BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

OF OREGON

UE 180/ UE 181/ UE 184

In the Matter of	
PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY)))
Request for a General Rate Revision (UE 180),)))
In the Matter of) STIPULATION REGARDING) RVM ISSUES
PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY)
Annual Adjustments to Schedule 125 (2007 RVM Filing) (UE 181),)))
In the Matter of))
PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY))
Request for a General Rate Revision relating to the Port Westward Plant (UE 184).)))

This Stipulation ("Stipulation") is among Portland General Electric Company ("PGE"), Staff of the Public Utility Commission of Oregon ("Staff"), the Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities ("ICNU"), and the Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon ("CUB") (collectively, the "Parties"). Capitalized terms used in this Stipulation have the meanings ascribed to them in this Stipulation.

Pursuant to the Stipulation Concerning Power Costs, adopted by the Oregon Public

Utility Commission ("Commission") in Order No. 01-777 and PGE's Schedule 125, PGE files an

STIPULATION – PAGE 1

annual case known as the Resource Valuation Mechanism ("RVM") that includes an update of PGE's net variable power costs ("NVPC"). The Parties have been active participants in the 2007 RVM. As part of that participation, PGE has filed proposed tariffs, and PGE and the other Parties have filed testimony and exhibits addressing PGE's initial filing in this docket. Staff, CUB and ICNU have filed testimony proposing various adjustments to PGE's proposed NVPC.

This RVM docket has been consolidated with PGE's pending general rate case. At a prehearing conference held on April 4, 2006, a procedural schedule was adopted for the RVM proceedings and rate case proceedings. The RVM portion of the schedule included three rounds of testimony and a hearing on August 30, 2006, with an order contemplated in sufficient time for the November 15 direct access election window. Some rate case issues impacting power costs were also to be heard and determined on the RVM schedule.

Revised power cost rates resulting from the RVM docket are to be implemented January 1, 2007. It is anticipated that revised rates resulting from PGE's general rate case will be implemented no later than January 17, 2007.

The Parties held settlement conferences in this docket on June 22 and August 7, 2006. As a result of those settlement discussions, the Parties are entering into this Stipulation to settle the RVM portion of this docket only. As set forth below, power cost issues raised by parties to this consolidated docket will be addressed along with other issues in PGE's general rate case. Accordingly, the Parties request that the Commission adopt orders in this docket implementing the following:

STIPULATION

For the purpose of calculating the 2007 annual RVM update pursuant to
 Schedule 125, PGE will make a final RVM filing on November 9, 2006 (the "Final RVM

Filing"). Prior to making the Final RVM Filing, PGE will make a filing on November 2, 2006 (the "Pre-Final RVM Filing") that locks down all data inputs in the 2007 RVM except forward price curves. In both of these filings, PGE will reflect an adjustment that decreases annual Net Variable Power Costs ("NVPC") by \$8,588,000. PGE will apply the adjustment to Part A of Schedule 125. This adjustment amount is for settlement purposes only and is not precedent, and will not be used by any party as precedent in the rate case or any other docket.

- 2. All of the testimony of Staff, CUB and ICNU filed on July 18, 2006, is moved into the general rate case portion of this docket.
- 3. Test year expenses include purchases of gas transportation from Northwest Pipeline ("NWP") for transportation to PGE's Beaver plant, and also for its Port Westward plant when completed. Expenses also include purchases of gas transportation from Gas Transmission Northwest ("GTN") to supply PGE's Coyote Springs plant. Both Northwest Pipeline and Gas Transmission Northwest have filed requests with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission to increase their respective tariff rates beginning January 1, 2007. If the increases are granted as requested, PGE's projected 2007 power costs will increase by about \$3 million over the amount included in PGE's initial filing. It is, however, unknown if FERC will grant the total amount of the requested rate increase. If FERC issues final orders prior to October 16, 2006, the FERC ordered rates will be used to determine the gas transportation costs in the Final RVM Filing. The Parties further agree to work in good faith to establish a baseline projected amount for these gas transportation costs to be used in the Final RVM filing to be used if FERC has not issued final orders by October 16, 2006. If no baseline amount is agreed to, the rates requested by NWP and GTN will be used to determine gas transportation costs in the Final RVM Filing.
 - 4. If FERC final orders regarding the NWP and GTN rate requests are not issued

prior to October 16, 2006, then PGE will file, on January 1, 2007, an application for deferred accounting treatment of the incremental NVPC attributable to the difference between the actual FERC ordered gas transportation rates and the baseline gas transportation rates used in the Final RVM Filing. To determine the incremental NVPC attributable to the difference in gas transportation rates PGE will run the Monet model used in the Final RVM Filing with the FERC ordered gas transportation rates and no other changes (the "Supplemental Monet Run"). The incremental NVPC will equal the difference between the NVPC from the Supplemental Monet Run and the NVPC from the Monet run used in the Final RVM Filing (the "Deferred Amount")... If PGE's NVPC from the Supplemental Monet Run is greater than the NVPC from the Final RVM Filing, then the Deferred Amount will be a cost to be recovered from customers. If PGE's NVPC from the Supplemental Monet Run is less than the NVPC from the Monet run used in the Final RVM Filing, then the Deferred Amount will be a credit to be refunded to customers. The deferred accounting application described in this paragraph will allocate 100% of the Deferred Amount to customers with no deadband. Each Party agrees to support the deferred accounting application described in this paragraph and the recovery or refunding of the Deferred Amount, subject to the terms of this paragraph. The Parties recognize and agree that the Deferred Amount may reflect a credit to be refunded to customers or a cost to be recovered from customers. Each Party agrees not to oppose amortization of the Deferred Amount on the basis of PGE's earnings or on the basis that amortization of the Deferred Amount will cause PGE to exceed the 3% limit specified in ORS 757.259(6).

5. This stipulation represents a financial settlement of the issues at dispute and is a fair resolution of the issues raised given the potential outcomes in this docket. By signing this Stipulation, no Party agrees to or acknowledges whether the updates, and modeling changes

proposed in this docket are consistent with Scheldule 125 or previous agreements; no party agrees to or acknowledges the prudence or imprudence of any disputed costs, inputs or modeling changes; and no party agrees to or acknowledges whether the proposed updates in this docket are appropriate for inclusion in normalized retail rates. The adjustment to NVPC specified in Paragraph 1 applies only to PGE's 2007 RVM filing, and the Parties recognize that these RVM rates will only be in effect until rates from PGE's general rate case are implemented.

- 6. The Parties agree that this Stipulation resolves all current issues related to PGE's 2007 RVM filing.
- 7. Parties retain the right to raise objections based on new issues that arise out of the November 2nd or November 9th RVM updates.
- 8. The Parties agree that this Stipulation is in the public interest and will produce rates that are fair, just and reasonable.
- 9. The Parties agree that all testimony previously submitted in this matter dealing with RVM issues may be admitted into the record.
- 10. This Stipulation will be offered into the record in this proceeding as evidence pursuant to OAR § 860-14-0085. The Stipulating Parties agree to support this Stipulation throughout this proceeding and in any appeal, provide witnesses to sponsor this Stipulation at the hearing, and recommend that the Commission issue an order adopting the settlements contained herein. The Stipulating Parties also agree to cooperate in drafting and submitting the explanatory brief or written testimony required by OAR § 860-14-0085(4).
- 11. If this Stipulation is challenged by any other party to this proceeding, or any other party seeks a resolution of the RVM portion of this docket that departs from the terms of this Stipulation, the Stipulating Parties reserve the right to cross-examine witnesses and put in such

evidence as they deem appropriate to respond fully to the issues presented, including the right to raise issues that are incorporated in the settlements embodied in this Stipulation.

Notwithstanding this reservation of rights, the Stipulating Parties agree that they will continue to support the Commission's adoption of the terms of this Stipulation.

- 12. If the Commission rejects all or any material part of this Stipulation, or adds any material condition to any final order which is not contemplated by this Stipulation, each Party reserves the right to withdraw from this Stipulation upon written notice to the Commission and the other Parties within five (5) business days of service of the final order rejecting this Stipulation or adding such material condition.
- 13. This Stipulation may be signed in any number of counterparts, each of which will be an original for all purposes, but all of which taken together will constitute one and the same agreement.
- 14. The Parties agree that this Stipulation represents a compromise in the positions of the Parties. As such, conduct, statements, and documents disclosed in the negotiation of this Stipulation shall not be admissible as evidence in this or any other proceeding. The Stipulating Parties agree that they will not cite this Stipulation as precedent in any other proceeding other than a proceeding to enforce the terms of this Stipulation.
- 15. By entering into this Stipulation, no Party shall be deemed to have approved, admitted or consented to the facts, principles, methods or theories employed by any other Party in arriving at the terms of this Stipulation. Except as provided in this Stipulation, no Party shall be deemed to have agreed that any provision of this Stipulation is appropriate for resolving issues in any other proceeding.

		B	
DATED	this	day of August,	2006

PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY

STAFF OF THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON

INDUSTRIAL CUSTOMERS OF NORTHWEST UTILITIES

PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY
STAFF OF THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON
2LL
CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON
INDUSTRIAL CUSTOMERS OF NORTHWEST UTILITIES

CUB

DATED this Myday of August, 2006

PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY

STAFF OF THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON

INDUSTRIAL CUSTOMERS OF **NORTHWEST UTILITIES**

Bel Juka

STIPULATION - PAGE 7

DATED this 23 day of August, 2006

PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY

STAFF OF THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON

CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON

INDUSTRIAL CUSTOMERS OF

INDUSTRIAL CUSTOMERS OF NORTHWEST UTILITIES

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day caused the foregoing MOTION TO ALLOW LATE FILING OF RVM STIPUATION [EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION REQUESTED],

STIPULATION REGARDING RVM ISSUES, AND JOINT EXPLANATORY BRIEF

REGARDING RVM ISSUES to be served by First Class US Mail, postage prepaid and properly addressed, and by electronic mail, upon each party on the attached service list from OPUC Dockets UE 180, UE 181, and UE 184.

Dated at Portland, Oregon, this 24th day of August 2006.

/S/ DOUGLAS C. TINGEY

DOUGLAS C. TINGEY

SERVICE LIST

UE 180

KURT J BOEHM ATTORNEY BOEHM KURTZ & LOWRY 36 E SEVENTH ST - STE 1510 CINCINNATI OH 45202 kboehm@bkllawfirm.com

MICHAEL L KURTZ BOEHM KURTZ & LOWRY 36 E 7TH ST STE 1510 CINCINNATI OH 45202-4454 mkurtz@bkllawfirm.com

GEOFFREY M KRONICK BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION LC7 PO BOX 3621 PORTLAND OR 97208-3621 gmkronick@bpa.gov

CRAIG SMITH BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION PO BOX 3621--L7 PORTLAND OR 97208-3621 cmsmith@bpa.gov

JAMES T SELECKY BRUBAKER & ASSOCIATES, INC. 1215 FERN RIDGE PKWY, SUITE 208 ST. LOUIS MO 63141 jtselecky@consultbai.com

TAMARA FAUCETTE
CABLE HUSTON BENEDICT
HAAGENSEN & LLOYD LLP
1001 SW 5TH AVE STE 2000
PORTLAND OR 97204
tfaucette@chbh.com

CHAD M STOKES
CABLE HUSTON BENEDICT
HAAGENSEN & LLOYD, LLP
1001 SW 5TH - STE 2000
PORTLAND OR 97204
cstokes@chbh.com

LOWREY R BROWN CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON 610 SW BROADWAY - STE 308 PORTLAND OR 97205 lowrey@oregoncub.org

JASON EISDORFER CITIZENS' UTILITY BOARD OF OREGON 610 SW BROADWAY STE 308 PORTLAND OR 97205 dockets@oregoncub.org

JIM ABRAHAMSON
COORDINATOR
COMMUNITY ACTION DIRECTORS OF
OREGON
PO BOX 7964
SALEM OR 97303-0208
jim@cado-oregon.org

S BRADLEY VAN CLEVE DAVISON VAN CLEVE PC 333 SW TAYLOR - STE 400 PORTLAND OR 97204 mail@dvclaw.com STEPHANIE S ANDRUS
ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
REGULATED UTILITY & BUSINESS
SECTION
1162 COURT ST NE
SALEM OR 97301-4096
stephanie.andrus@state.or.us

KARL HANS TANNER
PRESIDENT
OREGON ENERGY COORDINATORS
ASSOCIATION
2448 W HARVARD BLVD
ROSEBURG OR 97470
karl.tanner@ucancap.org

LAURA BEANE
MANAGER, REGULATION
PACIFICORP
825 MULTNOMAH STE 800
PORTLAND OR 97232-2153
laura.beane@pacificorp.com

ANN L. FISHER
AF LEGAL & CONSULTING SERVICES
2005 SW 71ST AVENUE
PORTLAND, OR 97225-3705
energlaw@aol.com

LORNE WHITTLES
MANAGER, PNW MARKETING
1161 W. RIVER STREET
SUITE 250
BOISE, IDAHO 83702
lwhittles@epcor.ca

ELISA M. LARSON ASSOCIATE COUNSEL NORTHWEST NATURAL 220 NW 2ND AVENUE PORTLAND, OREGON 97209 elisa.larson@nwnatural.com ALEX MILLER
NORTHWEST NATURAL
220 NW SECOND AVENUE
PORTLAND, OREGON 97209-3991
alex.miller@nwnatural.com

BENJAMIN WALTERS bwalters@ci.portland.or.us

RICHARD GRAY richard.gray@pdxtrans.org

DAVID TOOZE SENIOR ENERGY SPECIALIST 721 NW 9TH AVENUE, SUITE 350 PORTLAND, OREGON 97209-3447 dtooze@ci.portland.or.us

SCOTT H. DEBROFF RIVER CHASE OFFICE CENTER 4431 NORTH FRONT STREET HARRISBURG, PA 17110 sdebroff@sasllp.com

WILLIAM H. CHEN
CONSTELLATION NEWENERGY INC.
2175 N. CALIFORNIA BLVD.
SUITE 300
WALNUT CREEK, CA 94596
bill.chen@constellation.com

DANIEL W. MEEK DANIEL W. MEEK ATTORNEY AT LAW 10949 SW 4th AVENUE PORTLAND, OR 97219 dan@meek.net

THEODORE E ROBERTS SEMPRA GLOBAL 101 ASH ST HQ 13D SAN DIEGO CA 92101-3017 troberts@sempra.com LINDA WRAZEN SEMPRA GLOBAL 101 ASH ST, HQ8C SAN DIEGO CA 92101-3017 lwrazen@sempraglobal.com

KATHERINE A MCDOWELL MCDOWELL & ASSOCIATES 520 SW 6TH AVENUE SUITE 830 PORTLAND, OREGON 97204 katherine@mcd-law.com

JIM DEASON
521 SW CLAY STREET
SUITE 107
PORTLAND, OREGON 97201-5407
jimdeason@comcast.net

ROBERT VALDEZ PO BOX 2148 SALEM, OR 97308-2148 bob.valdez@state.or.us

LINDA K. WILLIAMS KAFOURY AND MCDOUGAL 10266 SW LANCASTER ROAD PORTLAND, OR 97219-6305 Linda@lindawilliams.net

ANDREA FOGUE
SENIOR STAFF ASSOCIATE
LEAGUE OF OREGON CITIES
PO BOX 928
1201 COURT STREET NE, SUITE 200
SALEM, OR 97308
afogue@orcities.org

LON L. PETERS NORTHWEST ECONOMIC RESEARCH 607 SE MANCHESTER PLACE PORTLAND, OR 97202 lpeters@pacifier.com HARVARD P. SPIGAL
PRESTON GATES AND ELLIS
222 SW COLUMBIA STREET
SUITE 1400
PORTLAND, OREGON 97201-6632
hspigal@prestongates.com

DAVID R. RIS, SR. ASST CITY ATTORNEY CITY OF GRESHAM 1333 NW EASTMAN PARKWAY GRESHAM, OR 97030 david.ris@ci.gresham.or.us

JOHN HARRIS TRANSPORTATION OPERATIONS CITY OF GRESHAM 1333 NW EASTMAN PARKWAY GRESHAM, OR 97030 john.harris@ci.gresham.or.us