

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

**OF OREGON**

ARB 572(1)

In the Matter of )  
 )  
COLTON TELEPHONE COMPANY and ) STAFF COMMENTS  
SPRINT SPECTRUM L.P. and NEXTEL )  
OPERATIONS, INC., )  
 )  
Amendment to Interconnection Agreement )  
Submitted for Commission Approval Pursuant )  
to Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications )  
Act of 1996. )

**RECOMMENDATION: APPROVE AMENDMENT**

On February 6, 2005, Colton Telephone Company and Sprint Spectrum and Nextel Operations, Inc., filed a first amendment to the interconnection agreement previously approved with the Public Utility Commission of Oregon (Commission). The parties seek approval of this agreement under Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. The Commission provided notice by posting an electronic copy of the agreement on the World Wide Web, at: <http://www.puc.state.or.us/caragmnt/>. The Commission Staff (Staff) offers these comments.

Under the Act, the Commission must approve or reject an agreement reached through voluntary negotiation within 90 days of filing. The Commission may reject an agreement only if it finds that:

- (1) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
- (2) the implementation of such agreement or portion thereof is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

The amendment states an effective date of December 1, 2005. Staff notes that an interconnection agreement or amendment thereto has no effect or force until approved by a state Commission. *See* 47 U.S.C. Sections 252 (a) and (e). Accordingly, Staff points out that the effective date of this filing is the date the Commission signs an order approving it, and that any provision stating that the parties' agreement is effective prior to that date is not enforceable.

Staff recommends approval of the agreement. Staff concludes that the agreement itself does not appear to discriminate against telecommunications carriers who are not parties to the agreement and does not appear to be inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

Dated at Salem, Oregon this 28<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2006.

---

**Celeste Hari**  
Telecommunications Analyst  
Competitive Issues  
Telecommunications Division