

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

OF OREGON

ARB 616

In the Matter of)
)
QWEST COMMUNICATIONS) STAFF COMMENTS
CORPORATION and QWEST)
CORPORATION,)
)
Interconnection Agreement Submitted for)
Commission Approval Pursuant to Section)
252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.)

RECOMMENDATION: APPROVE AGREEMENT

On August 2, 2004, Qwest Communications Corporation and Qwest Corporation (Qwest) filed an interconnection agreement with the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Commission). The parties seek approval of this agreement under Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. The Commission provided notice by posting an electronic copy of the agreement on the World Wide Web, at: <http://www.puc.state.or.us/caragmnt/>. The Commission Staff (Staff) offers these comments.

Under the Act, the Commission must approve or reject an agreement reached through voluntary negotiation within 90 days of filing. The Commission may reject an agreement only if it finds that:

- (1) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
- (2) the implementation of such agreement or portion thereof is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

Staff notes that an interconnection agreement or amendment thereto has no effect or force until approved by a state Commission. *See* 47 U.S.C. Sections 252 (a) and (e). Accordingly, Staff points out that the effective date of this filing will be the date the Commission signs an order approving it, and that any provision stating that the parties' agreement is effective prior to that date is not enforceable.

The cover letter states the agreement is a Statement of Generally Accepted Terms (SGAT.) However, the actual agreement includes specifically negotiated terms along with terms

of the current SGAT on file with the Commission. The negotiated terms are located in Section 7.3.4 and 7.3.6.

Staff recommends approval of the agreement. Staff concludes that the agreement itself does not appear to discriminate against telecommunications carriers who are not parties to the agreement and does not appear to be inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

Dated at Salem, Oregon this 23rd day of August, 2004.

Celeste Hari
Telecommunications Analyst