

900 S.W. Fifth Avenue, Suite 2600 Portland, Oregon 97204 main 503.224.3380 fax 503.220.2480 www.stoel.com

October 17, 2005

KATHERINE A. McDowell Direct (503) 294-9602 kamcdowell@stoel.com

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

PUC Filing Center Public Utility Commission of Oregon PO Box 2148 Salem, OR 97308-2148

Re: Revised Motion of MEHC and PacifiCorp to Amend Protective Order Docket UM 1209

Enclosed for filing please find the Revised Motion of MEHC and PacifiCorp to Amend Protective Order in the above-referenced docket. A copy of this filing has been served on all parties to this proceeding as indicated on the attached certificate of service.

Very truly yours,

Katherine X. McDowell

KAM:knp Enclosure

cc: Service List

1 BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF OREGON 2 **UM 1209** 3 4 In the Matter of the Application of REVISED MOTION OF MEHC AND PACIFICORP TO AMEND MIDAMERICAN ENERGY HOLDINGS 5 COMPANY for an Order Authorizing PROTECTIVE ORDER MEHC to Exercise Substantial Influence 6 Over the Policies and Actions to PacifiCorp 7 Pursuant to ORS 756.568 and OAR 860-013-0031, MidAmerican Energy Holdings 8 Company ("MEHC") and PacifiCorp (collectively, "Movants") respectfully move the 10 Commission to amend the Protective Order issued in this case under Order No. 05-867, in the 11 manner described below, to allow for a "safe room" procedure for inspection of highly 12 confidential discovery information. Movants make this proposal to permit discovery to 13 proceed in this case without causing delay or unreasonable hardship on parties in the 14 discovery process. 15 Movants filed the original version of this Motion last week and received constructive 16 feedback on it from a number of parties. As a result, Movants have revised their Motion to, among other things, define the term "highly confidential" documents, remove the proposed treatment of "extremely confidential" documents from the scope of this motion, explain the flexible approach Movants intend to apply in conducting the case-by-case review of requests 20 by parties for copies of "highly confidential" information, and provide a "best efforts" notice 21 period for parties intending to use a highly confidential document as an exhibit in the case. As good cause for this Revised Motion, Movants state: 22 1. On July 27, 2005, the Commission issued Order No. 05-867 (the "Order"), 23 24 providing a Protective Order to govern the disclosure of confidential information in this case. 25 In the Order, the Commission states that the Protective Order "permits the broadest possible

Page 1 - REVISED MOTION OF MEHC AND PACIFICORP TO AMEND PROTECTIVE ORDER

26 discovery consistent with the need to protect confidential information." Order at 1. The

- 1 Protective Order also recognizes in paragraph 16, Additional Protection, that there may be
- 2 circumstances justifying levels of protection greater than those provided by the Protective
- 3 Order. As reflected by the requirements of a motion seeking additional protection (e.g.,
- 4 identification of the parties and persons involved, and the exact nature of the information
- 5 involved), a motion for additional protection is typically filed regarding one, or a limited
- 6 number of, data requests that have been served on the moving party.
- 7 2. This case is filed under ORS 757.511, the same statute under which applicants
- 8 in other cases regarding Portland General Electric Company ("PGE") have recently sought
- 9 approval of transfers in control. Events in parallel PGE cases have highlighted the need for
- 10 alternative means of discovery with regard to highly confidential documents in this ORS
- 11 757.511 case. Specifically, confidential documents that applicants produced under the
- 12 protective order in UM 1121 were provided to the Willamette Week, which published
- 13 information from those documents on January 5, 2005 (the "Tahoe documents"). In its
- 14 March 10, 2005 Order in UM 1121, the Commission stated that it was disturbed and
- 15 displeased with the unlawful violation of the protective order in that case, and that it intended
- 16 to investigate the matter. Order No. 05-114 at 10. Movants understand that an Attorney
- 17 General's investigation of the violation of the protective order in UM 1121 remains pending.
- The documents for which Movants seek an alternative means of discovery are
- 19 very limited and can generally be referred to as due diligence materials of MEHC and
- 20 ScottishPower, including business plans, financial projections, board minutes, fairness
- 21 opinion materials and tax information. The public disclosure of such due diligence materials
- 22 would create a risk of substantial harm to the party to whom the discovery is directed. The
- 23 highly confidential nature of these materials is underscored by the fact that, for the most part,
- 24 they are not available for inspection by the respective counterparties to the proposed
- 25 acquisition (i.e., the due diligence of MEHC is confidential as to PacifiCorp and
- 26 ScottishPower and the due diligence of ScottishPower is confidential as to MEHC).

Page 2 - REVISED MOTION OF MEHC AND PACIFICORP TO AMEND PROTECTIVE ORDER

1	4. The "safe room" discovery mechanism which Movants propose for these				
2	documents would allow for review of the documents in the offices of Movants' counsel, with				
3	the opportunity to take limited notes. Only Commission Staff and the Citizens' Utility				
4	Board, being parties with statutory rights of intervention, would be allowed to make copies of				
5	the protected documents which would be subject to special handling instructions designed to				
6	maintain the highest levels of confidentiality. Movants would address requests from other				
7	parties for limited copies to be used to develop testimony or exhibits to testimony on a case-				
8	by-case basis. Movants commit to respond to such requests with flexibility, working to get				
9	parties what they need while protecting Movants' interests in maintaining the confidentiality				
10	of their most sensitive business information.				
11	Movants are following similar procedures in other states where this transaction is				
12	2 pending, such as Idaho where the protective agreement allows for the following "safe room"				
13	approach for highly confidential documents:				
14	"Highly Confidential Documents. In the case of documents or information designated by a party as highly confidential, the				
15	providing party may decline to provide copies to counsel for other parties or to their employees, experts, agents or				
16	representatives. (The "highly confidential" designation is reserved for information the dissemination of which imposes a				
17	highly significant risk of competitive harm to the disclosing party with enhanced protections.) The providing party shall				
18	instead make such documents or information available for inspection and review by parties' representatives who have				
19	executed an Exhibit "A" to this Protective Agreement at a place and time mutually agreed upon by the parties. The				
20	individuals reviewing the highly confidential information may make limited notes regarding such information for reference				
21	purposes only. Such notes shall not constitute a verbatim or substantive transcript of the highly confidential information.				
22	For purposes hereof, notes made pertaining to or as the result of review of highly confidential information shall be				
23	considered Confidential Information and subject to the terms of this Protective Agreement." (In the Matter of the Joint				
24					
25	the right to allow no copyring at an, in such a situation, wovants will the a separate motion				
26	seeking additional protection for the specific documents under paragraph 16 of the Protective Order.				

REVISED MOTION OF MEHC AND PACIFICORP TO AMEND Page 3 PROTECTIVE ORDER

1	Application of MidAmerican and PacifiCorp, Case No. PAC-E-05-8, Idaho Protective Agreement).				
2					
3	5. Movants are very much aware of the need for adequate and appropriate				
4	discovery in this case, along with the need for discovery to continue without undue delay,				
5	and believe that the proposed method will accommodate Movants' need for protection of				
6	highly confidential materials and other parties' needs for discovery. Pending the				
7	investigation and resolution of the protective order violation in UM 1121, the Commission				
8	should take reasonable steps to prevent such an occurrence in this case.				
9	6. Specifically, Movants request that the Commission amend the Protective				
10	Order in this case by adding the following paragraph:				
11	<u>Inspection of highly sensitive documents and information.</u> As to highly sensitive documents and information, the parties shall have the right, at their option, to refuse				
12					
13					
14	transactions, especially documents that remain confidential as between transaction counterparties; and Board minutes that reference highly confidential material. In such				
15	case, and as an alternative to seeking additional protection pursuant to paragraph 16, such documents shall be made available for inspection and review by qualified				
16	persons at a time and place mutually agreed upon by the parties; provided that for documents to be made available by MEHC or PacifiCorp to parties in the Portland				
17	area, the documents shall be available for review in the offices of their counsel, Stoel Rives LLP at 900 SW Fifth Ave., Portland, Oregon. Qualified persons reviewing				
18	such documents on behalf of the Commission Staff and Citizens' Utility Board will be allowed to make copies of the protected documents, subject to special handling				
19	instructions designed to maintain the highest level of confidentiality for the documents. Requests by other parties for copies to be used to develop testimony or as				
20	exhibits to testimony will be addressed on a case-by-case basis. Parties who have copies of highly sensitive documents shall use best efforts to give MEHC and				
21	PacifiCorp 24 hours advance notice of their intent to use such a document as an exhibit in the case, so that MEHC and PacifiCorp can take reasonable steps to protect				
22	against disclosure of such documents. Where copies are not provided, the qualified person reviewing the Confidential Information may make limited notes regarding the				
23	Confidential Information for reference purposes only. Such notes shall not constitute a verbatim or substantive transcript of the Confidential Information. For purposes				
24	hereof, notes made pertaining to or as the result of a review of Confidential Information shall be considered Confidential Information and subject to the terms of				
25	this Protective Order. Disputes between the parties regarding the proper designation of highly sensitive information documents shall be resolved pursuant to Commission				
26	order pursuant to paragraph 15 of this Protective Order.				

REVISED MOTION OF MEHC AND PACIFICORP TO AMEND Page 4 PROTECTIVE ORDER

Page 5 - REVISED MOTION OF MEHC AND PACIFICORP TO AMEND PROTECTIVE ORDER

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE					
2	I hereby certify that I served the foregoing document in docket UM 1209 on the					
3	following named person(s) on the date indicated below by					
4	mailing with postage prepaid					
5		□ hand delivery				
6		☐ facsimile transmission				
7	×	▼ Electronic mail				
8	to said person(s) a true copy thereof, contained in a sealed envelope, addressed to said					
9	person(s) at his or her last-known address(es) indicated below.					
10		Energy Coalition	Rates & Regulatory Affairs			
11		First Street, Suite 100 tle, WA 98104	Portland General Electric 121 SW Salmon Street, 1WTC0702			
12		,	Portland, OR 97204 pge.opuc.filings@pgn.com			
13	A 1-	C. A				
14		m S. Arms <u>ns@mbjlaw.com</u>	Utility Workers Union of America PO Box 37			
15			San Clemente, CA 92674-0037 uwua@redhabanero.com			
16		Abrahamson	Douglas L. Anderson			
17	4035 12 th Street Cutoff SE, Suite 110		MidAmerican Energy Holdings Co 302 S 36 Street, Suite 400			
18			Omaha, NE 68131 gerickson@midamerican.com			
19	•					
20	Klan	ard Bartell nath Off-Project Water Users, Inc.	Curtis G. Berkey Alexander Berkey Williams			
21	Sprague River, OR 97639		& Weathers 2000 Center Street, Suite 308			
22			Berkey, CA 94704 cberkey@abwwlaw.com			
23	3.5	1 D 11				
24	_	gie Brilz o Power Company	Lowrey R. Brown Citizens' Utility Board of Oregon			
25		Box 70 e, ID 83707-0070	610 SW Broadway, Suite 308 Portland, OR 97205			
26		lz@idahopower.com	lowrey@oregoncub.org			

Page 1 - CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE (UM 1209)

Page 2 - CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE (UM 1209)

Page 3 - CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE (UM 1209)

Page 4 - CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE (UM 1209)

	1 2 3 4	Linda K. Williams Kafoury & McDougal 10266 SW Lancaster Road Portland, OR 97219-6305 linda@lindawilliams.net	Michael W. Orcutt Hoopa Valley Tribe Fisheries Dept PO Box 417 Hoopa, CA 95546 director@pcweb.net
	5 6	Peter J. Richardson Richardson & O'Leary PO Box 7218	Paul Woodin Western Wind Power 282 Largent Lane
	7	Boise, ID 83707 peter@richardsonandoleary.com	Goldendale, WA 98620-3619 pwoodin@gorge.net
	8	DATED: October 17, 2005.	
46	9		
2 9720 480	10		
nd, Ol 220-2	11		Katherine A. McDowell
VES LLP 600, Portland, OR 9 <i>Fax</i> (503) 220-2480	12		Of Attorneys for MEHC and PacifiCorp
1VE: 2600, Fax	13		
STOEL RIVES LLP vvenue, Suite 2600, Portls () 224-3380 Fax (503)	14		
STOEL RIVES LLP 900 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 2600, Portland, OR 97204 Main (503) 224-3380 Fax (503) 220-2480	15		
Fifth 1 in (50.	16		
00 SW Ma	17		
6	18		
	19		
	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		

Page 5 - CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE (UM 1209)