1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
2	OF OREGON
3	UM 1121
4	
5	In the Matter of
6	OREGON ELECTRIC UTILITY COMPANY, LLC,
7	et al.,
8	Application for Authorization to Acquire Portland General Electric Company
9	
10	
11	
12	SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY
13	OF
14	KELVIN L. DAVIS
15	ON BEHALF OF APPLICANTS
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	May 27, 2004
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

ATER WYNNE LLP 222 SW COLUMBIA, SUITE 1800 PORTLAND, OR 97201-6618 (503) 226-1191

I. INTRODUCTION

- Q. Please state your name.
- A. My name is Kelvin L. Davis. I previously offered direct testimony in this proceeding.
- Q. What is the purpose of this testimony?
- A. This testimony supplements my earlier testimony. Over the last three months the Oregon Electric¹ team has had the opportunity to hear from stakeholders about their questions and concerns. In addition, we have had greater access to Portland General Electric Company ("PGE"), which has aided our understanding of the issues facing the company and its customers. In light of what we have learned, Oregon Electric believes it would be constructive to supplement the record to respond to some of those questions and concerns. I also will address certain commitments Oregon Electric is willing to make, and certain conditions Oregon Electric is willing to accept as part of this proceeding.
- Q. Please summarize your supplemental testimony.
- A. I will provide more specific information regarding:
 - Oregon Electric's plan for the business and operation of PGE;
 - Commitments Oregon Electric is willing to make;
 - · Conditions Oregon Electric is willing to accept; and
 - The net benefit of Oregon Electric's proposed acquisition, including a discussion of the appropriate basis for determining "net benefit."

2425

23

¹ Throughout this testimony, I will use "Oregon Electric" to refer to Oregon Electric Utility Company, LLC or to all Applicants, as the context may imply.

II. **BUSINESS AND OPERATIONS** 1 Q. 2 What is Oregon Electric's plan with respect to PGE's business? Oregon Electric intends to run PGE as the vertically-integrated utility it is today. As I A. 3 4 said in my prior testimony, Oregon Electric will return PGE to its core focus of providing safe, reliable and efficient electric service to its customers. 5 6 Q. Does Oregon Electric plan to sell any of PGE's assets or add any new businesses to PGE? 7 8 A. No. There is no plan to sell off any part of the business. Nor is there a plan to integrate 9 any other business with PGE's business. Q. Is Oregon Electric willing to support capital investment in PGE's business? 10 Yes. Oregon Electric is committed to investing in PGE's business by maintaining and 11 A. enhancing existing assets, as well as by investing in new assets. For example, Oregon 12 13 Electric is prepared to support capital investment required to construct a new generation facility if the Commission acknowledges PGE's Integrated Resource Plan ("IRP") and 14 PGE decides to construct the Port Westward generation facility. We also support 15 16 PGE's ongoing capital expenditure program for transmission and distribution improvements. 17 Q. What is Oregon Electric's plan with respect to the operation of PGE? 18 The PGE management team has been laboring for some time under the distractions and 19 A. burdens of Enron ownership. Despite this, the management team has led the company 20 21 to a number of accomplishments, particularly in the areas of customer service and reliability. These achievements are the result of good management, highly skilled and 22 dedicated employees, and sound regulatory oversight. The first job of the new PGE 23

24

25

Board will be to take a fresh look at the company and its operations. This fresh look

will, of course, be informed by the way management has been conducting its business.

A.

1213

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

2122

A.

23

24

25

The review is a prudent first step that any new owner would undertake. We want to help make a good company an even better company.

Broadly stated, Oregon Electric's plan is to assist PGE in continuing to improve the operation of the company for the benefit of customers and shareholders through a combination of long-, medium-, and near-term measures. To do so, the PGE Board appointed by Oregon Electric will (a) evaluate and assess the company's current strategic plan and, if appropriate, adopt a revised plan in order to most effectively address the critical issues facing the company, and (b) initiate and oversee the assessment and implementation of performance improvements.

Q. How will Oregon Electric accomplish this plan?

The first step will be to engage in a systematic and comprehensive review of the company. This review will be used to inform the new PGE Board about PGE's current strategic plan, the critical issues facing the company, and how PGE is currently performing and projected to perform, financially and operationally. The results of the review will allow the Board to assess and consider changes to PGE's current strategic plan, to assess PGE's current organizational structure, and to identify areas where PGE may be able to further improve efficiency and productivity. Action plans will be developed to ensure that improvements are cost-effective and implemented in a timely manner.

Q. Has Oregon Electric established a framework for the review process?

Yes. We are developing an Initial Review Process Plan for PGE ("Review Process Plan") that will set out the framework for an evaluation of PGE's strategic plan, financial plan, and operating performance. The Review Process Plan will also address effective communication of the company's plan and accompanying goals to employees, customers, and other stakeholders. Finally, the Review Process Plan will include

measures to ensure implementation and monitoring of any commitments made by Oregon Electric in connection with the Commission's approval of this acquisition. The Review Process Plan will be implemented upon closing. A draft copy of the Review Process Plan is attached as Appendix A.

Q. What will be the steps in the Review Process Plan?

With respect to the strategic plan, a committee of the Board of Directors will work with the "transition team" discussed below to (a) review and analyze the company's current strategic plan, (b) identify, assess, and prioritize issues confronting the company, (c) integrate results of the financial and operating review discussed below, and (d) formulate and propose to the Board a revised strategic plan, as appropriate. The Board will consider the proposal and adopt a final strategic plan.

With respect to the financial and operating aspects of the review, the following steps will be taken:

- Form a transition team including management designees and select Board members;
- 2. Collect data and other information relevant to a comprehensive assessment of utility performance from sources internal and external to PGE;
- Analyze data and other information, including organizational, financial, and operational performance metrics and comparisons with relevant benchmarks;
- 4. Identify prospective opportunities for efficiency and productivity gains (including potential cost savings and service quality enhancements);
- 5. Identify and assess benefits and risks of prospective opportunities, including service quality, reliability and capital implications;

- 6. Formulate an action plan incorporating specific action items and timeframes to achieve efficiency and productivity gains (including potential cost savings and service quality enhancements), and integrate into strategic plan; and
- 7. Submit action plan to Board of Directors for approval.
- Q. Can you give us more detail on what types of matters Oregon Electric expects this review to address?
- A. Yes. Long-term resource planning will be a key focus of the new PGE Board because resource decisions have such a significant impact on a utility's customers and owners. Oregon Electric supports the Action Plan PGE has developed through its 2002 IRP. Working from that base, the new PGE Board will guide PGE in its next resource planning process to identify, in collaboration with stakeholders, resource actions to meet its customers' evolving needs. We will also include an assessment of PGE's stance on regional transmission and BPA issues, in conjunction with resource planning. In considering revisions to the company's strategic plan, the new PGE Board also will consider the following:
 - Further enhancement of PGE's culture to:
 - heighten awareness of customer concerns and needs;
 - heighten awareness of opportunities that aid sustainability, greater use of renewable generation resources, energy efficiency, and environmental sensitivity;
 - o further emphasize the need to increase efficiency and productivity while ensuring service quality and reliability standards are met.

- 1	
1	Regulatory direction:
2	o consider emerging standards and trends;
3	o explore ways to further enhance effectiveness of PGE's relationships
4	with regulators and constituents.
5	With respect to the financial and operational review, at a more detailed level the review
6	will include:
7	Financial performance assessment:
8	o evaluate budgeting practices:
9	annual budget process and methodology;
10	monitoring tools and procedures.
11	o evaluate risk management practices:
12	current program, processes, and reporting;
13.	delegation of authority practices, procedures for actions, and
14	communications when trading limits are approached;
15	asset optimization strategy.
16	Operational performance assessment:
17	o cost and service level assessment across operations and corporate
18	services functions;
19	o practices and processes assessments of PGE versus other utilities.
20	Performance improvement:
21	o combine quantitative and best practices criteria, along with examination
22	of implications for service quality, reliability and capital, to develop
23	compelling business cases for process and practice improvements;
24	o use of proven process improvement methodologies;
25	o training and skills development to enhance cultural change.
11	

A.

- Performance monitoring and reporting systems:
 - o executive information systems and development of key performance indicators;
 - o scorecards for ongoing performance tracking;
 - o link assets, processes, and management teams;
 - o focus on accountabilities and commitments.

III. BENEFITS OF THE TRANSACTION

- Q. Is Oregon Electric able to provide more detail regarding the benefits of the Proposed Transaction?
 - Yes. As a result of our discussions with various parties, the public meetings, and the workshop in this proceeding, Oregon Electric is able to more particularly set out certain commitments that will augment the benefits of the Proposed Transaction articulated in our initial filing. Specifically, I will address (a) providing customers with an opportunity for a rate credit, (b) adoption of service quality measures, (c) periodic access to the PGE Board for certain advocacy groups, (d) use of renewable resources for power supply, and (e) assistance to low income customers. I will also discuss the benefit of local representation and leadership.

Oregon Electric acknowledges that there is more work to do before we can define the exact parameters of some of the commitments. Accordingly, we pledge to continue to work with Staff and the other parties in this proceeding to further define these commitments.

5

6

7

8

9

.10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

RATE CREDIT

- Q. Is Oregon Electric willing to offer as a benefit a structure that could result in a rate credit for customers?
 - A. Yes. Oregon Electric is willing to formulate a structure under which PGE would share with customers some portion of the profits from the regulated business in excess of an actual return on equity of 10.5%. Oregon Electric is willing to continue this commitment for five years. The methodology is to be agreed upon in the course of this proceeding.²
 - Q. Explain how this would work.
 - A. Basically, for each annual period, PGE would determine its actual return on equity from the regulated business based on an agreed-upon methodology. If that return on equity exceeds 10.5%, the customers would receive a portion of the profits in excess of 10.5% through a rate credit.
 - Q. Why is this a benefit to customers?
 - A. Ordinarily, a utility is not required to share with customers any portion of its earnings from that year in excess of its actual return on equity. The profits in excess of the return on equity accrue solely to the benefit of shareholders until the next general rate case. Of course, in years when the company underearns, shareholders bear that burden. Our proposal is to share with PGE's customers a portion of incremental earnings from the regulated business above an actual return on equity of 10.5%. The benefit of this proposal is a structure that provides an opportunity for customers to realize a rate credit.
 - Q. Why aren't you simply offering a fixed rate credit?
 - A. In prior proposed mergers involving PGE, the proposed buyers were other energy companies, which meant there would be merger "synergies" resulting in cost savings

² Among the details of this methodology will be the need to accommodate the asymmetric impact of hydro variability.

8

9

10

.14

16

13

17 18

20

19

2122

23

2425

and benefits to the applicants. These synergies formed the basis for settlements that featured fixed rate credits. By comparison, this is an acquisition by a non-energy related company with no other business. It is not a merger. Oregon Electric has no other holdings and there will be no synergies available to share with customers.

On the other hand, Oregon Electric committed to investing in PGE because it believes that PGE's earnings will grow as the Oregon economy improves, as certain and stable ownership returns to the company, and as the new ownership and reinvigorated Board leadership assist the company in becoming more efficient, productive and effective. If our expectations prove correct, Oregon Electric is willing to have customers share in earnings improvement from the regulated business in excess of an actual 10.5% return on equity.

CUSTOMER SERVICE

- Q. Will Oregon Electric commit to a 10-year extension of the service quality measures agreed to by Enron in UM 814, as modified?
- A. Yes. We understand that these service quality measures have benefited customers, and that Staff and various parties would like to have them continued. Oregon Electric supports Commission oversight and enforcement of measures designed to ensure that PGE's customers receive high-quality service. Section IV of this testimony sets out this commitment. Oregon Electric is willing to commit that PGE will adopt these service quality measures and extend them for a period of 10 years.

CUSTOMER CONCERNS

- Q. Is Oregon Electric willing to make a commitment regarding access to the PGE Board for advocacy groups?
- A. We understand the current PGE team is in frequent contact with the various customer advocacy groups. Oregon Electric believes that giving these groups access to the PGE

Board of Directors would enhance the company's ability to consider customer views, particularly with regard to strategic issues, such as resource planning, and other significant issues. Accordingly, Oregon Electric is willing to commit that PGE will provide periodic access to the PGE Board for the appropriate advocacy groups.

5 ||

Q.

RENEWABLES

6

What is Oregon Electric's position on PGE entering into a major long-term wind power purchase arrangement to meet a portion of its resource requirements under the proposed IRP?

8

A. Oregon Electric supports PGE's acquisition of long-term wind power as part of its execution of PGE's IRP Action Plan, consistent with PGE's obligation to acquire power at competitive prices. Oregon Electric has communicated this view to PGE.

11

12

10

Q. Is Oregon Electric willing to commit to a unified approach by PGE to dealing with advocacy groups concerned with renewable resources, sustainability, energy efficiency, and environmental matters?

13 14

15

16

17

18

A. Yes. These groups have expressed the view that a more coordinated approach within PGE to ensure follow-through on issues of concern to the various advocacy groups for renewable resources, sustainability, energy efficiency, and environmental matters would be beneficial to them, the company, and customers. We agree. Accordingly, Oregon Electric is willing to commit that PGE will appoint a manager with appropriate authority and responsibility to work with these advocacy groups.

19

20

21

Q. Is Oregon Electric willing to make any other commitments regarding renewable resources, sustainability, energy efficiency, and environmental matters?

22

23

24

A. Yes. We also understand it would be helpful, on matters of renewable resources, sustainability, energy efficiency, and environmental matters, for certain advocacy groups to have some degree of access to the PGE Board of Directors. Board access

25

7

: 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A.

would provide an opportunity for these groups to convey their views on long-term strategies, as well as to address specific concerns that may not have been adequately addressed by management. We agree. Accordingly, Oregon Electric is willing to commit that PGE will provide periodic access to the PGE Board for these advocacy groups.

Q. Anything else?

Yes. We understand that advocates for use of renewable sources of energy have set a goal to substantially increase the renewable sources of generation in the Northwest and Oregon by 2012. Oregon Electric believes portfolio diversity (as well as a percentage reduction of reliance on fossil fuel generation resources) benefits customers and the public if renewable energy is economically competitive, taking all relevant circumstances into consideration. Oregon Electric is willing to commit to have PGE vigorously pursue a target of 10% of 1:2 peak capacity for load, whether contracted or owned, from renewable resources by 2012, provided it can be accomplished economically.

Oregon Electric understands there are obstacles to reaching this goal which require a variety of creative solutions. Accordingly, in conjunction with this commitment, Oregon Electric is willing to commit that PGE will actively work with stakeholders toward resolving the transmission, system integration, and other issues posed by wind and other renewable generation.

LOW INCOME ASSISTANCE

- Q. Does Oregon Electric have a more specific proposal regarding low income customer assistance?
- A. Yes. PGE currently donates approximately \$100,000 a year in cash and in-kind contributions to Oregon HEAT—an agency dedicated to helping low income utility

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

customers. Oregon Electric is willing to commit to have PGE double its annual cash donation for 10 years. This will be paid from shareholder, not customer, funds.

We also have been informed by advocates for the low income customers that there is not enough money available for emergency bill-paying assistance, despite the \$10 million collected each year by PGE from customers through PGE's Oregon Energy Assistance Program. Additionally, aside from weatherization assistance provided under the SB 1149 public purpose charge, there are no programs designed to provide assistance for those customers whose life circumstances are such that they are chronically in need of help with their utility bills. I understand that under SB 1149, PGE and the Commission can consider various options that would provide some relief to those customers who are chronically in need. Oregon Electric proposes to have PGE lead a work group to explore with stakeholders the options for helping those in chronic need and the impacts of the various alternatives.

LOCAL REPRESENTATION AND LEADERSHIP

- Q. Please describe in more detail the benefits associated with local representation and leadership on the PGE board.
- A. The local representation and leadership promised by the Proposed Transaction will be a significant benefit to PGE's customers and the public interest. Oregon Electric has committed that the PGE Board will have at least five Oregonians as members at all times. Included in this commitment is the assurance that the Chair will be an Oregonian. This commitment is designed to ensure sensitivity and accountability to local concerns at the PGE Board level.

First, the Oregonians on the Board will have a first-hand understanding of the unique position PGE has in this community and the issues facing PGE's industrial, commercial, and residential customers.

7

4

9

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

2122

Α.

23

24

25

Second, the Oregonians on the Board will be accountable to their neighbors and business partners for the actions of PGE. This will be an informal accountability, but there can be little doubt it will cause them to consider local concerns.

Third, the Oregonians on the Board will be accessible. As members of the community, their presence will give customers and the public an opportunity to express views and concerns. This accessibility will be informal, but it will be important to Oregonians nonetheless.

Fourth, the local influence on the Board will be greatly enhanced through the role of the Chair. In addition to presiding over formal Board proceedings, a Chair is implicitly responsible for ensuring that all views are considered and for guiding the Board toward effective action. By committing that the Chair be an Oregonian, Oregon Electric is further enhancing the Board's consideration of local concerns.

Q. Who else will sit on the Board?

A. In addition to the representatives of TPG Applicants and the Oregonians (including PGE's CEO Peggy Fowler), Oregon Electric will appoint additional independent directors who are national business leaders with energy industry and other relevant areas of expertise. These appointees will bring their individual strengths and perspectives to the Board. Oregon Electric believes that this diverse and experienced Board of Directors will provide PGE with the critical direction and focus that it needs to thrive.

Q. What will be the role of the PGE Board?

The PGE Board will be vested with the full right and discretion to oversee and direct the affairs of PGE. The Board will function in every respect as a board would normally function: it will meet regularly; receive, review and consider management reports and recommendations; establish Board committees to oversee matters such as accounting

A.

practices; offer guidance and direction to management on important issues; formulate strategic plans; address long-term resource planning; and cultivate a corporate culture sensitive to customer concerns (such as rates, regional economic growth, and environmentally friendly practices and plans).

Q. Please explain how the consent rights held by the TPG Applicants will impact the Board's functions.

The PGE Board is the only body that can take affirmative action in governing PGE, with or without the consent rights. The consent rights simply require that if certain actions are approved by the Board, TPG Applicants must consent before the action may be taken. To be clear, the consent rights will not give TPG Applicants the ability to unilaterally initiate any actions with respect to PGE.³ For example, TPG Applicants will have no authority to require a rate increase or initiate a rate case, or to cause PGE to sign any contracts or make specific investments in resources. Under all circumstances, the PGE Board will remain the only PGE governing body that may pass and implement resolutions.

In the event that the Board makes a decision that requires the consent of TPG Applicants, the decision would be submitted to the Board of Oregon Electric and then to TPG Applicants. If it is a decision on which the appointees of TPG Applicants voted in favor, it would be a mere formality to obtain TPG Applicants' consent. If the appointees of TPG Applicants did not vote in favor of the decision, TPG Applicants would have to consider whether to consent or to withhold consent. I would expect that TPG Applicants would give serious consideration to the matter, and I would be one of the individuals participating in that discussion. That said, if the consent is not given, the Board resolution would not be implemented. It is important to remember, however, that

³ The TPG Applicants will have a standard majority shareholder right in a private or public company to sell its shares and thereby transfer control of the company, subject to Commission regulatory oversight.

7

5

8

9

10

11 12

A.

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

TPG Applicants could not force the Board to act in accordance with their wishes. Rather, either no action would be taken at that time on the matter at hand, or a compromise would be reached.

TPG Applicants understand that local representation and leadership will be a valuable element of PGE's future success. We intend to give the views and perspectives of all members of PGE's Board their fullest consideration in these situations. On balance, although Oregon Electric's commitment to ensure local representation and leadership on the PGE Board does not give the local members the unilateral ability to control the PGE Board, it does give them a powerful role in PGE's governance.

Q. Is Oregon Electric's commitment a typical commitment?

No. The members of the board of directors of privately owned companies are usually "insiders" employed by or otherwise connected to the owners. PGE's Board under Enron ownership, for example, is made up entirely of PGE management and Enron insiders all but one of whom are from out-of-state. Even at publicly held companies (where board membership is typically determined by nominations from a board governance committee and a shareholder vote), there is no assurance of local representation or leadership. By contrast, Oregon Electric is committed to maintaining a PGE Board of Directors that has a substantial independent contingent of directors, as well as a substantial contingent of Oregonian directors.

Q. Has Neil Goldschmidt withdrawn his participation in this proceeding?

A. Yes. Mr. Goldschmidt will not have any role at Managing Member LLC or at Oregon Electric, and will not serve on PGE's Board of Directors.

4

3

5 6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

A.

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- How will Mr. Goldschmidt's withdrawal affect Oregon Electric's plan for the Q. future of PGE?
- A. Oregon Electric is confident in the strength of its proposal. It does not expect that the Proposed Transaction, the Application, or PGE will be adversely affected by Mr. Goldschmidt's absence. Oregon Electric's commitment is to the future of PGE and its customers. We anticipate that the Board of Directors of PGE will change over time, as boards do. What will not change is the commitment that Oregon Electric is making to enhance the PGE Board and to provide strong local representation and local leadership over the period of its stewardship.
- Q. Has Oregon Electric chosen a new Chair for the PGE Board?
- A. No. Oregon Electric is working actively, but deliberately, to identify a new Chair for PGE's Board. Oregon Electric is confident that it will appoint a candidate with the talent, experience, and skills to successfully lead the Board of PGE.
- Q. How can stakeholders evaluate this commitment regarding Board composition without knowing who all of the directors are?
 - Oregon Electric's commitment to having at least five Oregonians (including an Oregon Chair) and a large contingent of highly qualified independent directors is what distinguishes its proposal. Individual Board directors will change from time to time, but these commitments will not. These commitments ensure balanced professional Board consideration of business issues and objectives with a high level of sensitivity and accountability to local concerns. With a fiduciary responsibility to manage money on behalf of the many investors in TPG Applicants' funds, and with the substantial personal investment being made by Gerald Grinstein and Tom Walsh in Managing Member, the Board of Oregon Electric has every incentive to select highly qualified individuals as Board members.

1	IV.	CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL FOR THE PROPOSED TRANSACTION
2	Q.	Will Oregon Electric agree to any conditions for approval by the Commission?
3	A.	Yes.
4	Q.	Which ones?
5	A.	First, Oregon Electric is willing to agree to three conditions that supplement the
6		Commission's statutes and rules with respect to access to information and review of
7	٠.	intercorporate transactions that result in direct charges or cost allocations:
8	·	1. The Commission or its agents may audit the accounts of Oregon Electric and any subsidiaries that are the bases for charges to PGE to
10		determine the reasonableness of allocation factors used by Oregon Electric to assign costs to PGE and amounts subject to allocation or direct charges. Oregon Electric agrees to cooperate fully with such Commission audits.
11		2. Oregon Electric and PGE shall provide the Commission access to all
12 13	•	books of account, as well as all documents, data and records of their affiliated interests, which pertain to transactions between PGE and all its affiliated interests.
14 15		3. PGE and Oregon Electric shall maintain separate books and records. All PGE financial books and records shall be kept in Portland, Oregon.
16 17		4. Oregon Electric shall not subsidize its activities by allocating to or directly charging PGE expenses not authorized by the Commission to be so allocated or directly charged.
18		Second, Oregon Electric is willing to agree to the condition that directly
19		prohibits PGE from bearing any of the acquisition premium or transaction costs:
20		5. Oregon Electric and PGE shall exclude all costs of the acquisition (transaction costs) from PGE's utility accounts. Within ninety days
21	•	following the completion of the transaction, Oregon Electric will provide a preliminary accounting of these costs. Oregon Electric
22		agrees to provide the Commission a final accounting of these costs within thirty days following the completion of the final accounting related to the transaction.
24		rotated to the transaction.
25	e.	

Third, Oregon Electric is willing to agree to conditions that serve to enhance the 1 Commission's ability to ensure Oregon Electric does not weaken PGE's financial 2 condition. 3 6. outstanding, preferred stock ratings. 5 7. 6 7 8 9 that it be adjusted. 10 8. 11 12 other regulatory purposes. 13 9. 14 15 16 17 18 information. 19 10. the Commission of: 20 21 22 23 24 25 such dividend.

PGE shall maintain separate debt and, if more than \$5 million is

- PGE shall not make any distribution to Oregon Electric that will reduce PGE's equity capital below 48 percent of the total PGE capital without Commission approval. PGE's total capital is defined as common equity, preferred equity, and long-term debt. Long-term debt is defined as debt with a duration of more than one year. The Commission Staff and PGE may re-examine this minimum common equity percentage as financial conditions change and may request
- The allowed return on common equity and other costs of capital will not rise as a direct result of the acquisition. These capital costs refer to the costs of capital used for purposes of rate setting, avoided cost calculations, affiliated interest transactions, least cost planning, and
- Oregon Electric and PGE shall provide the Commission unrestricted access to all written information provided to common stock, bond, or bond rating analysts, which directly or indirectly pertain to PGE. Such information includes, but is not limited to, reports provided and presentations made to common stock analysts and bond rating For purposes of this condition, "written" information includes, but is not limited to, any written and printed material, audio and video tapes, computer disks, and electronically-stored information. Nothing in this condition shall be deemed to be a waiver of Oregon Electric's or PGE's right to seek protection of the
- Unless such a disclosure is unlawful, Oregon Electric shall notify
 - Its intention to transfer more than 5 percent of PGE's retained earnings to Oregon Electric over a six month period, at least sixty days before such a transfer begins.
 - Its intention to declare a special cash dividend from PGE, at least thirty days before declaring each such dividend.
 - Its most recent quarterly common stock cash dividend payment from PGE within thirty days after declaring each

25

Fourth, Oregon Electric is willing to agree to a condition common to mergers and acquisitions:

11. Oregon Electric guarantees that the customers of PGE shall be held harmless if the acquisition of PGE directly results in a higher revenue requirement.

Fifth, Oregon Electric is willing to agree to a condition to ensure a continued PGE commitment to safety and reliability:

12. Subsequent to its purchase by Oregon Electric, PGE shall continue to perform under the Service Quality Measures (SQM) as set forth in "Stipulations for PGE Service Quality Measures UM 814/UM 967," dated March 15, 2000, for a period of ten years following approval.

Finally, Oregon Electric is willing to agree to a condition to facilitate the Commission's enforcement of Oregon Electric's and PGE's compliance with Oregon Electric's commitments and conditions. The exact terms of such a condition would be agreed to after all commitments and conditions have been identified consistent with the following:

- 13. If the Commission believes that Oregon Electric and/or PGE have violated any of the conditions set forth herein, any conditions contained in other stipulations signed by Oregon Electric and PGE, or any conditions imposed by the Commission in its final order approving the Application (collectively, the "Conditions"), then the Commission shall give Oregon Electric and PGE written notice of the violation.
 - a. If the violation is for failure to file any notice or report required by the Conditions, and if Oregon Electric and/or PGE provide the notice or report to the Commission within ten business days of the receipt of the written notice, then the Commission shall take no action. Oregon Electric or PGE may request, for cause, permission for extension of the ten-day period. For any other violation of the Conditions, the Commission must give Oregon Electric and PGE written notice of the violation. If such failure is corrected within five business days of the written notice, then the Commission shall take no action. Oregon Electric or PGE may request, for cause, permission for extension of the five-day period.

If Oregon Electric and/or PGE fail to file a notice or written report within the time permitted in subparagraph a. above, or if Oregon Electric and/or PGE fail to cure, within the time permitted above, a violation that does not relate to the filing of a notice or report, then the Commission may open an investigation to determine the number and seriousness of the violations. If the Commission determines that Oregon Electric and/or PGE violated one or more of the Conditions, then the Commission shall state the level of penalty it will seek under ORS 756.990 in an action filed in circuit court. If the Commission issues an order that recommends an ORS 756.990 penalty against Oregon Electric and/or PGE, then Oregon Electric and/or PGE may appeal such an order under ORS 756.580. If the Commission's order is upheld on appeal, then the Commission may file a complaint in circuit court seeking penalties under ORS 756.990, and Oregon Electric and/or PGE shall file a responsive pleading agreeing to pay the penalties. The Commission shall seek a penalty on only one of Oregon Electric or PGE for the same violation.

V. NET BENEFIT DETERMINATION

- Q. On what basis should "net benefit" be determined in this case?
- A. The Proposed Transaction should be evaluated on its own merits by determining the risks and benefits inherent in the proposal. If the benefits outweigh the risks and the public will not be harmed, our proposal should be approved.
- Q. Does the Proposed Transaction result in a net benefit when evaluated on its own merits?
- A. Yes. The Proposed Transaction would result in significant benefits to PGE's customers and to the public interest:
 - An immediate end to Enron's ownership of PGE, ensuring stability and strong shareholder support.
 - PGE's headquarters will stay in Portland, jobs will stay in Oregon and the Company will continue its charitable leadership in the community.
 - Strong local representation and leadership on PGE's Board of Directors, ensuring accountability to customers and sensitivity to community concerns.

- A first-class Board of Directors, ensuring that PGE management has the best advice on how to navigate the challenges ahead.
- Reinvigorated Board-level strategic direction, ensuring PGE's long-term health.
- Capital reinvestment in PGE, ensuring reliability and efficiency from existing assets and the acquisition and development of new resources.
- A commitment to reinforcing high-quality service while instilling financial discipline to ensure that customers receive safe, reliable and efficient electric service.
- Oregon Electric will be an Oregon taxpayer.
- Opportunity for customers to share some portion of profits from PGE's regulated business in excess of an actual return on equity of 10.5% (methodology to be agreed upon).
- 10-year extension of the commitment to service quality measures.
- Appointment of a manager within PGE with appropriate responsibility and authority to work with the advocacy groups for renewable energy sources, sustainability, energy efficiency, and environmental matters.
- Vigorous efforts to pursue a target of 10% of 1:2 peak capacity for load, whether contracted or owned, from renewable resources, by 2012, if economical.
- 10-year extension of PGE's cash and in-kind donations to Oregon HEAT and a doubling of the cash portion of the donation.
- Periodic access to PGE's Board of Directors for various customer and environmental advocacy groups.

9

7

11

1415

16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

25

 Commitment to work on additional programs for low income assistance through a work group led by PGE.

- Q. Even though you maintain that the Proposed Transaction should be evaluated on its own merits, is there any comparison that can be made to determine net benefit?
- A. If an explicit comparison between the Proposed Transaction and something else is deemed to be required, the status quo PGE's current circumstances under Enron ownership is the only alternative that has a sufficient factual basis for comparison. Comparison with the status quo is different from comparison with a hypothetical alternative because the details of the status quo are known, and the risks and benefits can readily be determined.

If the Commission were to perform a comparison with the *status quo*, it would start with an analysis of the risks and benefits of PGE's current situation as an asset in the Enron bankruptcy estate. The Commission would then identify any additional risks posed by the Proposed Transaction, over and above those to which PGE is currently subject. Likewise the Commission would identify the benefits offered by the Proposed Transaction that are not offered by the *status quo*. Should the Commission find that the benefits of the Proposed Transaction outweigh the risks compared to the *status quo*, the Commission should approve the Application.

Q. Does the Proposed Transaction result in a net benefit when compared with the status quo?

Yes. The position of PGE's customers and the public interest will be vastly improved by the Proposed Transaction versus continued Enron ownership. PGE will be freed from the distractions of Enron's ongoing bankruptcy proceedings. In addition, as described in our Application and in this testimony, Oregon Electric's proposal promises significant benefits that are not assured under Enron ownership.

Q.

A.

8

11

10

13

14

12

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

Is there any comparison that can be made between the Proposed Transaction and some future disposition of PGE in order to determine whether there is a net benefit?

No. First, in the event our proposal is not approved, there is no clear outcome for PGE's future. There are only infinite *possible* scenarios. Thus the identification of any particular alternative scenario would be guesswork. But even if a certain scenario could be considered to be likely, even if not certain, the risks and benefits of the alternative scenarios would be unknown and therefore comparison would have to be made against hypothetical risks and benefits. That is, one could only speculate as to the risks and benefits that would attach to any alternative disposition. Thus, the comparison of the Proposed Transaction to some alternative disposition of PGE would be of no value.

For example, a benefit Oregon Electric offers is local representation and leadership on the PGE Board of Directors. It would be impossible to compare this benefit to the make-up of PGE's Board under a distribution of PGE shares to Enron creditors (a "Distribution"), because it is not known what the make-up of that Board would be. Similarly, other benefits of this transaction include the sharing of profits from PGE's regulated business in excess of an actual return on equity of 10.5%, cash payment for low income bill paying assistance, and access to the PGE Board for certain advocacy groups. We simply do not know what a Distribution or any other alternative would yield in terms of comparable benefits.

Q. Is a comparison of the Proposed Transaction to a Distribution appropriate?

A. No. As I said above, I do not believe that it is appropriate for the Commission to attempt to compare our Proposed Transaction with a possible but not assured future state for PGE.

Q. Please explain.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I do agree that the initiation of a Distribution of PGE stock to the Enron creditors is a likely event should the Proposed Transaction not be approved. However, as explained by Enron witness Robert Bingham, the process for Distribution is a multi-stage and complex process, the end result of which is by no means certain.

Indeed, there will be substantial uncertainty at every stage of the process. First, if the Plan becomes effective, a Distribution would not occur until after 30% of the general unsecured claims have been allowed. Second, it is not clear when a Distribution would be completed. Enron's bankruptcy estate has objected to more than \$600 billion of the \$900 billion in claims; the estimation and litigation of disputed claims will likely It is not possible to reliably estimate how long final take a number of years. distributions would take in the most complex bankruptcy in U.S. history. However, it should be noted that it has not been uncommon for distributions in far less complex cases to take longer than a decade to complete. Third, for much of this long limbo period, Enron and its creditors would remain in control of PGE for part of the period, and thereafter the DCR Overseers (defined in Bingham Testimony) would exercise control. There is no way of knowing the identity of those who Enron would appoint as DCR Overseers, or of those who the DCR Overseers might appoint to the PGE Board. Finally, even though a Distribution might be commenced, its end result would be unknown. In particular, there would be no assurance that the end result would be a publicly held company. As explained in Mr. Bingham's testimony, either the Trustee (if the shares were in Trust) or the DCR Overseers (once 30% of the shares had been distributed) would have the authority to sell the shares in their control at any time.⁴

⁴ Any person or entity seeking to purchase a controlling interest in PGE or an interest granting it the ability to influence PGE would be required to seek Commission approval.

A.

Thus, any comparison between the Proposed Transaction and a Distribution would involve nothing more than a comparison between the known benefits and risks of our proposal and the unknown benefits and risks of a Distribution.

Please summarize your testimony on a net benefit comparison. Q.

Oregon Electric believes that the Proposed Transaction should be judged on its own merits. Given that all alternative dispositions for PGE in the absence of the Proposed Transaction are entirely uncertain, a fair or accurate comparison between the Proposed Transaction and any hypothetical alternative transaction is not possible. comparison is made, it should be made with the status quo, which is the only known comparator for PGE with identifiable risks and benefits. As I have discussed, the Proposed Transaction is clearly superior to continuation of the status quo.

VI. CONCLUSION

Oregon Electric appreciates being given the opportunity to supplement its original Application and testimony in order to respond to certain questions and concerns raised by stakeholders and to better define the benefits of the Proposed Transaction. I believe that the proposed acquisition provides substantial benefits to PGE's customers and serves the public interest. It therefore should be approved.

21

24

25

Initial Review Process Plan

Oregon Electric Utility Company Portland General Electric Areas of Focus

Business Review Process Plan



- Establish a clear view of PGE's current capabilities and potential opportunities for improvement, particularly with respect to resource optimization and planning
- Establish a plan to enhance
 PGE's approach to
 addressing customer and
 community needs and
 concerns
- Establish a clear understanding of PGE's regulatory affairs strategy and relations and potential opportunities for improvement



- Ensure that PGE has established appropriate financial plans and goals, which meet / exceed to customer service and reliability requirements while achieving budget forecasts
- Evaluate PGE's current
 Risk Management Program
 and processes for
 prudence and potential
 enhancements



- Conduct benchmarking of PGE's current operations with like utilities
- Review and refine a set of key performance indicators (KPIs) focused on customer service, reliability, targeted operating efficiencies, profitability, and stakeholder goals
- Establish a process improvement program to improve efficiency and increase customer effectiveness/responsiveness
- Review and refine PGE's management performance review program and compensation structures to ensure alignment with KPIs



- Ensure that every employee understands the vision, mission, values and strategy that PGE will pursue in order to be a more successful company
- Further enhance customer and key stakeholder relations and develop appropriate communications programs

Activities and Milestones (1 of 4)

Business Review Process Plan

Strategy

		Actions	
Objective	60 days	120 days	180 days
Establish a clear view of PGE's current capabilities and potential opportunities for improvement, particularly with respect to	 Perform initial review of current business unit strategies and results to date 	 Conduct in-depth strategic reviews with each business unit 	Produce revised strategic planning document identifying opportunities for improvement
short-, medium- and long-term resource optimization and planning	 Conduct in-depth review of short-, medium- and long- term resource plans, scenarios and options 		 Refine ongoing strategic planning process as appropriate
	 Evaluate the company's position on related transmission issues 		 Assign responsibility and accountability for specific strategic initiatives
Establish a plan to enhance PGE's approach to addressing customer and community needs and concerns	 Perform review of corporate culture and processes with respect to customer and community relations, current levels of satisfaction and communication plans 	ew of corporate culture and processes with respect and community relations, current levels of and communication plans	Integrate plan for improved customer and community relations and communication plans into revised strategic plan
			 Assign responsibility and accountability for specific action items
Establish a clear understanding of PGE's regulatory affairs strategy and relations and potential opportunities for improvement	 Perform review of regulatory affairs strategy, processes and communications Evaluate regulatory standards and trends 	airs strategy, processes and	 Integrate plan for improved regulatory affairs strategy and relations into revised strategic plan
			 Assign responsibility and accountability for specific action items
	and the same	No. 1	

Business Review Process Plan



Activities and Milestones (2 of 4)

	180 days	 Establish appropriate monitoring tools to track financial performance Evaluate revenue and cost trends and initiate action plans as appropriate 	Continue monitoring risk management reports and processes
Actions	120 days	 Develop any potential refinements to budgeting process Launch DOA monitoring and reporting processes Complete budget review 	 Complete risk management review and any required refinements Launch DOA monitoring and reporting processes Assign responsibility and accountability for specific performance metrics
	60 days	 Review current budgeting processes and methodologies Establish Delegation of Authority (DOA) guidelines and trigger points for 2005 contingency actions and communications Review 2005 budget (actual vs. forecast) 	Review current program, processes and reporting for risk management Establish DOA guidelines and trigger points for communications and actions when limits are approached Review asset optimization strategy for short-, mediumand long-term resource planning
	Objective	Ensure that PGE has established appropriate financial plans and goals which meet / exceed customer service and reliability requirements while achieving approved budget forecasts	Evaluate PGE's current Risk Management Program and processes for prudence and potential enhancements. Focus will be on: Asset Optimization Trading/Marketing Fuel Management

Business Review Process Plan

	ATTENDED TO A CONTRACT OF THE PARTY.
	STATES AND ADDRESS OF THE STATES
	SHOULD BE SHOULD
	DAMES OF THE PERSON OF THE PER
	CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF T
	STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P
	THE REAL PROPERTY.
	After the Advantage of the State of the Stat
- 1	
- 1	

Activities and Milestones (3 of 4)

		Actions	
Objective	60 days	120 days	180 days
Conduct benchmarking of PGE's current operations with U.S. utilities of like size, geography and customer mix	 Identify utilities and other industry participants to conduct detailed process review Identify key performance metrics for each business unit to benchmark against 	• Review initial benchmarking reports and analysis	Develop action plans based on benchmarking analysis to identify potential opportunities for improvement, and to facilitate continuous monitoring and reporting on progress improvements
Review and refine a set of KPIs focused on customer service, reliability, targeted operating efficiencies, profitability, and stakeholder goals	 Evaluate current KPIs that are in place Develop additional or refined KPIs and reporting requirements 	• Establish content, process and format for tracking of KPIs and regular performance reviews	• Complete refinement of KPIs for alignment with strategic plan
Establish a process improvement program to improve efficiency and increase customer effectiveness/responsiveness	 Identify potential process improvements Assign teams to evaluate potential process improvements 	 Initiate process improvements, integrating results of benchmarking analysis Integrate process improvement plans into KPI monitoring 	Monitor improvements and adjust action plans, as necessary
Review PGE's current annual Management Performance Review Program and compensation structure to ensure alignment with KPI development	 Evaluate current management review processes and compensation structures 	 Review benchmark analysis for responsibility, grade level and compensation structure for key management positions 	Provide staffing and compensation recommendations for alignment with KPIs and strategic plan

Business Review Process Plan

் தோவிரையிரிர்தோய்றை

Activities and Milestones (4 of 4)

,		Actions	
Objective	60 days	120 days	180 days
Ensure that every employee understands the vision, mission, values and strategy that PGE will pursue in order to be a more successful company	 Participate in leadership meetings, conference calls and video messages to provide initial introduction and observations 	 Conduct leadership meetings, internal "town hall" meetings, and internal communications to lay out vision, mission, values and strategy Assign responsibility and accountability for specific performance metrics 	Conduct leadership meetings, internal "town hall" meetings, and internal communications to lay out vision, mission, values and strategy Assign responsibility and accountability for specific performance metrics
		 Initiate site visits to major locations 	tions
Further enhance customer and key stakeholder relations and develop appropriate communications programs	 Conduct calls and meetings with key customer and community groups to solicit input and feedback 	 Develop key message document highlighting PGE's priorities and potential opportunities 	 Refine communication plans based on customer and community feedback
		 Develop communication strategy 	 Provide for periodic access to the PGE Board for certain key stakeholders
		 Establish PGE Customer Advisory Group 	