clean copy of staff's testimony that includes the referenced errata changes. *See* Staff/300, Brown/ 4 at lines 3, 10-11, and 15; and Staff/300, Brown/5 at lines 1-2.

Staff is serving a copy of both set of documents upon the parties as of the date of this letter.

Sincerely,

Michael T. Weirich

Assistant Attorney General

Regulated Utility & Business Section

MTW:nal/1601235-v1 C: Service List

## Filing Center:

Enclosed for errata filing in UM 1355 are:
• replacing staff testimony Staff/300, Brown/4, Line 3 (Bold), Lines 10-11(Chart), Line 15.

A. Yes. In addition to the RMSE, I also used the **Sum of Absolute Error (SAE)** test.

Both tests yielded the same results: that the Collar mechanism is a more accurate forecast methodology than simple use of the four-year average.

## Q. PLEASE DISCUSS YOUR RESULTS.

A. The following table shows two comparisons. The first is a comparison of the forecast accuracy of the four-year average forecast of forced outages rates to Staff's Collar Mechanism forecast of forced outages rates for PacifiCorp's coal-fired facilities. The second is a comparison of the forecast accuracy of the four-year average forecast of the equivalent outage rates (EOR) to PacifiCorp's Mechanism forecast for the coal-fired facilities.

Model	RMSE	Sum of ABS Error
FOR four-year average	4.83	249.73
Collar four-year average	3.91	202.71
Change from Simple Avg.	-0.91	-47.03
EOR four-year average	4.77	251.63
PacifiCorp four-year average	3.90	209.24
Change from Simple Avg.	-0.87	-42.39

A negative value in the "Change from Simple Avg." row indicates the improvement from the simple four-year average. The table makes it clear that both the Staff and PacifiCorp approach improves the accuracy of the simple four-year average. However, the Collar methodology is approximately 19% more accurate, and the PacifiCorp methodology is approximately 18% more accurate, therefore, Staff continues to recommend the Collar mechanism.

- one page (two sided) replacing Staff/300, Brown/5, Lines 1-2 (Bold).
  - A. No. For example, it would be inappropriate to compare the **3.91** RMSE of the Staff proposal to the **3.90** RMSE of the PacifiCorp proposal because the RMSE calculations are based on different data sets. However, it is possible to compare the relative improvement over the corresponding four-year average for each proposed mechanism.
- one page (two sided) replacing Staff/300, Brown/18, Line 5 (Bold).
  - Q. IS THE PACIFICORP METHODOLOGY MORE ACCURATE THAN THE FOUR-YEAR AVERAGE?
  - A. Yes. However, as I showed previously, the PacifiCorp methodology improves the accuracy on average by approximately 18 percent, while the Collar methodology showed an overall improvement of approximately **19** percent.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The 18 and 20 percent improvement of the simple four-year average is calculated by comparing the three error terms of the four year average to the respective outlier methodology error terms.

A. Yes. In addition to the RMSE, I also used the Sum of Absolute Error (SAE) test. Both tests yielded the same results: that the Collar mechanism is a more accurate forecast methodology than simple use of the four-year average.

## Q. PLEASE DISCUSS YOUR RESULTS.

A. The following table shows two comparisons. The first is a comparison of the forecast accuracy of the four-year average forecast of forced outages rates to Staff's Collar Mechanism forecast of forced outages rates for PacifiCorp's coal-fired facilities. The second is a comparison of the forecast accuracy of the four-year average forecast of the equivalent outage rates (EOR) to PacifiCorp's Mechanism forecast for the coal-fired facilities.

Model	RMSE	Sum of ABS Error
FOR four-year average	4.83	249.73
Collar four-year average	3.91	202.71
Change from Simple Avg.	-0.91	-47.03
EOR four-year average	4.77	251.63
PacifiCorp four-year average	3.90	209.24
Change from Simple Avg.	-0.87	42.39

A negative value in the "Change from Simple Avg." row indicates the improvement from the simple four-year average. The table makes it clear that both the Staff and PacifiCorp approach improves the accuracy of the simple four-year average. However, the Collar methodology is approximately 19% more accurate, and the PacifiCorp methodology is approximately 18% more accurate, therefore, Staff continues to recommend the Collar mechanism.

Q. CAN YOU COMPARE THE ACTUAL ERROR TERMS OF THE STAFF METHODOLOGY TO THE PACIFICORP METHODOLOGY?

Staff/300 Brown/5

A. No. For example, it would be inappropriate to compare the 3.91 RMSE of the Staff proposal to the 3.90 RMSE of the PacifiCorp proposal because the RMSE calculations are based on different data sets. However, it is possible to compare the relative improvement over the corresponding four-year average for each proposed mechanism.

- Q. WHEN THE COMMISSION ORDERED THE OPENING OF A GENERIC DOCKET TO FURTHER INVESTIGATE THE FORCED OUTAGE RATE, WHAT WAS ITS PRIMARY GOAL?
- A. In Order No. 07-015, the Commission stated that it sought "...the most accurate forecast of forced outages at the relevant plants."
- Q. PACIFICORP HAS CRITICIZED STAFF'S STATEMENT IN A WORKSHOP
  THAT IT USED A "VISUAL INTERPRETATION" OF THE DATA SET IN
  ORDER TO DETERMINE THE 90<sup>TH</sup> PERCENTILE, RATHER THAN USING
  STATISTICAL ANALYSIS. HOW DO YOU RESPOND TO THIS
  CRITICISM?
- A. Both Mr. Duvall and Mr. Godfrey have criticized Staff's statement that it made a "visual interpretation" that the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile is the appropriate point in the data set of which to determine that a unit has incurred an outlier year. However, economics is a science that is filled with graphical representations of underlying data. Economists rely on graphical analysis and interpretation of large data sets in order to determine underlying trends, specific patterns or variations. Although visual interpretation of data can be misleading, and the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Order No. 07-015, page 14.

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2	I certify that on August 31, 2009, I served the foregoing Staff's Errata upon all parties of		
3	record in this proceeding by delivering a copy by electronic mail and by mailing a copy by		
4	postage prepaid first class mail or by hand delivery/shuttle mail to the parties accepting paper		
5	service.		
6	W CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON	W IDAHO POWER COMPANY	
7	G CATRIONA MCCRACKEN - CONFIDENTIAL UTILITY ANALYST	LISA D NORDSTROM - CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY	
8	610 SW BROADWAY - STE 308	PO BOX 70	
o	PORTLAND OR 97205	BOISE ID 83707-0070 Inordstrom@idahopower.com	
9	catriona@oregoncub.org	morastromwidanopower.com	
	w	GREGORY W SAID- CONFIDENTIAL	
10	CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON	DIRECTOR - REVENUE REQUIREMENT	
	OPUC DOCKETS	PO BOX 70	
11	610 SW BROADWAY STE 308	BOISE ID 83707 gsaid@idahopower.com	
	PORTLAND OR 97205	gsald@idariopower.com	
12	dockets@oregoncub.org	TIM TATUM	
-	GORDON FEIGHNER	PO BOX 70	
13	ENERGY ANALYST	BOISE ID 83707-0070	
	610 SW BROADWAY, SUITE 308	ttatum@idahopower.com	
14	PORTLAND OR 97205	SCOTT WRIGHT- CONFIDENTIAL	
	gordon@oregoncub.org	PO BOX 70	
15	ROBERT JENKS - CONFIDENTIAL	BOISE ID 83707-0070	
	610 SW BROADWAY STE 308	swright@idahopower.com	
16	PORTLAND OR 97205		
	bob@oregoncub.org	W	
17		MCDOWELL & RACKNER PC WENDY MCINDOO - CONFIDENTIAL	
	DAVISON VAN CLEVE PC	OFFICE MANAGER	
18	MELINDA J DAVISON - <b>CONFIDENTIAL</b> 333 SW TAYLOR - STE 400	520 SW 6TH AVE STE 830	
	PORTLAND OR 97204	PORTLAND OR 97204	
19	mail@dvclaw.com	wendy@mcd-law.com	
		LISA F RACKNER- CONFIDENTIAL	
20	W	ATTORNEY	
	IDAHO POWER COMPANY CHRISTA BEARRY	520 SW SIXTH AVENUE STE 830	
21	PO BOX 70	PORTLAND OR 97204	
	BOISE ID 83707-0070	lisa@mcd-law.com	
22	cbearry@idahopower.com		
	•	W	
23	BARTON L KLINE	PACIFIC POWER & LIGHT MICHELLE R MISHOE - CONFIDENTIAL	
	SENIOR ATTORNEY	LEGAL COUNSEL	
24	PO BOX 70 BOISE ID 83707-0070	825 NE MULTNOMAH STE 1800	
	bkline@idahopower.com	PORTLAND OR 97232	
25		michelle.mishoe@pacificorp.com	
26			

1 2 3 4 5	W PACIFICORP OREGON DOCKETS OREGON DOCKETS 825 NE MULTNOMAH ST STE 2000 PORTLAND OR 97232 oregondockets@pacificorp.com  PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC RATES & REGULATORY AFFAIRS - OPUC FILINGS 121 SW SALMON ST 1WTC0702 PORTLAND OR 97204 pge.opuc.filings@pgn.com	PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON KELCEY BROWN PO BOX 2148 SALEM OR 97301 kelcey.brown@state.or.us  RFI CONSULTING INC RANDALL J FALKENBERG - CONFIDENTIAL PMB 362 8343 ROSWELL RD SANDY SPRINGS GA 30350 consultrfi@aol.com		
7 8 9	PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC DOUGLAS C TINGEY 121 SW SALMON 1WTC13 PORTLAND OR 97204 doug.tingey@pgn.com			
10		Meoma Lane		
11		Legal Secretary Department of Justice		
12		Regulated Utility & Business Section		
13				
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li></ul>				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
<ul><li>21</li><li>22</li></ul>				
23				
24				
25				
26				